DRAFT 2016 State of Texas Consolidated Plan One-Year Action Plan



October 15, 2015

Prepared by:

Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs

Housing Resource Center PO Box 13941 Austin, TX 78711-3941 Phone: (512) 475-3976

Fax: (512) 475-3746 www.tdhca.state.tx.us

Texas Department of Agriculture

Office of Rural Affairs PO Box 12847 Austin, TX 78711-2847 Phone: (512) 936-6701 Fax: (512) 936-6776

www.texasagriculture.gov

Department of State Health Services

HIV/STD Program 1100 W. 49th St. Austin, TX 78756 Phone: (512) 533-3000 Fax: (512) 371-4672 www.dshs.state.tx.us

Executive Summary

AP-05 Executive Summary - 24 CFR 91.200(c), 91.220(b)

1. Introduction

The 2016 One-Year Action Plan ("OYAP") illustrates the combined actions of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs ("TDHCA"), the Texas Department of Agriculture ("TDA"), and the Texas Department of State Health Services ("DSHS"), referred to collectively as the "State." The OYAP reports on the intended use of funds received by the State of Texas from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") for Program Year ("PY") 2016. This OYAP is for the HOME Investment Partnerships ("HOME") Program, the Emergency Solutions Grant ("ESG") Program, the Community Development Block Grant ("CDBG") Program, and the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS ("HOPWA") Program. The 2016 PY begins on February 1, 2016, and ends on January 31, 2017. The performance report on PY 2014 funds was made available July 2015.

2. Summarize the objectives and outcomes identified in the Plan

This could be a restatement of items or a table listed elsewhere in the plan or a reference to another location. It may also contain any essential items from the housing and homeless needs assessment, the housing market analysis or the strategic plan.

The 2016 OYAP:

- 1. Reports on the intended use of funds received by the State from HUD for PY 2016;
- 2. Explains the State's method for distributing CDBG, ESG, HOME, and HOPWA program funds; and
- 3. Provides opportunity for public input on the development of the annual plan.

The State's progress in achieving the goals put forth in the OYAP will be measured according to HUD guidelines (24 CFR §91.520) and outlined in the Annual Performance Report released yearly in May.

In accordance with the guidelines from HUD, the State complies with the Community Planning and Development ("CPD") Outcome Performance Measurement System. Program activities are categorized into the objectives and outcomes listed in the CPD Outcome Performance Measurement System table below.

The objectives and outcomes as they apply to each of the four programs are listed below. The estimated performance figures are based on planned performance during the PY (February 1st through January 31st) of contracts committed and projected households to be served based on estimated availability of

funds. In contrast, the performance measures reported to the Texas Legislative Budget Board for the State Fiscal Year ("SFY" - September 1st through August 31st) are based on anticipated units and households at time of award.

OBJECTIVES	OUTCOME 1 Accessibility	OUTCOME 2	OUTCOME 3
		Affordability	Sustainability
OBJECTIVE #1	Enhance Suitable Living	Enhance Suitable Living	Enhance Suitable Living
Suitable Living	Environment Through	Environment Through	Environment Through
Environment	Improved/New	Improved/New	Improved/New
	Accessibility (SL-1)	Affordability (SL-2)	Sustainability (SL-3)
OBJECTIVE #2	Create Decent Housing	Create Decent Housing	Create Decent Housing
Decent Housing	with Improved/New	with Improved/New	with Improved/New
	Availability (DH-1)	Affordability (DH-2)	Sustainability (DH-3)
OBJECTIVE #3	Provide Economic	Provide Economic	Provide Economic
Economic	Opportunity Through	Opportunity Through	Opportunity Through
Opportunity	Improved/New	Improved/New	Improved/New
	Accessibility (EO-1)	Affordability (EO-2)	Sustainability (EO-3)

Table 1 - CPD Outcome Performance Measurement System

Outcomes and HOME Performance Indicators		Expected
Objectives	Objectives	
DH-2	No. of rental units assisted through new construction and	172
	rehabilitation	
DH-2	No. of tenant-based rental assistance (363
DH-2	No. of existing homeowners assisted through owner-	58
occupied assistance		
DH-2 No. of homeowners assisted through homebuyer		54
	assistance	

Table 2 - HOME Program Performance Measures, PY 2016

Outcomes and	ESG Performance Indicators	Expected
Objectives		Number
SL-1	Provide funding to support the provision of emergency and/or	11,500
	transitional shelter to homeless persons.	
DH-2	Provide of non-residential services including homelessness	4740
	prevention assistance.	

Table 3 - ESG Performance Measures, PY 2016

Objectives and Outcomes	CDBG Performance Indicators	Expected Number
SL-1	Infrastructure Improvements	220
SL-2	Infrastructure Improvements	10
SL-3	Infrastructure Improvements	65
SL-1	Residential Rehabilitation	50
DH-3	Residential Rehabilitation	2
DH-2	Homeownership Assistance	0
SL-1	Community Facilities	8
SL-1	Public Service	0
SL-1	Clearance Demolition Activities	5
EO-1	Direct Financial Assistance	32
EO-2	Direct Financial Assistance	5
EO-3	Infrastructure Improvements to Assist Businesses	30

Table 4 - CDBG Performance Measures, PY 2016

Outcomes and	Outcomes and HOPWA Performance Indicators	
Objectives	Objectives	
DH-2	TBRA housing assistance	448
DH-2	DH-2 Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility (
DH-2	Supportive Services (restricted to housing case mgt., smoke 8	
	detectors, and phone service)	
DH-1	DH-1 Permanent Housing Placement (security deposits, application	
	fees, and credit checks)	

Table 5 - HOPWA Performance Measures, PY 2016

3. Evaluation of past performance

This is an evaluation of past performance that helped lead the grantee to choose its goals or projects.

The information below is for HOME, ESG, CDBG, and HOPWA for PY 2014 (February 1, 2014 to January 31, 2015).

HOME Evaluation of Past Performance

TDHCA's HOME program committed \$30,437,477.99 in program funds through seven different types of HOME Program activities in PY 2014, representing assistance to 1,008 households. Details on the amount committed in each activity type are included in the chart below.

ESG Evaluation of Past Performance

ESG is expended by Federal Fiscal Year (10/1-9/30). TDHCA evaluated ESG funds committed versus funds expended by activity for PY 2014, a time period that consists of half of Federal Fiscal Year 2013 (2/1/2013-9/30/2014) and Federal Fiscal Year 2014 (10/1/2014-1/31/2015). Based on TDHCA's ESG analysis, expenditures had limited disparities and were well within the expected range of state funding for activities, based on goals in the 2014 OYAP. Disparities were found in Homelessness Prevention, where the State committed 23% of the overall budget and the activity accounted for 26% of expenditures, and in Rapid Re-Housing, where the State committed 32% of the total budget and the activity accounted for 30% of expenditures. This indicates that the State effectively programmed and expended funds consistent with its desired goals.

