

## **POST AWARD AND ASSET MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS**

### **§10.400. Purpose.**

(a) The purpose of this subchapter is to establish the requirements governing the post award and asset management activities associated with awards of multifamily Development assistance pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306 and its regulation of multifamily funding provided through the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the "Department") as authorized by the legislature. This subchapter is designed to ensure that Developers and Development Owners of low-income Developments that are financed or otherwise funded through the Department maintain safe, decent and affordable housing for the term of the affordability period. Therefore, unless otherwise indicated in the specific section of this subchapter, any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside of the corrective action period or outstanding fees (related to the Development subject to the request) owed to the Department must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department before a request for any post award activity described in this subchapter will be acted upon. Non-compliance issues that cannot be corrected will be taken into account and will be reviewed by Asset Management staff to determine if additional action is required by the Development Owner.

(b) The capitalized terms in this subchapter shall have the meaning as defined in this title in Chapter 1 relating to Administration, Chapter 2 relating to Enforcement, Chapter 10 relating to Uniform Multifamily Rules, Chapter 11 relating to the Qualified Action Plan (QAP), Chapter 12 relating to the Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules, Chapter 13 relating to the Multifamily Direct Loan Rule, Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306, Internal Revenue Code (the Code) §42, the HOME Final Rule, the NHTF Interim Rule, and other federal or Department rules, as applicable.

### **§10.401. Housing Tax Credit and Tax Exempt Bond Developments.**

(a) 10% Test (Competitive HTC Only). No later than July 1 of the year following the submission of the Carryover Allocation Agreement or as otherwise specified in the applicable year's Qualified Allocation Plan, documentation must be submitted to the Department verifying that the Development Owner has expended more than 10% of the Development Owner's reasonably expected basis, pursuant to §42(h)(1)(E)(i) and (ii) of the Code and Treasury Regulations, 26 CFR §1.42-6. The Development Owner must submit, in the form prescribed by the Department, documentation evidencing paragraphs (1) - (7) of this subsection, along with all information outlined in the Post Award Activities Manual. Satisfaction of the 10% Test will be contingent upon the submission of the items described in paragraphs (1) - (7) of this subsection as well as all other conditions placed upon the Application in the Commitment. Requests for an extension will be reviewed on a case by case basis as addressed in §10.405(c) of this subchapter and §11.2 of this title, as applicable, and a point deduction evaluation will be completed in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6710(b)(2) and §11.9(f) of this title. Documentation to be submitted for the 10% Test includes:

- (1) An Independent Accountant's Report and Taxpayer's Basis Schedule form. The report must be prepared on the accounting firm's letterhead and addressed to the Development Owner or an Affiliate of the Development Owner. The Independent Accountant's Report and Taxpayers Basis Schedule form must be signed by the Development Owner. If, at the time the accountant is reviewing and preparing their report, the accountant has concluded that the taxpayer's reasonably expected basis is different from the amount reflected in the Carryover Allocation agreement, then the accountant's report should reflect the taxpayer's reasonably expected basis as of the time the report is being prepared;
- (2) Any conditions of the Commitment or Real Estate Analysis underwriting report due at the time of 10% Test submission;
- (3) Evidence that the Development Owner has purchased, transferred, leased, or otherwise has ownership of the Development Site and a current title policy. The Development Site must be identical to the Development Site that was submitted at the time of Application submission. For purposes of this paragraph, any changes to the Development Site acreage between Application and 10% Test must be addressed by written explanation or, as appropriate, in accordance with §10.405 of this subchapter (relating to Amendments and Extensions);
- (4) A current survey or plat of the Development Site, prepared and certified by a duly licensed Texas Registered Professional Land Surveyor. The survey or plat must clearly delineate the flood plain boundary lines and show all easements and encroachments;
- (5) For New Construction, Reconstruction, and Adaptive Reuse Developments, a certification from a Third Party civil engineer or architect stating that all necessary utilities will be available at the Development Site and that there are no easements, licenses, royalties, or other conditions on or affecting the Development that would materially or adversely impact the ability to acquire, develop, and operate as set forth in the Application. Copies of supporting documents may be required by the Department;
- (6) For the Development Owner and on-site or regional property manager, training certificate(s) from a Department approved "property owner and manager Fair Housing trainer" showing that a controlling Principal in the Development Owner structure and an on-site or regional property manager attended and passed at least five hours of Fair Housing training. For architects and engineers, training certificate(s) from a Department approved "architect and engineer Fair Housing trainer" showing that the lead architect or engineer responsible for certifying compliance with the Department's accessibility and construction standards has attended and passed at least five hours of Fair Housing training. Certifications required under this paragraph must not be older than three years from the date of submission of the 10% Test Documentation, and must verify that all parts or phases of the offered training have been completed; two certificates supplied for the same part or phase of an offered

training will not be counted towards the five hour required minimum, even if they were attended on different dates; and

(7) A Certification from the lender and syndicator identifying all known Guarantors. If identified Guarantors have changed from the Guarantors or Principals identified at the time of Application, a non-material amendment may be required in accordance with §10.405 of this subchapter (relating to Amendments and Extensions), and the new Guarantors or Principals must be reviewed in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation and Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee).

(8) Evidence of submission of the CMTS Filing Agreement pursuant to §10.607(a) of this title (relating to Reporting Requirements).

(b) Construction Status Report (All Multifamily Developments). All multifamily Developments must submit a construction status report. Construction status reports shall be due by the tenth day of the month following each reporting quarter's end (January, April, July, and October) and continue on a quarterly basis until the entire Development is complete as evidenced by one of the following: Certificates of occupancy for each building, the Architect's Certificate(s) of Substantial Completion (AIA Document G704 or equivalent form) for the entire Development, the final Application and Certificate for Payment (AIA Document G702 and G703), or an equivalent form approved for submission by the construction lender and/or investor. For Competitive Housing Tax Credit Developments, the initial report is due by October 10th following the year of award (this includes Developments funded with HTC and TDHCA Multifamily Direct Loans), and for Developments awarded under the Department's Multifamily Direct Loan programs only, the initial report is due by the 90th calendar day after loan closing. For Tax Exempt Bond Developments, the initial construction status report must be submitted as part of the Post Bond Closing Documentation and is due by the 60th calendar day following closing on the bonds. A Construction Status Report not submitted by the due date will incur an extension fee in accordance with §11.901 of this title (relating to Fee Schedule). The initial report for all multifamily Developments shall consist of the items identified in paragraphs (1) - (6) of this subsection, unless stated otherwise. All subsequent reports shall contain items identified in paragraphs (4) - (6) of this paragraph and must include any changes or amendments to items in paragraphs (1) - (3) if applicable:

(1) The executed partnership agreement with the investor or, for Developments receiving an award only from the Department's Direct Loan Program, other documents setting forth the legal structure and ownership. If identified Guarantors or Principals of a Guarantor entity were not already identified as a Principal of the Owner, Developer, or Guarantor at the time of Application, a non-material amendment must be requested in accordance with §10.405 of this subchapter, and the new Guarantors and all of its Principals, as applicable, must be reviewed in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation and Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee);

(2) The executed construction contract for the General Contractor, prime subcontractor(s) and Affiliates or Related Party subcontractor(s);

(3) The construction loan agreement. If the loan has not closed, the anticipated closing date must be provided and, upon closing, the agreement must be provided to the Department;

(4) The most recent Application and Certificate for Payment (AIA Document G702 and G703) certified by the Architect of Record (or equivalent form approved for submission by the construction lender and/or investor) for the General Contractor, prime subcontractor(s) and Affiliates or Related Party subcontractor(s);

(5) All Third Party construction inspection reports not previously submitted. If the lender and/or investor does not require third party construction inspection reports, the Development Owner must hire a third party inspector to perform these inspections on a quarterly basis and submit the reports to the Department. Third Party construction inspection reports must include, at a minimum, the date construction started (initial submission only), a discussion of site conditions as of the date of the site visit, current photographs of the construction site and exterior and interior of buildings, an estimated percentage of construction completion as of the date of the site visit, identification of construction delays and other relevant progress issues, if any, and the anticipated construction completion date; and

(6) Minority Owned Business Report (HTC only) showing the attempt to ensure that at least 30% of the construction and management businesses with which the Applicant contracts in connection with the Development are Minority Owned Businesses as required and further described in Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6734.

(c) LURA Origination.

(1) The Development Owner must request origination of the HTC LURA as directed in the Post Award Activities Manual. The Department will draft a LURA for the Development Owner that will impose the income and rent restrictions identified in the Development's final underwriting report and other representations made in the Application, including but not limited to specific commitments to provide tenant services, to lease to Persons with Disabilities, and/or to provide specific amenities. After origination, the Department executed LURA and all exhibits and addendums will be sent to the Development Owner to execute and record in the real property records for the county in which the Development is located. A copy of the fully executed, recorded LURA must be returned to the Department no later than the end of the first year of the Credit Period. In general, no Housing Tax Credits are allowed to be issued for a building unless there is a properly executed and recorded LURA in effect at the end of the first year of the Credit Period. Nothing in this section negates a Development Owner's responsibility for full compliance with §42(h)(6) of the Code. The Department will not issue IRS Form(s) 8609 until it receives a copy of the fully executed, recorded LURA.

(2) LURAs for Direct Loan awardees will be prepared by the Department's Legal Division and executed at loan closing.

(d) Cost Certification (Competitive and Non-Competitive HTC, and related activities only). The Department conducts a feasibility analysis in accordance with §42(m)(2)(C)(i)(III) of the Code and Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this title (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy) to make a final determination on the allocation of Housing Tax Credits. For Non-Competitive HTC Developments, the amount of tax credits reflected in the IRS Form(s) 8609 may be greater or less than the amount set forth in the Determination Notice based upon the Department's determination as of each building's placement in service. Any increase of tax credits will only be permitted if it is determined necessary by the Department, as required by §42(m)(2)(D) of the Code through the submission of the Cost Certification package. Increases to the amount of tax credits that exceed 120% of the amount of credits reflected in the Determination Notice must be approved by the Board. Increases to the amount of tax credits that do not exceed 120% of the amount of credits reflected in the Determination Notice may be approved administratively by the Executive Director or designee. All credit increases are subject to the Tax-Exempt Bond Credit Increase Request Fee as described in Chapter 11, Subchapter E of this Part (relating to Fee Schedule, Appeals, and other Provisions). The requirements for cost certification include those identified in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection.