CDBG Evaluation of Past Performance

During PY 2014, the Texas CDBG Program committed a total of \$73,970,187 through 255 awarded contracts. For contracts that were awarded in PY 2014, 394,390 persons were anticipated to receive service. The Colonia Self Help Centers awarded \$1,564,167 in contracts outside the PY2014 reported below. Distribution of the funds by activity is described in the table below.

HOPWA Evaluation of Past Performance

In PY 2014, the DSHS HOPWA program served 455 households with TBRA (113% of the OYAP goal), 369 households with STRMU assistance (86% of the OYAP goal), and 12 households with Permanent Housing Placement ("PHP") assistance (80% of the OYAP goal) for a total of 818 unduplicated households. Of the total households served, 755 also received HOPWA-funded Supportive Services (91% of the OYAP goal). All HOPWA clients receive housing supportive services at some level, but some costs were leveraged with other funding sources. Client outcome goals for housing stability, reducing homelessness risk, and improving access to care were also achieved. (Subtotaled and/or totaled dollar amounts may not be exact due to all expenses are reported to two decimal points but are rounded to nearest whole dollar for the HOPWA chart.)

HOME Activity	Total Committed
Homebuyer Assistance	\$1,598,283.94
Homeowner Rehabilitation	\$17,715,798.05
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	\$3,147,580
CHDO Rental Development	\$0
CHDO Single Family Development	\$875,816
CHDO Operating Expenses	\$50,000
Rental Housing Development	\$7,050,000

Table 6 - HOME Commitments by Activity, PY 2014

ESG Activity	Total Funds Expended
Street Outreach	\$574,171.64
Emergency Shelter	\$2,942,980.72
Homelessness Prevention	\$1,733494.78
Rapid Re-Housing	\$3,008,286.69
Homeless Management Information Systems	\$505,803.32
Administration	\$321,800
Total	\$9,387,050.09

Table 7 - ESG Fund Expenditures by Activity, PY 2014

CDBG Fund	Total Obligation
Community Development Fund	\$36,923,015
Texas Capital Fund	\$8,861,714
Colonia Planning and Construction Fund	\$3,948,986
Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program Fund	\$2,034,326
Colonia Self-Help Centers ("SHC")*	\$1,495,828
Planning / Capacity Building	\$540,640
Disaster Relief/ Urgent Need	\$2,446,820
STEP Fund	\$1,866,793
Administration (including TA) 3%	\$1,794,993
Admin - \$100k (in addition to the 3%)	\$100,000
Total	\$59,833,115
*The Colonia Self Help Centers allocated \$1,495,828 in PY2014	

Table 8 - CDBG Funds Committed, PY 2014

HOPWA Activity	Amount
Expenditures for Housing Information Services	\$0
Expenditures for Resource Identification	\$0
Expenditures for Housing Assistance (equals the sum of all sites and scattered-site	\$2,060,888
Housing Assistance)	
Expenditures for Supportive Services	\$375,629
Grantee Administrative Costs expended	
Project Sponsor(s) Administrative Costs expended	

Table 9 - HOPWA Program Expenditures, PY 2014

4. Summary of Citizen Participation Process and consultation process

Summary from citizen participation section of plan.

The State is committed to collaboration with a diverse cross-section of the public in order to meet the various affordable housing needs of Texans. The State also collaborates with governmental bodies, nonprofits, and community and faith-based groups. Following the release of the Draft 2016 One Year Action Plan, a 30-day public comment period will be open from October 19, 2015, through November 19, 2015. During this time, a public hearing will be held in Austin. Public comment will be accepted in person at the public hearing, in writing by email, fax, or mail. More information on the citizen participation, consultation, and public comment will be included in the final version of the Plan. Following the release of HUD's Final Rule to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing, the State is making efforts to update the Citizen Participation Plan and Language Access Plan, as the State works towards the development of the Assessment of Fair Housing, anticipated to occur in or near May 2019.

5. Summary of public comments

This could be a brief narrative summary or reference an attached document from the Citizen Participation section of the Con Plan.

Public comments received after the Draft 2016 OYAP is released will be summarized here.

6. Summary of comments or views not accepted and the reasons for not accepting them

The comments or views not accepted will be included in the final version of the Plan. Because of the flexible nature of a draft Plan, all comments are considered for revisions.

7. Summary

The consolidated planning process occurs once every five years, so creating a comprehensive 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan was vital for CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA. Because of the Consolidated Plan's authority to govern these programs, research from multiple sources, including other government plans, peer-reviewed journals, news sources, and fact sheets were used; valuable public input was gathered through roundtable meetings, council/workgroup meetings, public hearings, online surveys, and an online forum; and an expansive public input process was included in the development of the Consolidated Plan. The 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan is now carried out through Annual Action Plans, which provide a concise summary of the actions, activities, and the specific federal and non-federal resources that will be used each year to address the priority needs and specific goals identified by the Consolidated Plan.

PR-05 Lead & Responsible Agencies - 91.300(b)

1. Agency/entity responsible for preparing/administering the Consolidated Plan

The following are the agencies/entities responsible for preparing the Consolidated Plan and those responsible for administration of each grant program and funding source.

Agency Role	Department/Agency
CDBG Administrator	Texas Department of Agriculture
HOPWA Administrator	Texas Department of State Health Services
HOME Administrator	Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs
ESG Administrator	Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs

Table 10 - Responsible Agencies

Narrative

TDHCA administers the ESG Program and the HOME Program; the TDA administers the CDBG Program; and DSHS administers the HOPWA Program. All of these programs, known collectively as Community Planning and Development ("CPD") Programs, are covered in the 2016 OYAP. TDHCA is the entity responsible for overseeing the development of the OYAP.

Key Organizational Events

In 1991, the 72nd Texas Legislature created TDHCA. TDHCA's enabling legislation combined programs from the Texas Housing Agency, the Texas Department of Community Affairs, and the Community Development Block Grant Program from the Texas Department of Commerce. Effective September 1, 2002, in accordance with Senate Bill 322, the Manufactured Housing Division became an independent entity administratively attached to TDHCA.

The CDBG Program was transferred from TDHCA to the newly-created Office of Rural Community Affairs, later called the Texas Department of Rural Affairs, and was then subsequently moved to TDA. As of October 1, 2011, the program is administered by TDA. Through an interagency agreement with TDA, TDHCA administers 2.5% of the CDBG funds which are designated for the SHCs along the Texas-Mexico border. DSHS administers HOPWA.