(1) For Competitive HTC Developments, Development Owners must file cost certification documentation no later than January 15 following the first year of the Credit Period, as defined in §42(f)(1) of the Code. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, Development Owners must file cost certification documentation no later than May 15 following the first year of the Credit Period.

(2) The Department will evaluate the cost certification documentation and notify the Development Owner of any additional required documentation needed to complete the review. The Department reserves the right to request additional documents or certifications as it deems necessary or useful in the determination of the Development's eligibility for a final Housing Tax Credit allocation amount. Any communication issued to the Development Owner pertaining to the cost certification documentation may also be sent to the syndicator. In accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6724(g), IRS Form(s) 8609 will be issued no later than the 120th day following the date on which the Department receives a complete cost certification package, and the Development Owner has fulfilled any requests for information.

(3) The cost certification package must meet the conditions as stated in subparagraphs (A) - (G) of this paragraph. The Development Owner has:

(A) Provided evidence that all buildings in the Development have been placed in service by:

(i) December 31 of the year the Commitment was issued;

(ii) December 31 of the second year following the year the Carryover Allocation Agreement was executed; or

(iii) the approved Placed in Service deadline;

(B) Provided a complete final cost certification package in the format prescribed by the Department. As used herein, a complete final cost certification package means a package that meets all of the Department's criteria with all required information and exhibits listed in clauses (i) - (xxxiv) of this subparagraph, and pursuant to the Post Award Activities Manual. If any item on this list is determined to be unclear, deficient, or inconsistent with the cost certification review completed by the Department, a Request for Information (RFI) will be sent to the Development Owner. Requirements include:

(i) Owner's signed and notarized Statement of Certification verifying the CPA firm's licenses and validity, including any restrictions;

(ii) Owner Summary & Organization Charts for the Owner, Developer, and Guarantors;

(iii) Evidence of Qualified Nonprofit or CHDO Participation;

(iv) Certification and evidence of Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Participation;

(v) Development Team List;

(vi) Development Summary with Architect's Certification;

(vii) Development Change Documentation;

(viii) As Built Survey;

(ix) A copy of the fully executed Closing Statement for each parcel of land and/or buildings purchased and included in the Development;

(x) Development Owner's Title Policy for the Development;

(xi) Title Policy Update;

(xii) Placement in Service;

(xiii) Evidence of Placement in Service;

(xiv) Architect's Certification of Completion Date and Date Ready for Occupancy (for Developments located in areas where Certificates of Occupancy (COs) are not issued by a local government or rehabilitation Developments that cannot provide COs);

(xv) Auditor's Certification of Acquisition/Rehabilitation Placement in Service Election;

(xvi) Independent Auditor's Report;

- (xvii) Independent Auditor's Report of Bond Financing;
  - (xviii) Development Cost Schedule;
  - (xix) Contractor's Application for Final Payment (G702/G703) for the General Contractor, all prime subcontractors, Affiliated Contractors, and Related Party Contractors;
  - (xx) Additional Documentation of Offsite Costs;
  - (xxi) Rent Schedule;
  - (xxii) Utility Allowances;
  - (xxiii) Annual Operating Expenses;
  - (xxiv) 30 Year Rental Housing Operating Pro Forma;
  - (xxv) Current Operating Statement in the form of a trailing twelve month statement;
  - (xxvi) Current Rent Roll;
  - (xxvii) Summary of Sources and Uses of Funds;
  - (xxviii) Final Limited Partnership Agreement with all amendments and exhibits;
  - (xxix) All Loan Agreements and Promissory Notes (except for Agreements and Notes issued directly by the Department);
  - (xxx) Architect's Certification of Accessibility Requirements;
  - (xxxi) Development Owner Assignment of Individual to Compliance Training;
  - (xxxii) TDHCA Compliance Training Certificate (not older than two years from the date of cost certification submission);
  - (xxxiii) TDHCA Final Inspection Clearance Letter or evidence of submitted final inspection request to the Compliance Division (IRS Form(s) 8609 will not be issued without a TDHCA Final Inspection Clearance Letter); and
  - (xxxiv) Other Documentation as Required, including but not limited to conditions to be satisfied at cost certification as reflected in the Development's latest Underwriting Report;
- (C) Informed the Department of and received written approval for all amendments, extensions, and changes in ownership relating to the Development in accordance with §10.405 of this subchapter (relating to Amendments and Extensions) and §10.406 of this subchapter (relating to Ownership Transfers (§2306.6713));
- (D) Paid all applicable Department fees, including any past due fees;

(E) Met all conditions noted in the Department underwriting report, Determination Notice, and Commitment;

(F) Corrected all issues of noncompliance, including but not limited to noncompliance status with the LURA (or any other document containing an Extended Low-income Housing Commitment) or the program rules in effect for the subject Development, as described in this chapter. Developments in the corrective action period and/or with any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside of the corrective action period will not be issued IRS Form(s) 8609s until all events of noncompliance are corrected or otherwise approved by the Executive Director or designee; and

(G) Completed an updated underwriting evaluation in accordance with Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this Part based on the most current information at the time of the review.

**§10.402. Requests for Subordination Agreements, HUD Amendments to Restrictive Covenants, or HUD Riders to Restrictive Covenants.**

(a) Requests for Subordination Agreements, HUD Amendments to Restrictive Covenants or HUD Riders to Restrictive Covenants from the Department must be reviewed and approved by the Department's Asset Management Division and Legal Division prior to execution. The Development Owner must demonstrate that the Development will remain feasible with the proposed new debt. For HTC Developments seeking to refinance within two years from the issuance of the IRS Form(s) 8609, a review of the Development's cost certification will be conducted to determine if the change in the financing structure would have affected the credit award. If it is determined that the change to the financing structure, net of additional costs associated with the refinance, would have resulted in over sourcing the Development, thereby resulting in an adjustment to the credit award, the Development Owner may be required to fund a Special Reserve Account in accordance with §10.404 of this subchapter (relating to Reserve Accounts). Approval from the Board will be required for loan amounts that would cause the Developments to be over-sourced after accounting for the additional costs associated with the refinance and the deposit into the Special Reserve Account. Subordinations or re-subordinations of Developments with Direct Loans from the Department are also subject to the requirements under §13.13(c)(2) of this title (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Rule) and Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this title (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy), including but not limited to §11.302(g)(4).

(b) All requests must include:

(1) Requested document on Department approved template, if available, and completed with the Development specific information;

(2) Documentation such as a loan commitment or application that identifies the proposed loan amount and terms;



(3) If the proposed legal description is different from the legal description in the Department's regulatory agreement, a survey, title commitment, or recorded plat that agrees with the legal description in the requested document. Changes to the Development Site may be subject to further review and approval under §10.405 of this subchapter (relating to Amendments and Extensions); and

(4) Development's most recent 12-month trailing operating statement. If the financial statement indicates that the proposed new debt cannot be supported by the Development, the Development Owner must submit an operating pro forma and a written explanation for the differences from the actual performance of the Development.

**§10.403. Review of Annual HOME, HOME-ARP, HOME Match, NSP, TCAP-RF, and National Housing Trust Fund Rents.**

(a) Applicability. For participants of the Department's Multifamily HOME, HOME American Rescue Plan HOME-ARP, and NSP Direct Loan program, where Commitment of Funds occurred on or after August 23, 2013, the Department is required by 24 CFR §92.252(f) and for all National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) recipients by 24 CFR §93.302(c)(2), to review and approve or disapprove HOME/HOME-ARP/NSP/NHTF rents on an annual basis. The Department is also required by 24 CFR §92.219 and §92.252(d)(2) to approve rents for HOME Match units. Development Owners must submit documentation for the review of HOME/HOME-ARP/HOME Match/NSP/NHTF/TCAP-RF rents by no later than August 1st of each year as further described in the Post Award Activities Manual.

(b) Documentation for Review. The Department will furnish a rent approval request packet for this purpose that will include a request for Development information and an Owner's proposed rent schedule and will require submission of a current rent roll, the most recent 12-month operating statement for the Development, and utility allowance information. The Department may request additional documentation to perform a determination, as needed, including but not limited to annual operating statements, market surveys, or other information related to determining whether rents are sufficient to maintain the financial viability of a project or are in compliance with maximum rent limits.

(c) Review Process. Rents will be approved or disapproved within 30 days of receipt of all items required to be submitted by the Development Owner, and will be issued in the form of a signed letter from the Asset Management Division. Development Owners must keep copies of all approval letters on file at the Development site to be reviewed at the time of Compliance Monitoring reviews.

(d) Compliance. Development Owners for whom this section is applicable are subject to compliance under §10.622 of this chapter (relating to Special Rules Regarding Rents and Limit Violations) and may be subject to penalties under §10.625 of this chapter (relating to Events of Noncompliance). Approval of rents by the Asset Management Division will be limited to a review of the documentation submitted and will not guarantee compliance with the

Department's rules or otherwise absolve an Owner of any past, current, or future non-compliance related to Department rules, guidance, Compliance Monitoring visits, or any other rules or guidance to which the Development or its Owner may be subject.

**§10.404. Reserve Accounts.**

(a) Replacement Reserve Account (§2306.186). The Department will require Development Owners to provide regular maintenance to keep housing sanitary, safe and decent by establishing and maintaining a reserve for replacement account for the Development in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.186. The reserve account must be established, in accordance with paragraphs (3) - (6) of this subsection, and maintained through annual or more frequent regularly scheduled deposits, for each Unit in a Development of 25 or more rental Units regardless of the amount of rent charged for the Unit. If the Department is processing a request for loan modification or other request under this subchapter and the Development does not have an existing replacement reserve account or sufficient funds in the reserve to meet future capital expenditure needs of the Development as determined by a history of uncorrected UPCS violations, ongoing issues related to keeping housing sanitary, safe, and decent, an account balance below the annual reserve deposit amount as specified in this section, or as indicated by the number or cost of repairs included in a third party Physical Needs Assessment (PNA), the Development Owner will be required to establish and maintain a replacement reserve account or review whether the amount of regular deposits to the replacement reserve account can be increased, regardless of the number of Units at the Development. The Department shall, through cooperation of its divisions responsible for asset management and compliance, ensure compliance with this section. The duties of the Development Owner under this section cease on the date of a change in ownership of the Development; however, the subsequent Development Owner of the Development is subject to the requirements of this section and any additional or revised requirements the Department may impose after reviewing a Development's compliance history, a PNA submitted by the Owner, or the amount of reserves that will be transferred at the time of any property sale.

(1) The LURA requires the Development Owner to begin making annual deposits to the replacement reserve account on the later of the:

(A) Date that occupancy of the Development stabilizes as defined by the First Lien Lender or, in the absence of a First Lien Lender other than the Department, the date the Property is at least 90% occupied; or

(B) The date when the permanent loan is executed and funded.

(2) The Development Owner shall continue making deposits into the replacement reserve account until the earliest of the:

(A) Date on which the owner suffers a total casualty loss with respect to the Development or the date on which the Development becomes functionally obsolete, if the Development cannot be or is not restored;

(B) Date on which the Development is demolished;

(C) Date on which the Development ceases to be used as a multifamily rental property; or

(D) End of the Affordability Period specified by the LURA, or if an Affordability Period is not specified and the Department is the First Lien Lender, then when the Department's loan has been fully repaid or as otherwise agreed by the Owner and Department.

(3) If the Department is the First Lien Lender with respect to the Development or if the establishment of a Reserve Account for repairs has not been required by the First Lien Lender or Bank Trustee, each Development Owner receiving Department assistance for multifamily rental housing shall deposit annually into a separate, Development-specific Reserve Account through the date described in paragraph (2) of this subsection as follows:

(A) For New Construction and Reconstruction Developments, not less than \$250 per Unit. Withdrawals from such account will be restricted for up to five years following the date of award except in cases in which written approval from the Department is obtained relating to casualty loss, natural disaster, reasonable accommodations, or demonstrated financial hardship (but not for the construction standards required by the NOFA or program regulations); or

(B) For Adaptive Reuse and Rehabilitation Developments, the greater of the amount per Unit per year either established by the information presented in a Scope and Cost Review in conformance with Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this title (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy) or \$300 per Unit per year.

(4) For all Developments, a PNA must be conducted at intervals that are consistent with requirements of the First Lien Lender, other than the Department. If the Department is the First Lien Lender, or the First Lien Lender does not require a Third Party PNA, a PNA must be conducted at least once during each five-year period beginning with the 11th year after the awarding of any financial assistance from the Department. PNAs conducted by the Owner at any time or for any reason other than as required by the Department in the year beginning with the 11th year of award must be submitted to the Department for review within 30 days of receipt by the Owner.

(5) Where there is a First Lien Lender other than the Department or a Bank Trustee as a result of a bond trust indenture or tax credit syndication, the Development Owner shall comply with the lesser of the replacement reserve requirements of the First Lien Lender or the requirements in paragraph (3) of this subsection. In addition, the Department should be listed as a party to receive notice under any replacement reserve agreement entered into by the

Development Owner. The Development Owner shall submit on an annual basis, within the Department's required Development Owner's Financial Certification packet, requested information regarding:

(A) The reserve for replacement requirements under the first lien loan agreement (if applicable) referencing where those requirements are contained within the loan documents;

(B) Compliance with the first lien lender requirements outlined in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph;

(C) If the Owner is not in compliance with the lender requirements, the Development Owner's plan of action to bring the Development in compliance with all established reserve for replacement requirements; and

(D) Whether a PNA has been ordered and the Owner's plans for any subsequent capital expenditures, renovations, repairs, or improvements.

(6) Where there is no First Lien Lender but the allocation of funds by the Department and Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.186 requires that the Department oversee a Reserve Account, the Development Owner shall provide at their sole expense an escrow agent acceptable to the Department to act as Bank Trustee as necessary under this section. The Department shall retain the right to replace the escrow agent with another Bank Trustee or act as escrow agent at a cost plus fee payable by the Development Owner due to breach of the escrow agent's responsibilities or otherwise with 30 days prior notice of all parties to the escrow agreement.

(7) Penalties and Non-Compliance. If the Development Owner fails to comply with the replacement reserve account requirements stated in this paragraph, and request for extension or waiver of these requirements is not approved by the Department, then a penalty of up to \$200 per dwelling Unit in the Development and/or characterization of the Development as being in default with this requirement, may be imposed. Causes include:

(A) A Reserve Account, as described in this section, has not been established for the Development;

(B) The Department is not a party to the escrow agreement for the Reserve Account, if required;

(C) Money in the Reserve Account:

(i) is used for expenses other than necessary repairs, including property taxes or insurance; or

(ii) falls below mandatory annual, monthly, or Department approved deposit levels;

(D) Development Owner fails to make any required deposits;

(E) Development Owner fails to obtain a Third-Party PNA as required under this section or submit a copy of a PNA to the Department within 30 days of receipt; or

(F) Development Owner fails to make necessary repairs in accordance with the Third Party PNA or §10.621 of this chapter (relating to Property Condition Standards).

(8) Department-Initiated Repairs. The Department or its agent may make repairs to the Development within 30 calendar days of written notice from the Department if the Development Owner fails to complete necessary repairs indicated in the submitted PNA or identified by Department physical inspection. Repairs may be deemed necessary if the Development Owner fails to comply with federal, state, and/or local health, safety, or building code requirements. Payment for necessary repairs must be made directly by the Development Owner or through a replacement Reserve Account established for the Development under this section. The Department or its agent will be allowed to produce a Request for Bids to hire a contractor to complete and oversee necessary repairs. In the event the circumstances identified in subparagraphs (A) or (B) of this paragraph occur, funds withdrawn must be replaced from Cash Flow after payment of Operating Expenses but before return to Development Owner or deferred Developer Fee until the mandatory deposit level is replenished. The Department reserves the right to re-evaluate payments to the reserve, increase such payments or require a lump sum deposit to the reserve, or require the Owner to enter into a separate Reserve Agreement if necessary to protect the long term feasibility of the Development. On a case-by-case basis, the Department may determine that the money in the Reserve Account may be used for expenses other than necessary repairs, including property taxes or insurance, if:

(A) Development income before payment of return to Development Owner or deferred Developer Fee is insufficient to meet operating expense and debt service requirements; or

(B) Development income after payment of operating expenses, but before payment of return to Development Owner or deferred developer fee is insufficient to fund the mandatory deposit levels.

(9) Exceptions to Replacement Reserve Account. This section does not apply to a Development for which the Development Owner is required to maintain a Reserve Account under any other provision of federal or state law.

(10) In the event of paragraph (7) or (8) of this subsection occurring, the Department reserves the right to require by separate Reserve Agreement a revised annual deposit amount and/or require Department concurrence for withdrawals from the Reserve Account to bring the Development back into compliance. Establishment of a new Bank Trustee or transfer of reserve funds to a new, separate and distinct account may be required if necessary to meet the requirements of such Agreement. The Agreement will be executed by the Department, Development Owner, and financial institution representative.

(b) Lease-up Reserve Account. A lease-up reserve funds start-up expenses in excess of the revenue produced by the Development prior to stabilization. The Department will consider a reasonable lease-up reserve account based on the documented requirements from a third-party lender, third-party syndicator, or the Department. During the underwriting at the point of the Cost Certification review, the lease-up reserve may be counted as a use of funds only to the extent that it represents operating shortfalls net of escrows for property taxes and property insurance. Funds from the lease-up reserve used to satisfy the funding requirements for other reserve accounts may not be included as a use of funds for the lease-up reserve. Funds from the lease-up reserve distributed or distributable as cash flow to the Development Owner will be considered and restricted as developer fee.

(c) Operating Reserve Account. At various stages during the application, award process, and during the operating life of a Development, the Department will conduct a financial analysis of the Development's total development costs and operating budgets, including the estimated operating reserve account deposit required. For example, this analysis typically occurs at application and cost certification review. The Department will consider a reasonable operating reserve account deposit in this analysis based on the needs of the Development and requirements of third-party lenders or investors. The amount used in the analysis will be the amount described in the project cost schedule or balance sheet, if it is within the range of two to six months of stabilized operating expenses plus debt service. The Department may consider a greater amount proposed or required by the Department, any superior lien lender, or syndicator, if the detail for such greater amount is reasonable and well documented. Reasonable operating reserves in this chapter do not include capitalized asset management fees, guaranty reserves, or other similar costs. In no instance will operating reserves exceed 12 months of stabilized operating expenses plus debt service (exclusive of transferred replacement reserves for USDA or HUD financed rehabilitation transactions). Operating reserves are generally for the term of the permanent loan. In no instance will operating reserves released within five years be included as a cost.

(d) Special Reserve Account. If the funding program requires or allows for the establishment and maintenance of a Special Reserve Account for the purpose of assisting residents at the Development with expenses associated with their tenancy, this will be established in accordance with a written agreement with the Development Owner.

(1) The Special Reserve Account is funded through a one-time payment or annually through an agreed upon percentage of net cash flow generated by the Development, excess development funds at completion as determined by the Department, or as otherwise set forth in the written agreement. For the purpose of this account, net cash flow is defined as funds available from operations after all expenses and debt service required to be paid have been considered. This does not include a deduction for depreciation and amortization expense, deferred developer fee payment, except as allowed by §11.302(g)(4) of this title (relating to Underwriting Rules and Guidelines), or other payments made to Related Parties or Affiliates,

except as allowed by the Department for property management. Proceeds from any refinancing or other fund raising from the Development will be considered net cash flow for purposes of funding the Special Reserve Account unless otherwise approved by the Department. The account will be structured to require Department concurrence for withdrawals.

(2) All disbursements from the account must be approved by the Department.

(3) The Development Owner will be responsible for setting up a separate and distinct account with a financial institution acceptable to the Department. A Special Reserve Account Agreement will be drafted by the Department and executed by the Department and the Development Owner.

(4) The Development Owner must make reasonable efforts to notify tenants of the existence of the Special Reserve Account and how to submit an application to access funds from the Special Reserve. Documentation of such efforts must be kept onsite and made available to the Department upon request.

(e) Other Reserve Accounts. At cost certification, reserves may not include capitalized asset management fees, guaranty reserves, tenant services reserves, working capital reserves, or other similar costs.

#### **§10.405. Amendments and Extensions.**

(a) Amendments to Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Application or Award Prior to Land Use Restriction Agreement (LURA) recording or amendments that do not result in a change to the LURA (§2306.6712). The Department expects the Development Owner to construct or rehabilitate, operate, and own the Development consistent with the representations in the Application. The Department must receive notification of any amendments to the Application. Regardless of development stage, the Board shall re-evaluate a Development that undergoes a material change, as identified in paragraph (3) of this subsection at any time after the initial Board approval of the Development (§2306.6731(b)). The Board may deny an amendment request and subsequently may rescind any Commitment or Determination Notice issued for an Application, and may reallocate the credits to other Applicants on the waiting list.