With the exception of the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, TDHCA, TDA, and DSHS administer their programs and services through a network of organizations across Texas and do not typically fund individuals directly. Depending on the program, organizations include units of local government, councils of governments, nonprofit organizations, for-profit organizations, Administrative

Agencies ("AA"), Public Housing Authorities ("PHAs"), and Community Housing Development Organizations ("CHDOs").

Consolidated Plan Public Contact Information

ESG and HOME Contact Information: Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs PO Box 13941 Austin, TX 78711-3941 (800) 525-0657 http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/

CDBG Contact Information:
Texas Department of Agriculture, Office of Rural Affairs
PO Box 12847,
Austin, TX 78711-2847
(800) 835-5832
http://texasagriculture.gov/Home/ContactUs.aspx

HOPWA Contact Information:
DSHS HIV/STD Prevention and Care Branch, HIV Care Services Group, HOPWA Program,
PO Box 149347, Mail Code 1873
Austin, TX 78714-9347
(512) 533-3000
http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/hivstd/hopwa/default.shtm

AP-10 Consultation - 91.110, 91.300(b); 91.315(l)

1. Introduction

In an effort to gather information from diverse audiences, TDHCA uses many forms of technology to communicate efficiently, including online surveys, forums, social media, and email distribution. Online surveys increase the response rate of participants as well as allowing for faster data analysis, as illustrated in the ESG electronic survey, described below. Also, online forums are used in the development of program rules and distribution methods. Online forums are advertised at workgroups and committees as well as on social media. The availability of all these methods is communicated primarily via the TDHCA website, opt-in email distribution lists, and social media.

An online presence allows TDHCA to reach out to encourage participation and consultation. The External Affairs Division of TDHCA has implemented a social media presence, specifically through Twitter and Facebook. Numerous tweets and posts will be sent out during the public input process on the draft Plan. Furthermore, TDHCA sends out notices via voluntary email lists, where subscribed individuals and entities can receive email updates on TDHCA information, announcements, and trainings. Use of technology allows fast communication to a large audience.

Provide a concise summary of the state's activities to enhance coordination between public and assisted housing providers and private and governmental health, mental health and service agencies (91.215(I)).

The Texas Legislature has created the Housing and Health Services Coordinating Council which meets not less than quarterly and carries out a variety of coordinating, educational, analytical, and training efforts. This council is chaired by TDHCA's executive director and has representation from a wide array of agencies that provide health related services. It is supported by TDHCA staff.

The State works to enhance coordination between public and assisted housing providers, and private and governmental health, mental health and service agencies. For example, TDHCA staff routinely attends inter- and intra-agency meetings to educate and coordinate housing and services, as described in the following sections of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan: Strategic Plan Section 35, Anticipated Resources, and Action Plan Section 65, Homeless and Other Special Needs. The State is also a subrecipient of Money Follows the Persons funds via the Department of Aging and Disability Services and of Section 811 funds — in both programs intensive coordination and collaboration is occurring relating to the interplay between health services and housing.

DSHS contracts with seven AAs across the State to provide administrative support in implementing the State's HOPWA formula program. AAs work with HIV Planning Councils in major metropolitan areas and with other organizations and stakeholders outside the major metropolitan areas to develop comprehensive HIV Services plans and needs assessments, which are developed through consultation

with clients and other stakeholders through interviews, surveys, focus groups, and/or public hearings. AAs must communicate with stakeholders through disseminating written copies of services plans, posting the plans on the internet, town hall meetings, and advisory groups. Project Sponsors work closely with the local public housing authority offices to identify and establish relationships with other organizations that may have available resources. This ongoing collaboration provides access to organizations and programs, such as the housing choice vouchers; Continuum of Care ("CoC"); community health clinics; churches and private foundations; and Ryan White and HIV Planning Councils.

TDHCA launched its new fair housing email list in July 2014. This email list is meant for all persons and organizations who wish to be updated on fair housing-related TDHCA news, event information, and announcements. Because of the time needed to sign up to the email list, other email lists were used to advertise consultations. However, fair housing organizations received notice of the consultations, as evidenced by their participation in the Online Forum and Single Family Roundtables.

TDA consults with local governments both in person and through web-based meetings. As a part of the traditional CDBG planning process, public hearings were held in each of the 24 Council of Government planning regions. Each Regional Review Committee, composed of local elected officials, discussed local funding priorities for the Community Development Fund and adopted scoring criteria to implement those priorities. TDA also conducted two webinars to provide information regarding changes proposed for the CDBG program. Local governments and professional service providers associated with the program from across the state participated in the online presentation and discussion and provided written feedback to the agency. These same proposals were also discussed in the Regional Review Committee public hearings. Changes to the Community Development Fund, the largest funding category in the CDBG program, were postponed as a result of these consultations and will receive further review and revision.

Provide a concise summary of the state's activities to enhance coordination with local jurisdictions serving Colonias and organizations working within Colonias communities.

There are two main methods in which TDHCA coordinates its work with other colonia-serving entities. One relates to the Colonia SHC Program which funds specific Texas-border county governments with four-year contracts. Awards and funding associated with this program are reviewed and recommended by a Colonia Resident Advisory Group ("C-RAC"). The other coordination effort relates to a cross-agency effort organized by the Texas Secretary of State that generates structured communications and data collection in conjunction with other state agencies serving colonias with their respective programs.

On a very frequent basis—weekly or more often—TDHCA provides guidance and oversight to the county governments with which TDHCA has executed a SHC contract. Somewhat less often, TDHCA provides guidance and technical assistance to the housing subgrantees with whom each respective county has contracted to achieve specific deliverables per their individualized SHC subcontract. Every one to two years, TDHCA organizes and implements a workshop for all eligible counties and their subgrantees to

review rules and best practices and to exchange other program updates. Periodically, TDHCA convenes a meeting with the C-RAC, which is a group of colonia residents who live in the specific colonias served by the centers. This grass-roots-style committee approves contracts, evaluates county recommendations and provides TDHCA and the counties with guidance on programming and activities in the colonias. Lastly, approximately every two years, TDHCA updates its SHC Program rules, and initiates this process by first soliciting comment from the public at large for critiques of the current rules and suggestions for changes.

As a part of the processes discussed above, TDA met with elected officials from counties serving colonia areas. The local leaders discussed funding priorities for the Community Development Fund, including projects that could serve colonia areas.

On a quarterly basis, TDHCA and TDA convene with several other state agencies that directly serve colonia residents in the areas of utilities infrastructure, transportation infrastructure, water/water water, health services, housing, and consumer issues. This group is called the Colonia Interagency Infrastructure Coordination Work Group and is organized by the Texas Office of the Secretary of State's Colonia Initiatives Program. This group has been meeting regularly since approximately 2007 when Texas passed legislation requiring the systematic identification and classification of Texas colonias, and the tracking of colonia-serving state-funded projects. The overarching goal of the workgroup is to stop the proliferation of colonias and improve the health, safety, and quality of life for colonia residents in the Texas-Mexico border region. By classifying colonias based on their level of infrastructure and access to public health services, various state agencies, and the Texas Legislature are able to prioritize funding and target colonias with critical needs (Texas Office of the Secretary of State, 2010). Besides TDHCA and TDA, other agency members of this work group include the Texas Water Development Board ("TWDB"), the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the Texas Department of Transportation, HHSC, and the DSHS.

Further, to promote greater supply of rental housing for colonia residents, TDHCA has scoring criteria in its Qualified Allocation Plan for properties proposed in colonias.

Describe coordination with the Continuum of Care and efforts to address the needs of homeless persons (particularly chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans, and unaccompanied youth) and persons at risk of homelessness.

The Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless ("TICH") was created in 1989 by the Texas Legislature to coordinate the State's homeless resources and services. The TICH consists of representatives from eleven state agencies that serve persons experiencing or at risk of homelessness. Membership also includes representatives appointed by the office of the governor, the lieutenant governor, and the speaker of the house. The council receives no funding and has no full-time staff, but receives facilitation and advisory support from TDHCA. TICH's major mandates include:

- evaluating and helping coordinate the delivery of services for the homeless in Texas;
- increasing the flow of information among service providers and appropriate authorities;
- providing technical assistance to TDHCA in assessing the need for housing for people with special needs;
- developing, in coordination with TDHCA and the Health and Human Services Commission ("HHSC"), a strategic plan to address the needs of the homeless; and
- maintaining a central resource and information center for the homeless.

The TICH holds quarterly meetings and has four committees: Housing and Supportive Services; Homelessness Prevention; Data, Research and Analysis; and State Infrastructure. In addition, the Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless has been meeting with the CoCs to coordinate homeless services. These efforts are reinforced by the 2011 update to HUD's 24 Code of Federal Regulation ("CFR") Part 91 that requires ESG recipients to expand consultation with community partners and CoCs in the formation of consolidated planning documents. The consultation addresses the allocation of resources; development of performance standards and evaluation; and development of funding, policy and procures for operating state-required Homeless Management Information Systems ("HMIS"). The TICH held two meetings during the development of the draft Plan and TDHCA twice took input from the TICH on housing and community development needs.

Describe consultation with the Continuum(s) of Care that serves the State in determining how to allocate ESG funds, develop performance standards for and evaluate outcomes of projects and activities assisted by ESG funds, and develop funding, policies and procedures for the operation and administration of HMIS

TDHCA releases its annual ESG survey, which seeks direct program input from Continua of Care ("CoCs") and their member agencies regarding allocation of ESG funds, development of performance standards and outcomes evaluation, and development of funding, policies, and procedures for the administration of HMIS. On January 9, 2014, TDHCA released a survey to receive input of CoCs in the State of Texas on the allocation of funding, performance standards and HMIS policies and procedures for its 2014 ESG funds. Comments received from fourteen agencies representing six CoCs. Notice of the survey was sent out via list serve announcement. Comments were collected electronically. Comments received that impacted the 2014 allocation of funds were considered in planning the 2014 and 2015 competitive award cycle. TDHCA anticipates releasing a similar survey during fall of 2015 or winter of 2016 to gather input for future planning.

Persons who commented on the 2014 ESG survey generally supported the TDHCA method of allocation and did not support the idea of limiting funding to applicants that do not receive direct funding from HUD. Emergency shelter, homelessness prevention, and rapid re-housing remain the highest needs among the commenters. Commenters generally support the idea of direct ESG funding to the CoCs but require more information and clarity on the actual administrative process should this funding method be used. In addition, commenters requested that TDHCA align its reporting to mirror the HMIS. TDHCA

reporting is based on HUD's requirements for the Consolidated Annual Performance Report ("CAPER"). As HUD moves to revise the CAPER to more closely reflect HMIS, TDHCA will follow.

TDHCA also consults with CoCs through involvement in the TICH and through participation in the Texas Conference on Ending Homelessness. In the past few years, TDHCA piloted a direct funding program with one CoC that will inform future coordination with all CoCs. In 2015, TDHCA worked with one other CoC to award TDHCA ESG funds through a local competition. These pilots will inform future coordination with all CoCs.

2. Agencies, groups, organizations and others who participated in the process and consultations

Table 11 - Agencies, groups, organizations who participated

1	Agency/Group/Organization	TICH
	Agency/Group/Organization Type	Services-Elderly Persons
		Services-Persons with Disabilities
		Services-homeless
	What section of the Plan was addressed by	Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless
	Consultation?	Homeless Needs - Families with children
		Homelessness Needs - Veterans
		Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth
		Homelessness Strategy
		Non-Homeless Special Needs
	Briefly describe how the	Agencies on the TICH will be added in this
	Agency/Group/Organization was consulted. What	section, as input on the 2016 OYAP will be
	are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation	gathered at the October 14, 2015 quarterly
	or areas for improved coordination?	TICH meeting. Detail on these agencies will be
		added to the table above.

Identify any Agency Types not consulted and provide rationale for not consulting

During the consultation and public participation process, Texas will seek input from a wide range of agency types.

Other local/regional/state/federal planning efforts considered when preparing the Plan

Name of Plan	Lead Organization	How do the goals of your Strategic Plan overlap with the goals of each plan?
Continuum of		
Care		
Pathways	TICH	Pathways Home presents findings which indicate that greater
Home		coordination of employment and health service resources with local
		housing programs would expand the State's capacity to prevent and
		end episodes of homelessness. In response to the study findings,
		Pathways Home proposes a framework to help more of the State's
		most vulnerable citizens to enter and remain in safe housing.

Table 12 – Other local / regional / federal planning efforts

Narrative

The State will continue to consult with agencies, groups, and organizations through the public comment period.

AP-12 Participation - 91.115, 91.300(c)

1. Summary of citizen participation process/Efforts made to broaden citizen participation

Summarize citizen participation process and how it impacted goal-setting

The State recognizes that citizen participation and consultation are ongoing processes. During the development of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan, comprehensive outreach was conducted to gather input. This comprehensive outreach continues through the development of each Annual Action Plan, within the 5-year consolidated planning process. Following the release of HUD's Final Rule to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing, the State is making efforts to update the Citizen Participation Plan and Language Access Plan, as the State works towards the development of the Assessment of Fair Housing, anticipated to be due to HUD in approximately May 2019.