(1) Requesting an amendment. The Department shall require the Applicant to file a formal, written request for an amendment to the Application. Such request must include a detailed explanation of the amendment request and other information as determined to be necessary by the Department, and the applicable fee as identified in Chapter 11, Subchapter E of this title (relating to Fee Schedule, Appeals, and other Provisions) in order to be received and processed by the Department. Department staff will evaluate the amendment request to determine if the change would affect an allocation of Housing Tax Credits by changing any item that received points, by significantly affecting the most recent underwriting analysis, or by materially altering the Development as further described in this subsection.

(2) Notification Items. The Department must be notified of the changes described in subparagraphs (A) - (F) of this paragraph. The changes identified are subject to staff agreement based on a review of the amendment request and any additional information or documentation requested. Notification items will be considered satisfied when an acknowledgment of the specific change(s) is received from the Department and include:

(A) Changes to Development Site acreage required by the City or other local governmental authority, or changes resulting from survey discrepancies, as long as such change does not also result in a modification to the residential density of more than 5%;

(B) Minor modifications to the site plan that will not significantly impact development costs, including, but not limited to, relocation or rearrangement of buildings on the site (as long as the number of residential and non-residential buildings remains the same), and movement, addition, or deletion of ingress/egress to the site;

(C) Increases or decreases in net rentable square footage or common areas that do not result in a material amendment under paragraph (4) of this subsection;

(D) Changes in amenities that do not require a change to the recorded LURA and do not negatively impact scoring, including changes to outdated amenities that could be replaced by an amenity with equal benefit to the resident community;

(E) Changes in Developers or Guarantors (notifications for changes in Guarantors that are also the General Contractor or are only providing guaranties during the construction period are not required) with no new Principals (who were not previously checked by Previous Participation review that retain the natural person(s) used to meet the experience requirement in Chapter 11 of this title (relating to Qualified Allocation Plan)); and

(F) Any other amendment not identified in paragraphs (3) and (4) of this subsection.

(3) Non-material amendments. The Executive Director or designee may administratively approve all non-material amendments, including, but not limited to:

(A) Any amendment that is determined by staff to exceed the scope of notification acknowledgement, as identified in paragraph (2) of this subsection but not to rise to a material alteration, as identified in paragraph (4) of this subsection;

(B) Changes in Developers or Guarantors (excluding changes in Guarantors that are also the General Contractor or are only providing guaranties during the construction period) not addressed in §10.405(a)(2)(E). Changes in Developers or Guarantors will be subject to Previous Participation requirements as further described in Chapter 11 of this title and the credit limitation described in §11.4(a) of this title; and

(C) For Exchange Developments only, requests to change elections made on line 8(b) of the IRS Form(s) 8609 to group buildings together into one or more multiple building projects. The



request must include an attached statement identifying the buildings in the project. The change to the election may only be made once during the Compliance Period.

(4) Material amendments. Amendments considered material pursuant to this paragraph must be approved by the Board. When an amendment request requires Board approval, the Development Owner must submit the request and all required documentation necessary for staff's review of the request to the Department at least 45 calendar days prior to the Board meeting in which the amendment is anticipated to be considered. Before the 15th day preceding the date of Board action on the amendment, notice of an amendment and the recommendation of the Executive Director and Department staff regarding the amendment will be posted to the Department's website and the Applicant will be notified of the posting (§2306.6717(a)(4)). Material Amendment requests may be denied if the Board determines that the modification proposed in the amendment would materially alter the Development in a negative manner or would have adversely affected the selection of the Application in the Application Round. Material alteration of a Development includes, but is not limited to:

- (A) A significant modification of the site plan;
- (B) A modification of the number of Units or bedroom mix of Units;
- (C) A substantive modification of the scope of tenant services;
- (D) A reduction of 3% or more in the square footage of the Units or common areas;
- (E) A significant modification of the architectural design of the Development;
- (F) A modification of the residential density of at least 5%;
- (G) A request to implement a revised election under §42(g) of the Code prior to filing of IRS Form(s) 8609;
- (H) Exclusion of any requirements as identified in Chapter 11, Subchapter B of this title (relating to Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions) and Chapter 11, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Application Submission Requirements, Ineligibility Criteria, Board Decisions and Waiver of Rules); or
- (I) Any other modification considered material by the staff and therefore required to be presented to the Board as such.

(5) Amendment requests will be denied if the Department finds that the request would have changed the scoring of an Application in the competitive process such that the Application would not have received a funding award or if the need for the proposed modification was reasonably foreseeable or preventable by the Applicant at the time the Application was submitted, unless good cause is found for the approval of the amendment.

(6) This section shall be administered in a manner that is consistent with §42 of the Code. If a Development has any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside of the corrective action period (other than the provision being amended) or otherwise owes fees to the Department, such non-compliance or outstanding payment must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department before a request for amendment will be acted upon.

(7) In the event that an Applicant or Developer seeks to be released from the commitment to serve the income level of tenants identified in the Application and Credit Underwriting Analysis Report at the time of award and as approved by the Board, the procedure described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph will apply to the extent such request is not prohibited based on statutory and/or regulatory provisions:

(A) For amendments that involve a reduction in the total number of Low-Income Units, or a reduction in the number of Low-Income Units at any rent or income level, as approved by the Board, evidence noted in either clause (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph must be presented to the Department to support the amendment:

(i) In the event of a request to implement (rent to a household at an income or rent level that exceeds the approved AMI limits established by the minimum election within the Development's Application or LURA) a revised election under §42(g) of the Code prior to an Owner's submission of IRS Form(s) 8609 to the IRS, Owners must submit updated information and exhibits to the Application as required by the Department; or

(ii) For all other requests for reductions in the total number of Low-Income Units or reductions in the number of Low-Income Units at any rent or income level, prior to issuance of IRS Form(s) 8609 by the Department, the lender and syndicator must submit written confirmation that the Development is infeasible without the adjustment in Units. The Board may or may not approve the amendment request; however, any affirmative recommendation to the Board is contingent upon concurrence from Department staff that the Unit adjustment is necessary for the continued financial feasibility of the Development; and

(B) If it is determined by the Department that the loss of low-income targeting points would have resulted in the Application not receiving an award in the year of allocation, and the amendment is approved by the Board, the approved amendment will carry a penalty that prohibits the Applicant and all Persons or entities with any ownership interest in the Application (excluding any tax credit purchaser/syndicator), from participation in the Housing Tax Credit Program (for both the Competitive Housing Tax Credit Developments and Tax-Exempt Bond Developments) for 24 months from the time that the amendment is approved.

(b) Amendments to LURAs. Department approval shall be required for any amendment to a LURA in accordance with this section. An amendment request shall be submitted in writing, containing a detailed explanation of the request, the reason the change is necessary, the good cause for the change, financial information related to any financial impact on the Development, information related to whether the necessity of the amendment was

reasonably foreseeable at the time of application, and other information as determined to be necessary by the Department, along with any applicable fee as identified in Chapter 11, Subchapter E of this title (relating to Fee Schedule, Appeals, and other Provisions). The Department may order or require the Development Owner to order a Market Study or appraisal at the Development Owner's expense. If a Development has any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside of the corrective action period (other than the provision being amended) or otherwise owes fees to the Department, such non-compliance or outstanding payment must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department, before a request for amendment will be acted upon. The Department will not approve changes that would violate state or federal laws including the requirements of §42 of the Code, 24 CFR Part 92 (HOME Final Rule), 24 CFR Part 93 (NHTF Interim Rule), Chapter 1 of this title (relating to Administrative Requirements), Chapter 11 of this title (relating to Qualified Allocation Plan), Chapter 12 of this title (relating to Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules), Chapter 13 of this title (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Rule), Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306, and the Fair Housing Act. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, compliance with their Regulatory Agreement and corresponding bond financing documents. Prior to staff taking a recommendation to the Board for consideration, the procedures described in paragraph (3) of this subsection must be followed.

(1) Non-Material LURA Amendments. The Executive Director or designee may administratively approve all LURA amendments not defined as Material LURA Amendments pursuant to paragraph (2) of this subsection. A non-material LURA amendment may include but is not limited to:

(A) HUB participation removal. Removal of a HUB participation requirement will only be processed as a non-material LURA amendment after the issuance of IRS Form(s) 8609 and requires that the Department find that:

(i) The HUB is requesting removal of its own volition or is being removed as the result of a default under the organizational documents of the Development Owner;

(ii) the participation by the HUB has been substantive and meaningful, or would have been substantive or meaningful had the HUB not defaulted under the organizational documents of the Development Owner, enabling it to realize not only financial benefit but to acquire skills relating to the ownership and operating of affordable housing; and

(iii) where the HUB will be replaced as a general partner or special limited partner that is not a HUB and will sell its ownership interest, an ownership transfer request must be submitted as described in §10.406 of this subchapter;

(B) A change resulting from a Department work out arrangement as recommended by the Department's Asset Management Division;

(C) A change in the Right of First Refusal period as described in amended §2306.6726 of the Tex. Gov't Code;

(D) Where the Board has approved a de minimis modification of the Unit Mix or bedroom mix of Units to increase the Development's accessibility;

(E) In accordance with HOMEfires, Vol. 17 No. 1 (January 2023, as may be amended from time to time) bifurcation of the term of a HOME or NSP LURA with the Department that requires a longer affordability period than the minimum federal requirement, into a federal and state affordability period; or

(F) A correction of error.

(2) Material LURA Amendments. Development Owners seeking LURA amendment requests that require Board approval must submit the request and all required documentation necessary for staff's review of the request to the Department at least 45 calendar days prior to the Board meeting at which the amendment is anticipated to be considered. Before the 15th day preceding the date of Board action on the amendment, notice of an amendment and the recommendation of the Executive Director and Department staff regarding the amendment will be posted to the Department's website and the Applicant will be notified of the posting (§2306.6717(a)(4)). The Board must consider the following material LURA amendments:

(A) Reductions to the number of Low-Income Units;

(B) Changes to the income or rent restrictions;

(C) Changes to the Target Population;

(D) The removal of material participation by a Nonprofit Organization as further described in §10.406 of this subchapter;

(E) The removal of material participation by a HUB prior to filing of IRS Form(s) 8609;

(F) Any amendment that affects a right enforceable by a tenant or other third party under the LURA; or

(G) Any LURA amendment deemed material by the Executive Director.