The 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan, as adopted, substantial amendments, the OYAP, and the Consolidated Plan Annual Performance and Evaluation Report ("CAPER") will be available to the public online at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us and will have materials accessible to persons with disabilities, upon request.

Encouragement of Public Participation

To reach minorities and non-English speaking residents, the draft Plan outreach will follow TDHCA's Language Access Plan. Also, the notices will be printed in Spanish and English, per Texas Government Code §2105. Translators will be made available at public meetings, if requested.

The State encourages the involvement of individuals of low incomes and persons with disabilities in the allocation of funds and planning process through regular meetings, including community-based institutions, consumer workgroups, and councils (many of these meetings are listed in the Strategic Plan Section 35 of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan). All public hearing locations are accessible to all who choose to attend. Comments can be submitted either at a public hearing or in writing via mail, fax, or email.

The State notifies residents in areas where CDBG funds are proposed for use by distributing information on public hearings through the CDBG email list from TDA. Information related to the Plan and opportunities for feedback were provided through webinars and web discussions that allowed participation by residents of rural areas without requiring travel to a central location. Regional public hearings held as part of the Regional Review Committee process also encouraged participation by CDBG stakeholders.

Public hearings

The Draft 2016 OYAP will be released for a 30-day public comment period from October 19, 2015, to November 19, 2015. A public hearing will be held in Austin on November 16, 2015. Constituents are encouraged to provide input regarding all programs in writing or at the public hearing. The public hearing schedule is published in the Texas Register and on TDHCA's website at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us, and is advertised during various workgroups and committee meetings. During the public comment period, printed copies of the draft Plan will be available from TDHCA, and electronic copies may be available for download from TDHCA's website.

Criteria for Amendment to the Consolidated Plan

Substantial amendments will be considered if a new activity is developed for any of the funding sources or there is a change in method of distribution. If a substantial amendment is needed, reasonable notice by publication on TDHCA's website at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us will be given, and comments will be received for no less than 30 days after notice is given. A public hearing will be optional.

Performance Report

The 2017 CAPER will analyze the results of the 2016 OYAP. Due to the short 90-day turnaround time of the CAPER between the end of HUD's Program Year (1/31) and the due date, the public will be given reasonable notice by publication on TDHCA's website at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us. Comment will be accepted for a minimum of 15 days. A public hearing will be optional.

2. Summary citizen participation process and efforts made to broaden citizen participation in Colonias

There are two main methods in which TDHCA coordinates its work with other colonia-serving entities. One relates to the Colonia Self Help Center Program which funds specific Texas-border county governments with four-year contracts. Awards and funding associated with this program are reviewed and recommended by a Colonia Resident Advisory Group ("C-RAC"), which is a group of colonia residents who live in the specific colonias served by the centers. The other coordination effort relates to a cross-agency effort organized by the Texas Secretary of State that generates structured communications and data collection in conjunction with other state agencies serving colonias with their respective programs.

On a very frequent basis—weekly or more often—TDHCA provides guidance and oversight to the county governments with which TDHCA has executed a SHC contract. Somewhat less often, TDHCA provides guidance and technical assistance to the housing subgrantees with whom each respective county has contracted to achieve specific deliverables per their individualized SHC subcontract. Every one to two years, TDHCA organizes and implements a workshop for all eligible counties and their subgrantees to review rules, best practices, and exchange other program updates. Periodically, TDHCA convenes a meeting with C-RAC. This grass-roots-style committee approves contracts, evaluates county recommendations, and provides TDHCA and the counties guidance on programming and activities in the

colonias. Lastly, approximately every two years, TDHCA updates its SHC Program rules, and initiates this process by first soliciting comment from the public at large for critiques of the current rules and suggestions for changes.

As a part of the process discussed above, TDA met with elected officials from counties serving colonia areas. The local leaders discussed funding priorities for the Community Development Fund, including projects that could serve colonia areas.

On a quarterly basis, TDHCA and TDA convene with several other state agencies that directly serve colonia residents in the areas of utilities infrastructure, transportation infrastructure, water/water water, health services, housing, and consumer issues. This group is called the Colonia Interagency Infrastructure Coordination Work Group and is organized by the Texas Office of the Secretary of State's Colonia Initiatives Program. This group has been meeting regularly since approximately 2007 when Texas passed legislation requiring the systematic identification and classification of Texas colonias, and the tracking of colonia-serving state-funded projects. The overarching goal of the workgroup is to stop the proliferation of colonias and improve the health, safety, and quality of life for colonia residents in the Texas-Mexico border region. By classifying colonias based on their level of infrastructure and access to public health services, various state agencies, and the Texas Legislature are able to prioritize funding and target colonias with critical needs (Texas Office of the Secretary of State, 2010). Besides TDHCA and TDA, other agency members of this work group include the Texas Water Development Board ("TWDB"), the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the Texas Department of Transportation, HHSC, and DSHS.

Citizen Participation Outreach

Sort Order	Mode of Outreach	Target of Outreach	Summary of response/ attendance	Summary of comments received	Summary of comments not accepted and reasons	URL (If applicable)
1	Public Hearing	Non- targeted/ broad communit y	Detail will be included following the public comment period.			
2	Internet Outreach	Non- targeted/ broad communit y	TDHCA has a centralized webpage for public comment on all plans, reports, and program rules.			http://www.t dhca.state.tx. us/public- comment.htm

Table 13 - Citizen Participation Outreach

Expected Resources

AP-15 Expected Resources – 91.320(c)(1,2)

Introduction

CPD funding is governed by this Consolidated Plan, but the State also works to collaborate, coordinate, and layer non-CPD funding sources in order to reach more Texans and more efficiently use available funds. Programs listed in the anticipated resources narrative sections below could be used to leverage CPD funds. These include:

- 4% HTC/PAB Program;
- 9% HTC Program;
- Homeless and Housing Services Program ("HHSP");
- Housing Trust Fund Program;
- Mortgage Credit Certificate ("MCC") Program;
- First time homebuyer loan programs, including the My First Texas Home Program;
- Neighborhood Stabilization Program Program Income ("NSP PI");
- Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV") Program;
- Section 811 Project Rental Assistance ("PRA") Program; and
- Tax Credit Assistance Program ("TCAP") Loan Repayments.

For the programs above, the expected future funding amounts, to the extent known, are in the planning documents governing those programs. These documents can be found online at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/. The anticipated resources below are focused on CPD Programs.