(3) Prior to staff taking a recommendation to the Board for consideration, the Development Owner must provide reasonable notice and hold a public hearing regarding the requested amendment(s) at least 20 business days prior to the scheduled Board meeting where the request will be considered. Development Owners will be required to submit a copy of the notification with the amendment request. If a LURA amendment is requested prior to issuance of IRS Form(s) 8609 by the Department, notification must be provided to the recipients described in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph. If an amendment is

requested after issuance of IRS Form(s) 8609 by the Department, notification must be provided to the recipients described in subparagraph (A) - (B) of this paragraph. Notifications include:

(A) Each tenant of the Development;

(B) The current lender(s) and investor(s);

(C) The State Senator and State Representative of the districts whose boundaries include the Development Site;

(D) The chief elected official for the municipality (if the Development Site is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction); and

(E) The county commissioners of the county in which the Development Site is located (if the Development Site is located outside of a municipality).

(4) Contents of Notification. The notification must include, at a minimum, all of the information described in subparagraphs (A) - (D) of this paragraph:

(A) The Development Owner's name, address and an individual contact name and phone number;

(B) The Development's name, address, and city;

(C) The change(s) requested; and

(D) The date, time, and location of the public hearing where the change(s) will be discussed.

(5) Verification of public hearing. Minutes of the public hearing and attendance sheet must be submitted to the Department within three business days after the date of the public hearing.

(6) Approval. Once the LURA Amendment has been approved administratively or by the Board, as applicable, Department staff will provide the Development Owner with a LURA amendment for execution and recording in the county where the Development is located.

(c) HTC Extensions. Extensions must be requested if the original deadline associated with Carryover, the 10% Test (including submission and expenditure deadlines), construction status reports, or cost certification requirements will not be met. Extension requests submitted at least 30 calendar days in advance of the applicable original deadline will not be required to submit an extension fee as described in §11.901 of this title. Any extension request submitted fewer than 30 days in advance of the applicable original deadline or after the original deadline will not be processed unless accompanied by the applicable fee. Extension requests will be approved by the Executive Director or designee, unless, at staff's discretion it warrants Board approval due to extenuating circumstances stated in the request.

The extension request must specify a requested extension date and the reason why such an extension is required. If the Development Owner is requesting an extension to the Carryover submission or 10% Test deadline(s), a point deduction evaluation will be completed in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.6710(b)(2), and §11.9(f) of this title (relating to Factors Affecting Scoring and Eligibility in current and future Application Rounds). Therefore, the Development Owner must clearly describe in their request for an extension how the need for the extension was beyond the reasonable control of the Applicant/Development Owner and could not have been reasonably anticipated. Carryover extension requests will not be granted an extended deadline later than December 1st of the year the Commitment was issued.

**§10.406. Ownership Transfers (§2306.6713).**

(a) Ownership Transfer Notification. All multifamily Development Owners must provide written notice and a completed Ownership Transfer packet, if applicable, to the Department at least 45 calendar days prior to any sale, transfer, or exchange of the Development or any portion of or Controlling interest in the Development. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Executive Director's prior written approval of any such transfer is required. The Executive Director may not unreasonably withhold approval of the transfer requested in compliance with this section.

(b) Exceptions. The exceptions to the ownership transfer process in this subsection are applicable.

(1) A Development Owner shall be required to notify the Department but shall not be required to obtain Executive Director approval when the transferee is an Affiliate of the Development Owner with no new Principals, or the transferee is a Related Party who does not Control the Development and the transfer is being made for estate planning purposes.

(2) Transfers that are the result of an involuntary removal of the general partner by the investment limited partner do not require advance approval, but must be reported to the Department as soon as possible due to the sensitive timing and nature of this decision. In the event the investment limited partner has proposed a new general partner or will permanently replace the general partner, a full Ownership Transfer packet must be submitted.

(3) Changes to the investment limited partner, non-Controlling limited partner, or other non-Controlling partners affiliated with the investment limited partner do not require Executive Director approval. A General Partner's acquisition of the interest of the investment limited partner does not require Executive Director approval, unless some other change in ownership is occurring as part of the same overall transaction.

(4) Changes resulting from foreclosure do not require advance approval but acquiring parties must notify the Department as soon as possible of the revised ownership structure and ownership contact information.

(5) Changes resulting from a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure do not require Executive Director approval. However, advance notification must be provided to both the Department and to the tenants at least 30 days prior to finalizing the transfer. This notification must include information regarding the applicable rent/income requirements post deed-in-lieu of foreclosure.

(c) General Requirements.

(1) Any new Principal in the ownership of a Development must be eligible under §11.202 of Subchapter C (relating to Ineligible Applicants and Applications). In addition, Persons and Principals will be reviewed in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation and Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee).

(2) Changes in Developers or Guarantors must be addressed as non-material amendments to the application under §10.405 of this Subchapter.

(3) To the extent an investment limited partner or its Affiliate assumes a Controlling interest in a Development Owner, such acquisition shall be subject to the Ownership Transfer requirements set forth herein. Principals of the investment limited partner or Affiliate will be considered new Principals and will be reviewed as stated under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

(4) Simultaneous transfer or concurrent offering for sale of the General Partner's and Limited Partner's control and interest will be subject to the Ownership Transfer requirements set forth herein and will trigger a Right of First Refusal, if applicable.

(5) Any initial operating, capitalized operating, or replacement reserves funded with an allocation from the HOME American Rescue Plan (HOME-ARP) and Special Reserves required by the Department must remain with the Development.

(d) Transfer Actions Warranting Debarment. If the Department determines that the transfer, involuntary removal, or replacement was due to a default by the General Partner under the Limited Partnership Agreement, or other detrimental action that put the Development at risk of failure or the Department at risk for financial exposure as a result of non-compliance, staff will refer the matter to the Enforcement Committee for debarment consideration pursuant to §2.401 of this title (relating to Enforcement, Debarment from Participation in Programs Administered by the Department). In addition, a record of transfer involving Principals in new proposed awards will be reported and may be taken into consideration in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation and Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee), prior to recommending any new financing or allocation of credits.

(e) Transfers Prior to 8609 Issuance or Construction Completion. Prior to the issuance of IRS Form(s) 8609 (for Housing Tax Credits) or the completion of construction (for all

Developments funded through other Department programs), an Applicant may request a change to its ownership structure to add Principals or to remove Principals provided not all controlling Principals identified in the Application will be removed. The party(ies) reflected in the Application as having Control must remain in the ownership structure and retain Control, unless approved otherwise by the Executive Director. A development sponsor, General Partner or Development Owner may not sell the Development in whole or voluntarily end their Control prior to the issuance of 8609s. In addition, for Competitive HTC Developments, changes in the ownership structure for the addition of a public facility corporation, a housing finance corporation, or a public housing authority prior to the issuance of 8609s that will result in a 100% property tax exemption that was not previously reflected in the Application, require a resolution of support from the municipality or a letter of support from the mayor, or if the Development is not within a municipality or its Extra Territorial Jurisdiction (ETJ), a resolution of support from the commissioners court or letter of support from the county judge.

(f) Nonprofit Organizations. If the ownership transfer request is to replace a nonprofit organization within the Development ownership entity, the replacement nonprofit entity must adhere to the requirements in paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection.

(1) If the LURA requires ownership or material participation in ownership by a Qualified Nonprofit Organization, and the Development received Tax Credits pursuant to §42(h)(5) of the Code, the transferee must be a Qualified Nonprofit Organization that meets the requirements of §42(h)(5) of the Code and Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, if applicable, and can demonstrate planned participation in the operation of the Development on a regular, continuous, and substantial basis.

(2) If the LURA requires ownership or material participation in ownership by a nonprofit organization or CHDO, the Development Owner must show that the transferee is a nonprofit organization or CHDO, as applicable, that complies with the LURA. If the transferee has been certified as a CHDO by TDHCA prior to 2016 or has not previously been certified as a CHDO by TDHCA, a new CHDO certification package must be submitted for review. If the transferee was certified as a CHDO by TDHCA after 2016, provided no new federal guidance or rules concerning CHDO have been released and the proposed ownership structure at the time of review meets the requirements in 24 CFR Part 92, the CHDO may instead submit a CHDO Self-Certification form with the Ownership Transfer package.

(3) Exceptions to paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection may be made on a case by case basis if the Development (for MFDL) is past its Federal Affordability Period or (for HTC Developments) is past its Compliance Period, was not reported to the IRS as part of the Department's Nonprofit Set Aside in any HTC Award year, and follows the procedures outlined in §10.405(b)(1) - (5) of this subchapter. The Board must find that:



(A) The selling nonprofit is acting of its own volition or is being removed as the result of a default under the organizational documents of the Development Owner;

(B) The participation by the nonprofit was substantive and meaningful during the full term of the Compliance Period but is no longer substantive or meaningful to the operations of the Development; and

(C) The proposed purchaser is an affiliate of the current Owner or otherwise meets the Department's standards for ownership transfers.

(g) Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Organizations. If a HUB is the general partner or special limited partner of a Development Owner and it determines to sell its ownership interest, after the issuance of IRS Form(s) 8609, the purchaser of that partnership interest or the general or special limited partner is not required to be a HUB as long as the LURA does not require it or the procedure described in §10.405(b)(1) of this chapter (relating to Non-Material LURA Amendments) has been followed and approved. The removal of a HUB requirement prior to filing of IRS Form(s) 8609 is subject to the procedure described in §10.405(b)(2) of this Chapter (relating to Material LURA Amendments).

(h) Documentation Required. A Development Owner must submit documentation requested by the Department to enable the Department to understand fully the facts and circumstances pertaining to the transfer and the effects of approval or denial. Documentation must be submitted as directed in the Post Award Activities Manual, which includes but is not limited to:

(1) A written explanation outlining the reason for the request;

(2) Ownership transfer information, including but not limited to the type of sale, terms of any new financing introduced as a result of the transfer, amount of Development reserves to transfer in the event of a property sale, and the prospective closing date;

(3) Pre- and post-transfer organizational charts with TINs of each organization down to the level of natural persons in the ownership structure as described in §11.204(12)(B) of Subchapter C of this title (relating to Required Documentation for Application Submission);

(4) A list of the names and contact information for transferees and Related Parties;

(5) Previous Participation information for any new Principal as described in §11.204(12)(C) of this title (relating to Required Documentation for Application Submission);

(6) Agreements among parties associated with the transfer;

(7) Owners Certifications with regard to materials submitted as further described in the Post Award Activities Manual;

(8) Detailed information describing the organizational structure, experience, and financial capacity of any party holding a controlling interest in any Principal or Controlling entity of the prospective Development Owner;

(9) Evidence and certification that the tenants in the Development have been notified in writing of the proposed transfer at least 30 calendar days prior to the date the transfer is approved by the Department. The ownership transfer approval letter will not be issued until this 30-day period has expired; and

(10) Any required exhibits and the list of exhibits related to specific circumstances of transfer or Ownership as detailed in the Post Award Activities Manual.