TDHCA participates in numerous committees, workgroups, and councils which help TDHCA stay apprised of other potential resources to address affordable housing needs. Relationships with other federal and state agencies and local governments are extremely valuable, helping Texas agencies to coordinate housing and services and serve all Texans efficiently and effectively. TDHCA's involvement in these committees promotes identifying opportunities to proactively pursue federal funding opportunities. TDHCA actively seeks engagement and input from community advocates, funding recipients, potential applicants for funding, and others to obtain input regarding the development of effective policies, programs and rules. Changes to funding plans are made periodically based on feedback received through these avenues.

TDHCA is the lead agency for the following workgroups:

C-RAC: C-RAC is a committee of colonia residents appointed by the TDHCA Governing Board. It advises TDHCA regarding the needs of colonia residents and the types of programs and activities which should

be undertaken by the Colonia SHCs. The Colonia SHCs funds are provided to seven specific predetermined counties which, in turn, procure organizations to operate their SHCs.

Disability Advisory Workgroup ("DAW"): The DAW augments TDHCA's formal public comment process, affording staff the opportunity to interact more informally and in greater detail with various stakeholders and to get feedback on designing more successful programs, with a specific focus on gaining insight on issues impacting persons with disabilities.

Housing and Health Services Coordination Council ("HHSCC"): HHSCC is established by Texas Government Code §2306.1091. Its duties include promoting coordination of efforts to offer Service-Enriched Housing and focusing on other cross-agency efforts.

Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless ("TICH"): The TICH was statutorily created in 1989 to coordinate the State's homeless resources and services. The TICH consists of representatives from eleven state agencies. TDHCA, as the primary source for state homelessness funding, provides administrative and planning support to the TICH.

Weatherization Assistance Program Planning Advisory Committee ("WAP PAC"): The WAP PAC is comprised of a broad representation of organizations and agencies and provides balance and background related to the weatherization and energy conservation programs at TDHCA.

The descriptions of the collaborations for DSHS and TDA are in the Discussion question of this section below.

Anticipated Resources

Program	Source	Uses of Funds	Expected Am	ount Available	Year 1		Expected	Narrative Description
	of Funds		Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total:	Amount Available Reminder of	
							ConPlan \$	
CDBG	public - federal	Acquisition Admin and Planning Economic Development Housing Public Improvements Public Services	53,849,803	2,500,000	13,000,00	69,349,803	269,249,015	TDA's CDBG Program funds community and economic development, excluding the colonia set-aside. Communities may also coordinate CDBG funding with U.S. Department of Agriculture's ("USDA") Rural Development funds or Texas Water Development Board's ("TWDB") State Revolving Fund.
CDBG Colonias Set-aside	public - federal	Acquisition Admin and Planning Homebuyer assistance Homeowner rehab Public Improvements Public Services	5,983,312	0	0	5,983,312	29,916,560	The Colonia Set-Aside is used both by TDA and TDHCA for goals described in the Strategic Plan Section 45. The Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program ("CEDAP") Legislative Set - Aside leverages funding from the TWDB's Economically Distressed Areas Program. TDHCA's Office of Colonia Initiatives ("OCI") administers a portion of the CDBG Colonia Set-Aside through its Colonia SHCs.

Program Sou	ource	Uses of Funds	Expected Am	ount Available	Year 1		Expected	Narrative Description
of Fui	ınds		Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total:	Amount Available Reminder of ConPlan	
							\$	
'	ublic -	Acquisition Homebuyer assistance Homeowner rehab Multifamily rental new construction Multifamily rental rehab New construction for ownership TBRA	21,575,627	8,000,000	0	29,575,627	88,726,881	TDHCA's HOME Program goals are described in the Strategic Plan Section 45 for multifamily and single family activities. Single family HOME homebuyer activity may be coordinated with TDHCA's My First Texas Home Program, which can supplement down payment assistance, and the MCC Program, which provides a yearly tax credit of up to \$2,000 annually that reduced the homebuyers' federal income tax liability. HOME Multifamily Development funds can be layered with 4% HTCs and 9% HTCs. In addition, TDHCA's Section 811 PRA, a project-based supportive housing program for persons with disabilities, and TDHCA's Section 8 HCV may be used within HOME developments. Starting in 2015, TDHCA's TCAP loan repayments and NSP PI may be used to supplement or support multifamily and single-family HOME. TDHCA develops rules that govern all HOME activities, including the Uniform Multifamily Rules, Single Family Umbrella Rule, Single Family HOME Program Rule, and other rules that are administrative in nature found under 10 Texas Administrative Code.

Program	Source	Uses of Funds	Expected Am	nount Available	e Year 1		Expected	Narrative Description
	of Funds		Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total:	Amount Available Reminder of ConPlan	
HOPWA	public -	Permanent	2,947,262	0	0	2,947,262	\$ 8,841,786	DSHS' HOPWA state formula funds the
	federal	housing in				, ,		following activities: TBRA; STRMU; PHP; and
		facilities						Supportive Services. Project Sponsors leverage
		Permanent						available funds from Ryan White and State
		housing						Services grants to assist clients with housing
		placement						needs, medical and non-medical case
		Short term or						management, emergency utility assistance,
		transitional						mental health, transportation, and nutritional
		housing						services to address the needs of eligible clients.
		facilities						
		STRMU						
		Supportive						
		services						
		TBRA						

Program	Source	Uses of Funds	Expected Am	ount Available	Year 1		Expected	Narrative Description
	of Funds		Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total:	Amount Available Reminder of ConPlan	
ESG	public - federal	Conversion and rehab for transitional housing Financial Assistance Overnight shelter Rapid rehousing (rental assistance) Rental Assistance Services Transitional housing	8,891,395	0	0	8,891,395	35,565,580	TDHCA's ESG funds are awarded via contract to Subrecipient agencies that provide emergency shelter, homelessness prevention, rapid rehousing, and Homeless Management Information Systems ("HMIS") activities. HHSP is Texas state general revenue funding for the largest cities to provide flexibility to undertake activities that complement ESG activities. Note that not all ESG direct recipients in Texas are HHSP grantees. Use of funds also includes Administration.