(i) Once the Department receives all necessary information under this section and as required under the Post Award Activities Manual, staff shall initiate a qualifications review of a transferee, in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation and Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee), to determine the transferee's past compliance with all aspects of the Department's programs, LURAs and eligibility under this chapter and §11.202 of this title (relating to Ineligible Applicants and Applications).

(j) Credit Limitation. As it relates to the Housing Tax Credit amount further described in §11.4(a) of this title (relating to Tax Credit Request and Award Limits), the credit amount will not be applied in circumstances described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection:

(1) In cases of transfers in which the syndicator, investor or limited partner is taking over ownership of the Development and not merely replacing the general partner; or

(2) In cases where the general partner is being replaced if the award of credits was made at least five years prior to the transfer request date.

(k) Penalties, Past Due Fees, and Underfunded Reserves. The Development Owner must comply with any additional documentation requirements as stated in Subchapter F of this chapter (relating to Compliance Monitoring) and Subchapter G of this chapter (relating to Affirmative Marketing Requirements and Written Policies and Procedures). The Development Owner on record with the Department will be liable for any penalties or fees imposed by the Department (even if such penalty can be attributable to the new Development Owner) unless an ownership transfer has been approved by the Department. In the event a transferring Development has a history of uncorrected UPCS or NSPIRE violations, ongoing issues related to keeping housing sanitary, safe, and decent, an account balance below the annual reserve deposit amount as specified in §10.404(a) (relating to Replacement Reserve Accounts), or that appears insufficient to meet capital expenditure needs as indicated by the number or cost of repairs included in a PNA or SCR, the prospective Development Owner may be required to establish and maintain a replacement reserve account or increase the amount of regular deposits to the replacement reserve account by entering into a Reserve Agreement with the

Department. The Department may also request a plan and timeline relating to needed repairs or renovations that will be completed by the departing and/or incoming Owner as a condition to approving the Transfer. A PNA or SCR may be requested if one has not already been received under §10.404 of this section (relating to Reserve Accounts).

(l) Ownership Transfer Processing Fee. The ownership transfer request must be accompanied by the corresponding ownership transfer fee as outlined in §11.901 of this title (relating to Fee Schedule).

#### **§10.407. Right of First Refusal.**

(a) General. This section applies to Development Owners that agreed to offer a Right of First Refusal (ROFR) to a Qualified Entity or as applicable a Qualified Nonprofit Organization, as memorialized in the applicable LURA. For the purposes of this section, a Qualified Nonprofit Organization also includes an entity 100% owned by a Qualified Nonprofit Organization pursuant to §42(h)(5)(C) of the Code and operated in a similar manner. The purpose of this section is to provide administrative procedures and guidance on the process and valuation of properties under the LURA. All requests for ROFR submitted to the Department, regardless of existing regulations, must adhere to this process.

(1) The Development Owner may market the Property for sale and enter into an agreement to sell the Property to a Qualified Entity, or as applicable a Qualified Nonprofit Organization without going through the ROFR process outlined in this section, unless otherwise restricted or prohibited and only in the following circumstances:

(A) The LURA includes a 90-day ROFR and the Development Owner is selling to a Qualified Nonprofit Organization;

(B) The LURA includes a two-year ROFR and the Development Owner is selling to a Qualified Nonprofit Organization that meets the definition of a Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) under 24 CFR Part 92, as approved by the Department; or

(C) The LURA includes a 180-day ROFR, and the Development Owner is selling to a Qualified Entity that meets the definition of a CHDO under 24 CFR Part 92, or to an entity that includes a CHDO as one of its controlling members, as approved by the Department, or to the public housing authority or public facility corporation that owns the fee title to the Development Owner's leasehold estate.

(2) A ROFR request must be made in accordance with the LURA for the Development. If there is a conflict between the Development's LURA and this subchapter, every effort will be made to harmonize the provisions. If the conflict cannot be resolved, requirements in the LURA will supersede this subchapter. If there is a conflict between the Development's LURA and Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306, every effort will be made to harmonize the provisions. A

Development Owner may request a LURA amendment to make the ROFR provisions in the LURA consistent with Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306 at any time.

(3) If a LURA includes the ROFR provision, the Development Owner may not request a Preliminary Qualified Contract (if such opportunity is available under the applicable LURA and §10.408 of this Subchapter) until the requirements outlined in this section have been satisfied.

(4) The Department reviews and approves all ownership transfers pursuant to §10.406 of this subchapter. Thus, if a proposed purchaser is identified by the Owner in accordance with paragraph (1) of this subsection or in the ROFR process, the Development Owner and proposed purchaser must complete the ownership transfer process. A Development Owner may not transfer a Development to a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity that is considered an ineligible entity under the Department's rules. In addition, ownership transfers to a Qualified Entity or as applicable a Qualified Nonprofit Organization pursuant to the ROFR process are subject to Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation and Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee).

(5) Satisfying the ROFR requirement does not terminate the LURA or the ongoing application of the ROFR requirement to any subsequent Development Owner.

(6) If there are multiple buildings in the Development, the end of the 15th year of the Compliance Period will be based upon the date the last building(s) began their credit period(s). For example, if five buildings in the Development began their credit periods in 2007 and one in 2008, the 15th year would be 2022. The ROFR process is triggered upon:

(A) The Development Owner's determination to sell the Development to an entity other than as permitted in paragraph (1) of this subsection; or

(B) The simultaneous transfer or concurrent offering for sale of a General Partner's and limited partner's interest in the Development Owner's ownership structure.

(7) The ROFR process is not triggered if a Development Owner seeks to transfer the Development to a newly formed entity:

(A) That is under common control with the Development Owner; and

(B) The primary purpose of the formation of which is to facilitate the financing of the rehabilitation of the Development using assistance administered through a state financing program.

(8) This section applies only to a Right of First Refusal memorialized in the Department's LURA. This section does not authorize a modification of any other agreement between the Development Owner and a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity. The enforceability of a contractual agreement between the Development Owner and a Qualified

Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity may be impacted by the Development Owner's commitments at Application and recorded LURA.

(b) Right of First Refusal Offer Price. There are two general expectations of the ROFR offer price identified in the outstanding LURAs. The descriptions in paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection do not alter the requirements or definitions included in the LURA but provide further clarification as applicable:

(1) Fair Market Value is established using either a current appraisal (completed within three months prior to the ROFR request and in accordance with §11.304 of this title (relating to Appraisal Rules and Guidelines)) of the Property or an executed purchase offer that the Development Owner would like to accept. In either case the documentation used to establish Fair Market Value will be part of the ROFR property listing on the Department's website. The purchase offer must contain specific language that the offer is conditioned upon satisfaction of the ROFR requirement. If a subsequent ROFR request is made within six months of the previously approved ROFR posting, the lesser of the prior ROFR posted value or new appraisal/purchase contract amount must be used in establishing Fair Market Value;

(2) Minimum Purchase Price, pursuant to §42(i)(7)(B) of the Code, is the sum of the categories listed in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph:

(A) The principal amount of outstanding indebtedness secured by the project (other than indebtedness incurred within the five year period immediately preceding the date of said notice); and

(B) All federal, state, and local taxes incurred or payable by the Development Owner as a consequence of such sale. If the Property has a minimum Applicable Fraction of less than one, the offer must take this into account by multiplying the purchase price by the applicable fraction and the fair market value of the non-Low-Income Units. Documentation submitted to verify the Minimum Purchase Price calculation will be part of the ROFR property listing on the Department's website.

(c) Required Documentation. Upon establishing the ROFR offer price, the ROFR process is the same for all types of LURAs. To proceed with the ROFR request, documentation must be submitted as directed in the Post Award Activities Manual, which includes:

(1) ROFR fee as identified in §11.901 of this title (relating to Fee Schedule);

(2) A notice of intent to the Department;

(3) Certification that the Development Owner has provided, to the best of their knowledge and ability, a notice of intent to all additional required persons and entities in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph and that such notice includes, at a minimum the information in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph;

(A) Copies of the letters or emailed notices provided to all persons and entities listed in clauses (i) to (vi) of this subparagraph as required by this paragraph and applicable to the Development at the time of the submission of the ROFR documentation must be attached to the Certification:

- (i) All tenants and tenant organizations, if any, of the Development;
- (ii) Mayor of the municipality (if the Development is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction);
- (iii) All elected members of the Governing Body of the municipality (if the Development Site is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction);
- (iv) Presiding officer of the Governing Body of the county in which the Development is located;
- (v) The local housing authority, if any; and
- (vi) All prospective buyers maintained on the Department's list of prospective buyers.

(B) Letters must include, at a minimum, all of the information required in clauses (i) to (vii) of this subparagraph and must not contain any statement that violates Department rules, statute, Code, or federal requirements:

- (i) The Development's name, address, city, and county;
- (ii) The Development Owner's name, address, individual contact name, phone number, and email address;
- (iii) Information about tenants' rights to purchase the Development through the ROFR;
- (iv) The length of the ROFR posting period;
- (v) The ROFR offer price;
- (vi) A physical description of the Development, including the total number of Units and total number of Low-Income Units; and
- (vii) Contact information for the Department staff overseeing the Development's ROFR application.

(4) Documentation evidencing any contractual ROFR between the Development Owner and a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity, along with evidence that such Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is in good standing in the state of its organization;

(5) Documentation verifying the ROFR offer price of the Property:

(A) If the Development Owner receives an offer to purchase the Property from any buyer other than a Qualified Entity or Qualified Nonprofit Organization that the Development

Owner would like to accept, the Development Owner may execute a sales contract, conditioned upon satisfaction of the ROFR requirement, and submit the executed sales contract to establish fair market value; or

(B) If the Development Owner chooses to establish fair market value using an appraisal, the Development Owner must submit an appraisal of the Property completed during the last three months prior to the date of submission of the ROFR request, establishing a value for the Property in compliance with Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this title (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy) in effect at the time of the request. The appraisal should take into account the existing and continuing requirements to operate the Property under the LURA and any other restrictions that may exist. Department staff will review all materials within 30 calendar days of receipt. If, after the review, the Department does not agree with the fair market value proposed in the Development Owner's appraisal, the Department may order another appraisal at the Development Owner's expense; or

(C) If the LURA requires valuation through the Minimum Purchase Price calculation, submit documentation verifying the calculation of the Minimum Purchase Price as described in subsection (b)(2) of this section regardless of any existing offer or appraised value;

(6) Description of the Property, including all amenities;

(7) Copies of all documents imposing income, rental and other restrictions (non-TDHCA), if any, applicable to the operation of the Property;

(8) A current title commitment or policy not older than six months prior to the date of submission of the ROFR request or the most recent title policy along with a title endorsement or nothing further certificate not older than six months prior to the date of submission of the ROFR request;

(9) The most recent Physical Needs Assessment, pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.186(e) conducted by a Third-Party. If the PNA/SCR identifies the need for critical repairs that significantly impact habitability and tenant safety, the identified repairs and replacements must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department before the Development will be considered eligible to proceed with a Right of First Refusal Request;

(10) Copy of the monthly operating statements, including income statements and balance sheets for the Property for the most recent 12 consecutive months (financial statements should identify amounts held in reserves);

(11) The three most recent consecutive annual operating statements (audited would be preferred);

(12) Detailed set of photographs of the Property, including interior and exterior of representative units and buildings, and the Property's grounds;

(13) Current and complete rent roll for the Property; and

(14) If any portion of the land or improvements is leased for other than residential purposes, copies of the commercial leases.

(d) Posting and offers. Within 30 business days of receipt of all required documentation, the Department will review the submitted documents and notify the Development Owner of any deficiencies. During that time, the Department will notify any Qualified Entity or as applicable any Qualified Nonprofit Organization identified by the Development Owner as having a contractual ROFR of the Development Owner's intent to sell. Once any deficiencies are resolved and the Development Owner and Department come to an agreement on the ROFR offer price of the Property, the Department will list the Property for sale on the Department's website and notify entities registered to the email list maintained by the Department of the availability of the Property at a price as determined under this section. The Department will notify the Development Owner when the Property has been listed. The ROFR posting period commences on the date the Property is posted for sale on the Department's website. During the ROFR posting period, a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity can submit an offer to purchase as follows:

(1) if the LURA requires a 90 day ROFR posting period with no priority for any particular kind of Qualified Nonprofit Organization or tenant organization, any Qualified Nonprofit Organization or tenant organization may submit an offer to purchase the property; or

(2) If the LURA requires a two year ROFR posting period, a Qualified Nonprofit Organization may submit an offer to purchase the Property as follows:

(A) During the first six months of the ROFR posting period, only a Qualified Nonprofit Organization that is a Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) under 24 CFR Part 92, or that is 100% owned by a CHDO, as approved by the Department, may submit an offer;

(B) During the next six months of the ROFR posting period, only a Qualified Nonprofit Organization as described by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, or that is 100% owned by Qualified Nonprofit Organization as described by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, or a tenant organization may submit an offer; and

(C) During the final 12 months of the ROFR posting period, any Qualified Nonprofit Organization may submit an offer; or

(3) If the LURA requires a 180-day ROFR posting period, a Qualified Entity may submit an offer to purchase the Property consistent with the subparagraphs of this paragraph.

(A) During the first 60 days of the ROFR posting period, only a Qualified Entity that is:



(i) a CHDO under 24 CFR Part 92, or to an entity that includes a CHDO as one of its controlling members or general partners, as approved by the Department, may submit an offer. In accordance with 24 CFR Part 92, Developments committed HOME CHDO funding on or after August 23, 2013, and still within the Federal Affordability Period must have a CHDO or its wholly owned entity (as applicable) as its only controlling entities and no other entities are eligible;

(ii) if the public housing authority or public facility corporation owns the fee title to the Development Owner's leasehold estate:

(I) a public housing authority; or

(II) a public facility corporation created by a public housing authority under Chapter 303, Local Government Code; or

(iii) controlled by an entity described by either clause (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph.

(B) During the second 60 days of the ROFR posting period, only a Qualified Entity as described by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, or that is controlled by Qualified Entity as described by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, or a tenant organization such may submit an offer.

(C) During the final 60 days of the ROFR posting period, any Qualified Entity may submit an offer.

(4) If the LURA does not specify a required ROFR posting timeframe or is unclear on the required ROFR posting timeframe and the required ROFR value is determined by the Minimum Purchase Price method, any Development that received a tax credit allocation prior to September 1, 1997, is required to post for a 90-day ROFR period, and any Development that received a tax credit allocation on or after September 1, 1997, and until September 1, 2015, is required to post for a two year ROFR, unless the LURA is amended under §10.405(b), or after September 1, 2015, is required to post for a 180-day ROFR period as described in Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.6726.

(e) Acceptance of offers. A Development Owner may accept or reject any offer received during the ROFR posting period; provided however, that to the extent the LURA gives priority to certain classifications of Qualified Nonprofit Organizations or Qualified Entities to make offers during certain portions of the ROFR posting period, the Development Owner can only negotiate a purchase contract with such classifications of entities during their respective periods. For example, during the CHDO priority period, the Development Owner may only accept an offer from and enter into negotiations with a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity in that classification. A property may not be transferred under the ROFR process for less than the Minimum Purchase Price, but if the sequential negotiation created by statute yields a higher price, the higher price is permitted.

(f) Satisfaction of ROFR.

(1) A Development Owner that has posted a Property under the ROFR process is deemed to have satisfied the ROFR requirements in the following circumstances:

(A) The Development Owner does not receive any bona fide offers at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation) from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the required ROFR posting period;

(B) A bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation), the Development Owner accepts the offer, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity fails to close the purchase, the failure is determined to not be the fault of the Development Owner, and the Development Owner received no other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the required ROFR posting period;

(C) A bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation), the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is not approved by the Department during the ownership transfer review due to issues identified during the Previous Participation Review process pursuant to Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation and Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee), and the Development Owner received no other bona fide offers at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation) from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the required ROFR posting period; or

(D) An offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at a price below the posted ROFR offer price, and the Development Owner received no other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the required ROFR posting period at or above the posted ROFR offer price; or

(2) A Development Owner with a LURA that identifies a specific Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity to be the beneficiary of the ROFR will satisfy the ROFR if:

(A) The identified beneficiary is in existence and conducting business;

(B) The Development Owner offers the Development to the identified beneficiary pursuant to the terms of the ROFR;

(C) If the ROFR includes a priority for a certain type of Qualified Entity (such as a CHDO) to have the first opportunity make an offer to acquire the Development, the identified beneficiary meets such classification; and

(D) The identified entity declines to purchase the Development in writing, and such evidence is submitted to and approved by the Department.

(g) Non-Satisfaction of ROFR. A Development Owner that has posted a Property under the ROFR process does not satisfy the ROFR requirements in the following circumstances:

(1) A bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation), and the Development Owner does not accept the offer;

(2) The LURA identifies a specific Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity to be the beneficiary of the ROFR, and such entity no longer exists or is no longer conducting business and the Development Owner received other bona fide offers at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation) from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the ROFR posting period and fails to accept any of such other offers;

(3) A bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation), the Development Owner accepts the offer, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity fails to close the purchase, the failure is determined to not be the fault of the Development Owner, the Development Owner received other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the ROFR posting period and then fails to accept any of such other offers;

(4) A bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation), the Development Owner accepts the offer, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity fails to close the purchase, and such failure is determined to be the fault of the Development Owner;

(5) A bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation), the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is not approved by the Department during the ownership transfer review due to issues identified during the Previous Participation Review process pursuant to Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation and Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee), the Development Owner received other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the ROFR posting period and fails to accept any of such other offers; or

(6) An offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at a price below the posted ROFR offer price, the Development Owner received other bona fide offers

from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the ROFR posting period at or above the posted ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation), and the Development Owner fails to accept any of such offers.

(h) Activities Following ROFR.

(1) If a Development Owner satisfies the ROFR requirement pursuant to subsection (f)(1) - (2) of this section, it may request a Preliminary Qualified Contract (if such opportunity is available under §10.408 of this Subchapter) or proceed with the sale to an entity that is not a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity at or above the ROFR offer price (or, in the case of a posted minimum purchase price, at the price yielded by the sequential negotiation).

(2) Following notice that the ROFR requirement has been met, if the Development Owner does not post the Property for Qualified Contract in accordance with §10.408 of this Subchapter or sell the Property to an entity that is not a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity within 24 months of the Department's written indication that the ROFR has been satisfied, the Development Owner must follow the ROFR process for any subsequent transfer.

(3) If the Department determines that the ROFR requirement has not been met during the ROFR posting period, the Owner may not re-post under this provision at a ROFR offer price that is higher than the originally posted ROFR offer price until 24 months has expired from the Department's written indication that the ROFR has not been satisfied. The Development Owner may market the Property for sale and sell the Property to a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during this 24 month period in accordance with subsection (a)(1) of this section.

(i) Sale and closing.

(1) Prior to closing a sale of the Property, the Development Owner must obtain Department approval of the transfer through the ownership transfer process in accordance with §10.406 of this Subchapter (relating to Ownership Transfers (§2306.6713)). The request should include, among other required transfer documents outlined in the Post Award Activities Manual, the final sales contract with all amendments.

(2) If the closing price is materially less than the ROFR offering price or the terms and conditions of the sale change materially from what was submitted in the ROFR posting, in the Department's sole determination, the Development Owner must go through the ROFR process again with a revised ROFR offering price equal to the reduced closing price or adjusted terms and conditions based upon the revised terms, before disposing of the Property.

(j) Appeals. A Development Owner may appeal a staff decision in accordance with §11.902 of this title (relating to Appeals Process).

#### **§10.408. Qualified Contract Requirements.**

(a) General. Pursuant to §42(h)(6) of the Code, after the end of the 14th year of the Compliance Period, the Development Owner of a Development utilizing Housing Tax Credits can request that the allocating agency find a buyer at the Qualified Contract Price. If a buyer cannot be located within one year, the Extended Use Period will expire. This section provides the procedures for the submittal and review of a Qualified Contract Request.