Table 14 - Expected Resources - Priority Table

Explain how federal funds will leverage those additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements will be satisfied

HOME

HOME multifamily development is often used to leverage with the HTC Program, which authorizes 9% low-income housing tax credits of \$2.30 per capita for each state, and 4% low-income housing tax credits in amounts linked to the usage of the state's cap for issuance of tax exempt bonds to finance affordable housing development. In Texas, this equates to approximately \$61,400,000 in 9% tax credits available to be awarded by TDHCA annually. These credits may be claimed each year for ten years and represents potential tax credit value on the magnitude of \$610,000,000. The credits are syndicated to limited partner investors to yield cash for use in eligible development activities. Currently typical syndication rates range between 92% and 95%. TDHCA's Qualified Allocation Plan ("QAP") identifies the criteria used for selection of eligible developments to provide housing for low-income tenants. HOME provides increased leverage, allowing property owners to utilize fewer tax credits and less private debt and local funding, thus providing more efficient use of resources. Other leveraging sources may include United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") operating subsidies and loans, and conventional and FHA-insured loans. Match requirements for the HOME Multifamily Development Program will in part be met through Rules that establish awardees' minimum amount of match as 5% of the award amount. TDHCA increased match requirements for single family activities to more effectively use limited funding. TDHCA has also requested for HUD to approve a waiver that its state-funded Bootstrap program be eligible as match and is responding to HUD requests for additional detail.

ESG

In 2011, the Texas Legislature created the HHSP statute and funded it with General Revenue funds. Through HHSP, the State allocates funds to cities in Texas with a population of 285,500 or greater to support services to homeless individuals and families. These funds are sometimes used as match for either State or local ESG funding. To meet the ESG match requirement, TDHCA includes the provision of evidence of proposed match as part of the application process. Subrecipients are required to match 100% of their ESG award in the same budget categories for which the Subrecipient was funded. A Subrecipient that is unable to match the award is eligible to apply to TDHCA for a match waiver up to \$100,000. However, these requests have been quite rare. In the FFY 2015 application process, TDHCA received no requests and will continue to actively determine which organization(s) will benefit from the match waiver.

HOPWA

Texas HOPWA does not have program income but leverages funds whenever possible. Project Sponsors leverage available funds from Ryan White and State Services grants, private funding sources, foundations, and local assistance to help clients. AAs do not receive administrative funds from DSHS, so those costs are leveraged from other funding sources. Texas is not required to match the HOPWA

formula award.

CDBG

Nearly 80% of TX CDBG grants include local match fund commitments. Matching funds are required for certain grants, while other grants award points to encourage local match; a sliding scale allows smaller communities to contribute less match funding than larger communities. Match funds may be provided by the applicant, or by a water or sewer utility benefiting from the project. Economic development projects benefiting private business require 1-for-1 match commitment, with the business most often providing this substantial match. Recent updates to the Colonia SHC Program rules have capped program assistance at \$50,000 per household for reconstruction and new construction, and \$40,000 per household for rehabilitation. These limits encourage administrators to leverage funds with other resources as well as assist more households than in prior years.

If appropriate, describe publically owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that may be used to address the needs identified in the plan

The Texas General Land Office manages state owned lands and mineral rights totaling approximately 13 million acres. Much of this is leased for the benefit of the Permanent School Fund, an endowment fund established in 1876 for the benefit of Texas public school education. There is currently no plan to use state owned land for affordable housing or community development goals; however, local jurisdictions occasionally donate land or property in support of activities designed to address the needs identified in the plan as part of their contribution to locally administered programs.

Discussion

HOPWA: Continuing with the discussion of collaboration begun in the Introduction of this section, DSHS is the lead for several HIV-related councils and workgroups which provide opportunities for collaboration and resource sharing across agencies, providers, and other pertinent stakeholders to assist PLWH in Texas. Some of the initiatives are Inter-Agency Council on HIV & Hepatitis, the Texas Black Women's Initiative, the Test Texas Coalition, and the Texas HIV Syndicate. The Texas HIV Syndicate is an integrated HIV prevention and care planning body made up of roughly 100 organizational leaders representing the full continuum of HIV engagement. The Texas HIV Syndicate uses the Texas HIV Plan as a framework to develop strategies that enhance and expand on prevention and care activities across the State. Texas HIV Syndicate members develop policy recommendations, best practice models, coordination strategies, and promote innovation in HIV prevention and treatment. DSHS also holds a biennial HIV/Sexually Transmitted Disease ("STD") conference, attended by all DSHS contractors and subrecipients in addition to community leaders, health and HIV professionals, and many other essential stakeholders. Many of the DSHS contractors are also HOPWA providers. The next conference will be held in 2016. The goal of the Texas HIV/STD Conference is to enhance the responsiveness of people and systems supporting the spectrum of HIV/STD prevention and treatment services in Texas, including:

Awareness; Targeted Prevention; Diagnosis; Linkage to Care; Maintenance in Care; and Suppression of Disease.

DSHS' Epidemiology and Surveillance Branch is responsible for reporting HIV/AIDS, STD, and tuberculosis ("TB") surveillance and epidemiologic data for the State of Texas, which includes data submission to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC"). This data is subsequently used by HUD to determine HOPWA formula allocations. This data is also leveraged to provide support to planning, development, implementation, and evaluation of HIV/AIDS, STD, and TB prevention and services programs, including HOPWA.

Finally, TDA participates in the following workgroups:

Texas Water Infrastructure Coordination Committee ("TWICC"): TWICC is a voluntary organization of federal and state funding agencies and technical assistance providers that address water and wastewater needs throughout the State. TDA participates in TWICC to coordinate efforts to leverage funds.

Secretary of State's Colonia Workgroup: The Colonia Workgroup consists of federal and state funding agencies and the Texas Secretary of State's colonia ombudsmen. The group addresses current and future infrastructure improvements in colonias, focusing on coordination of resources and information. TDHCA is also a member of this workgroup.

Drought Preparedness Council: The Council was authorized and established by the 76th Texas Legislature in 1999, and is responsible for assessment and public reporting of drought monitoring and water supply conditions, along with other duties.

These workgroups, committees, and councils help to strengthen communication between state agencies as well as provide opportunities to layer or combine funding sources.