(b) Eligibility. Development Owners who received an award of credits on or after January 1, 2002, are not eligible to request a Qualified Contract prior to the 30 year anniversary of the date the property was placed in service (§2306.185); if the property's LURA indicates a commitment to an Extended Use Period beyond 30 years, the Development Owner is not eligible to request a Qualified Contract until the expiration of the Extended Use Period. Development Owners awarded credits prior to 2002 may submit a Qualified Contract Request at any time after the end of the year preceding the last year of the Initial Affordability Period, provided it is not precluded by the terms of the LURA, following the Department's determination that the Development Owner is eligible. The Initial Affordability Period starts concurrently with the credit period, which begins at placement-in-service or is deferred until the beginning of the next tax year, if there is an election. Unless the Development Owner has elected an Initial Affordability Period longer than the Compliance Period, as described in the LURA, this can commence at any time after the end of the 14th year of the Compliance Period. References in this section to actions which can occur after the 14th year of the Compliance Period shall refer, as applicable, to the year preceding the last year of the Initial Affordability Period, if the Development Owner elected an Initial Affordability Period longer than the Compliance Period.

(1) If there are multiple buildings placed in service in different years, the end of the Initial Affordability Period will be based upon the date the last building placed in service. For example, if five buildings in the Development began their credit periods in 2005 and one began in 2006, the 15th year would be 2020.

(2) If a Development received an allocation in multiple years, the end of the Initial Affordability Period will be based upon the last year of a multiple allocation. For example, if a Development received its first allocation in 2004 and a subsequent allocation and began the credit period in 2006, the 15th year would be 2020.

(c) Preliminary Qualified Contract Request. All eligible Development Owners must file a Preliminary Qualified Contract Request.

(1) In addition to determining the basic eligibility described in subsection (b) of this section, the pre-request will be used to determine that:

(A) The Development does not have any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside the corrective action period;

(B) There is a Right of First Refusal (ROFR) connected to the Development that has been satisfied; and

(C) The Compliance Period under the LURA has expired; and

(2) In order to assess the validity of the pre-request, the Development Owner must submit:

(A) Preliminary Request Form;

(B) Qualified Contract Pre-Request fee as outlined in §11.901 of this title (relating to Fee Schedule);

(C) Copy of all regulatory agreements or LURAs associated with the Property (non-TDHCA); and

(D) Copy of a Physical Needs Assessment (PNA), conducted by a Third Party, that is no more than 12 months older than the request date. If the PNA identifies the need for critical repairs that significantly impact habitability and tenant safety, the identified repairs and replacements must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department before the Development will be considered eligible to submit a Qualified Contract Request.

(3) The pre-request will not bind the Development Owner to submit a Qualified Contract Request and does not start the One Year Period (1YP). A review of the pre-request will be conducted by the Department within 90 days of receipt of all documents and fees described in paragraph (2) of this subsection. If the Department determines that this stage is satisfied, a letter will be sent to the Development Owner stating that they are eligible to submit a Qualified Contract (QC) Request.

(d) Qualified Contract Request. A Development Owner may file a QC Request any time after written approval is received from the Department verifying that the Development Owner is eligible to submit the Request.

(1) Documentation that must be submitted with a Request is outlined in subparagraphs (A) - (P) of this paragraph:

(A) A completed application and certification;

(B) The Qualified Contract price calculation worksheets completed by a licensed Third-Party certified public accountant (CPA). The CPA shall certify that they have reviewed annual partnership tax returns for all years of operation, loan documents for all secured debt, and partnership agreements. They shall also certify that they are not being compensated for the assignment based upon a predetermined outcome;

(C) A thorough description of the Development, including all amenities;

(D) A description of all income, rental and other restrictions (non-TDHCA), if any, applicable to the operation of the Development;

(E) A current title report;

(F) A current appraisal with the effective date within six months of the date of the QC Request and consistent with Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this title (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy);

(G) A current Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (and Phase II, if necessary) with the effective date within six months of the date of the QC Request and consistent with Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this title;

(H) A copy of the most recent Physical Needs Assessment of the property conducted by a Third Party, if different from the assessment submitted during the preliminary qualified contract request, consistent with Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this title;

(I) A copy of the monthly operating statements for the Development for the most recent 12 consecutive months;

(J) The three most recent consecutive annual operating statements (audited would be preferred) for the Development;

(K) A detailed set of photographs of the Development, including interior and exterior of representative units and buildings, and the property's grounds;

(L) A current and complete rent roll for the Development;

(M) A certification that all tenants in the Development have been notified in writing of the request for a Qualified Contract. A copy of the letter used for the notification must also be included;

(N) If any portion of the land or improvements is leased, copies of the leases;

(O) The Qualified Contract Fee as identified in §11.901 of this title (relating to Fee Schedule); and

(P) Additional information deemed necessary by the Department.

(2) Unless otherwise directed by the Department pursuant to subsection (g) of this section, the Development Owner shall contract with a broker to market and sell the Property. The Department may, at its sole discretion, notify the Owner that the selected Broker is not approved by the Department. The fee for this service will be paid by the seller, not to exceed 6% of the QC Price.

(3) Within 90 days of the submission of a complete Request, the Department will notify the Development Owner in writing of the acceptance or rejection of the Development Owner's

QC Price calculation. The Department will have one year from the date of the acceptance letter to find a Qualified Purchaser and present a QC. The Department's rejection of the Development Owner's QC Price calculation will be processed in accordance with subsection (e) of this section and the 1YP will commence as provided therein.

(e) Determination of Qualified Contract Price. The QC Price calculation is not the same as the Minimum Purchase Price calculation for the ROFR. The CPA contracted by the Development Owner will determine the QC Price in accordance with §42(h)(6)(F) of the Code taking the following into account:

(1) Outstanding indebtedness secured by, or with respect to, the building;

(2) Distributions to the Development Owner of any and all cash flow, including incentive management fees, capital contributions not reflected in outstanding indebtedness or adjusted investor equity, and reserve balance distributions or future anticipated distributions, but excluding payments of any eligible deferred developer fee. These distributions can only be confirmed by a review of all prior year tax returns for the Development;

(3) All equity contributions will be adjusted based upon the lesser of the consumer price index or 5% for each year, from the end of the year of the contribution to the end of year fourteen or the end of the year of the request for a QC Price if requested at the end of the year or the year prior if the request is made earlier than the last month of the year; and

(4) These guidelines are subject to change based upon future IRS Rulings and/or guidance on the determination of Development Owner distributions, equity contributions and/or any other element of the QC Price.

(f) Appeal of Qualified Contract Price. The Department reserves the right, at any time, to request additional information to document the QC Price calculation or other information submitted. If the documentation does not support the price indicated by the CPA hired by the Development Owner, the Department may engage its own CPA to perform a QC Price calculation and the cost of such service will be paid for by the Development Owner. If a Development Owner disagrees with the QC Price calculated by the Department, a Development Owner may appeal in writing in accordance with §11.902 of this title (relating to Appeals Process). A meeting will be arranged with representatives of the Development Owner, the Department and the CPA contracted by the Department to attempt to resolve the discrepancy. The 1YP will not begin until the Department and Development Owner have agreed to the QC Price in writing. Further appeals can be submitted in accordance with §11.902 of this title (relating to Appeals Process) and Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0321 and §2306.6715.

(g) Marketing of Property. By submitting a Request, the Development Owner grants the Department the authority to market the Development and provide Development information to interested parties. Development information will consist of pictures of the Development,



location, amenities, number of Units, age of building, etc. Development Owner or broker contact information will also be provided to interested parties. The Development Owner is responsible for providing staff any requested information to assist with site visits and inspections. Marketing of the Development will continue until such time that a Qualified Contract is presented or the 1YP has expired. Notwithstanding subsection (d)(2) of this section, the Department reserves the right to contract directly with a Third Party in marketing the Development. Cost of such service, including a broker's fee, will be paid for by the existing Development Owner. The Department must have continuous cooperation from the Development Owner. Lack of cooperation will cause the process to cease and the Development Owner will be required to comply with requirements of the LURA for the remainder of the Extended Use Period. Responsibilities of the Development Owner include but are not limited to the items described in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection. The Development Owner must:

- (1) Allow access to the Property and tenant files;
- (2) Keep the Department informed of potential purchasers; and
- (3) Notify the Department of any offers to purchase.

(h) Presentation of a Qualified Contract. If the Department finds a Qualified Purchaser willing to present an offer to purchase the property for an amount at or above the QC Price, the Development Owner may accept the offer and enter into a commercially reasonable form of earnest money agreement or other contract of sale for the property and provide a reasonable time for necessary due diligence and closing of the purchase. If the Development Owner chooses not to accept the QC offer that the Department presents, the QC request will be closed and the possibility of terminating the Extended Use Period through the Qualified Contract process is eliminated; the Property remains bound by the provisions of the LURA for the remainder of the Extended Use Period. If the Development Owner decides to sell the development for the QC Price pursuant to a QC, the purchaser must complete all requirements of an ownership transfer request and be approved by the Department prior to closing on the purchase, but the consummation of such a sale is not required for the LURA to continue to bind the Development for the remainder of the Extended Use Period.

(1) The Department will attempt to procure a QC only once during the Extended Use Period. If the transaction closes under the contract, the new Development Owner will be required to fulfill the requirements of the LURA for the remainder of the Extended Use Period.

(2) If the Department fails to present a QC before the end of the 1YP, the Department will file a release of the LURA and the Development will no longer be restricted to low-income requirements and compliance. However, in accordance with §42(h)(6)(E)(ii) of the Code, for a three-year period commencing on the termination of the Extended Use Period, the Development Owner may not evict or displace tenants of Low-Income Units for reasons other than good cause and will not be permitted to increase rents beyond the maximum tax credit

rents. Additionally, the Development Owner should submit to the Department a request to terminate the LURA and evidence, in the form of a signed certification and a copy of the letter, to be approved by the Department, that the tenants in the Development have been notified in writing that the LURA will be terminated and have been informed of their protections during the three-year time frame.

(3) Prior to the Department filing a release of the LURA, the Development Owner must correct all instances of noncompliance at the Development.

(i) Compliance Monitoring during Extended Use Period. For Developments that continue to be bound by the LURA and remain affordable after the end of the Compliance Period, the Department will monitor in accordance with the applicable requirements in Subchapters F and G of this chapter (relating to Uniform Multifamily Rules).