With the block grants and the layering resources listed above, there are also CDBG Disaster Recovery ("DR") funds for Hurricanes Rita, Dolly, and Ike, and Wildfires. Hurricane Rita Disaster Recovery for housing and non-housing recovery is in 29 counties. Ike Disaster Recovery for housing and non-housing recovery is in 62 counties. Wildfire Recovery non-housing recovery is in 65 counties. More details can be found at http://www.glo.texas.gov/GLO/disaster-recovery/actionplans

Annual Goals and Objectives

AP-20 Annual Goals and Objectives – 91.320(c)(3)&(e)

Goals Summary Information

Sort	Goal Name	Start	End	Category	Geographic	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
Order		Year	Year		Area			
1	Homeless Goals	2016	2016	Homeless	State of	Emergency	ESG:	Tenant-based rental assistance
					Texas	shelter and	\$8,564,737	/ Rapid Rehousing: 4740
						transitional		Households Assisted
						housing		Homeless Person Overnight
						Rapid Re-housing		Shelter: 11500 Persons
						Homelessness		Assisted
						Prevention		Homelessness Prevention:
								6475 Persons Assisted
2	Construction of single	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Production of	HOME: \$0	Homeowner Housing Added: 0
	family housing			Non-Homeless Special	Texas	new units		Household Housing Unit
				Needs				
3	Rehabilitation of	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Rehabilitation of	HOME:	Homeowner Housing
	single family housing			Non-Homeless Special	Texas	housing	\$4,906,688	Rehabilitated: 58 Household
				Needs				Housing Unit
4	Homebuyer	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Rehabilitation of	HOME:	Direct Financial Assistance to
	assistance with			Non-Homeless Special	Texas	housing	\$3,269,012	Homebuyers: 54 Households
	possible			Needs		Acquisition of		Assisted
	rehabilitation					existing units		
5	Tenant-Based Rental	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Rental Assistance	HOME:	Tenant-based rental assistance
	Assistance with			Non-Homeless Special	Texas		\$3,997,269	/ Rapid Rehousing: 363
	HOME funding			Needs				Households Assisted

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
6	Households in	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Production of	HOME:	Rental units constructed: 120
	new/rehabilitated			Non-Homeless Special	Texas	new units	\$17,145,095	Household Housing Unit
	multifamily units			Needs		Rehabilitation of		Rental units rehabilitated: 52
						housing		Household Housing Unit
7	HOPWA Tenant-	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Supportive	HOPWA:	Tenant-based rental assistance
	Based Rental			Non-Homeless Special	Texas	Services for	\$1,853,534	/ Rapid Rehousing: 448
	Assistance			Needs		Persons with		Households Assisted
						HIV/AIDS		
8	HOPWA Short-Term	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Supportive	HOPWA:	Homelessness Prevention: 426
	Rent, Mortgage, &			Non-Homeless Special	Texas	Services for	\$366,034	Persons Assisted
	Utilities Asst			Needs		Persons with		
						HIV/AIDS		
9	HOPWA Permanent	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Supportive	HOPWA:	Public service activities other
	Housing Placement			Non-Homeless Special	Texas	Services for	\$7,055	than Low/Moderate Income
	Assistance			Needs		Persons with		Housing Benefit: 16 Persons
						HIV/AIDS		Assisted
10	HOPWA-Funded	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Supportive	HOPWA:	Public service activities other
	Supportive Services			Non-Homeless Special	Texas	Services for	\$463,493	than Low/Moderate Income
				Needs		Persons with		Housing Benefit: 823 Persons
						HIV/AIDS		Assisted
11	CDBG Other	2016	2016	Non-Housing Community	State of	Public facilities	CDBG:	Public Facility or Infrastructure
	Construction			Development	Texas	Public	\$38,789,808	Activities other than
						Improvements		Low/Moderate Income
						and Infrastructure		Housing Benefit: 227843
						Public services		Persons Assisted

Sort	Goal Name	Start	End	Category	Geographic	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
Order		Year	Year		Area			
12	CDBG Economic	2016	2016	Non-Housing Community	State of	Public facilities	CDBG:	Public Facility or Infrastructure
	Development			Development	Texas	Public	\$11,181,714	Activities other than
				Economic Development		Improvements		Low/Moderate Income
						and Infrastructure		Housing Benefit: 14122
						Public services		Persons Assisted
						Economic		
						development		
13	CDBG Planning /	2016	2016	Non-Housing Community	State of	Public facilities	CDBG:	Other: 37412 Other
	Capacity Building			Development	Texas	Public	\$540,640	
						Improvements		
						and Infrastructure		
						Public services		
14	CDBG Disaster Relief	2016	2016	Non-Housing Community	State of	Public facilities	CDBG:	Public Facility or Infrastructure
	/ Urgent Need			Development	Texas	Public	\$2,446,820	Activities other than
						Improvements		Low/Moderate Income
						and Infrastructure		Housing Benefit: 132248
								Persons Assisted
15	CDBG Colonia Set-	2016	2016	Affordable Housing	State of	Production of	CDBG Colonias	Public Facility or Infrastructure
	Aside			Non-Housing Community	Texas	new units	Set-aside:	Activities other than
				Development		Rehabilitation of	\$5,983,312	Low/Moderate Income
						housing		Housing Benefit: 3348 Persons
						Acquisition of		Assisted
						existing units		
						Public facilities		
						Public		
						Improvements		
						and Infrastructure		
						Public services		
L		1	l	l .	1	l	1	l .

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
16	CDBG Colonia Self-	2016	2016	Self-Help Centers	State of	Public services	CDBG:	Other: 14491 Other
	Help Centers				Texas		\$1,495,828	
17	CDBG Administration	2016	2016	Administration/Technical	State of	Rehabilitation of	CDBG:	Other: 0 Other
				Assistance	Texas	housing	\$1,894,993	
						Public facilities		
						Public		
						Improvements		
						and Infrastructure		
						Public services		
						Economic		
						development		
18	HOME Administration	2016	2016	HOME Administration	State of	Rental Assistance	HOME:	Other: 0 Other
					Texas	Production of	\$3,257,563	
						new units		
						Rehabilitation of		
						housing		
						Acquisition of		
						existing units		

Table 15 – Goals Summary

Goal Descriptions

1	Goal Name	Homeless Goals
	Goal	Goals for the 2016 ESG program are to provide 11,500 homeless persons with emergency shelter, 4740 households with emergency
	Description	housing assistance through rapid re-housing, and 6,475 persons will be provided with housing assistance, including homelessness
		prevention assistance. This translates into funding of approximately 43% for rapid re-housing; 36% for homelessness prevention; and 21%
		for emergency shelters. The funding targets and numbers served may fluctuate depending on the final HUD allocation. The amounts
		targeted for each ESG activity will be dependent on the final HUD allocation and the percentages (as limited by federal rules) will depend
		on local CoC or subrecipient decisions.
2	Goal Name	Construction of single family housing
	Goal	TDHCA does not plan to have a 2016 HOME Program goal for single family development activities performed by a Community Housing
	Description	Development Organization ("CHDO") for the construction of new single family housing. The original 2015 goal of providing assistance to a
		minimum of 7 eligible households was reduced based on HUD's final allocation amounts. PY 2016 CHDO set aside funding is initially
		targeted for multifamily development activities as reflected under the Households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units strategic plan
		goal, but may be revised to program some funding for Single Family Development activities if TDHCA identifies future interest in the
		program. Single family development activities will remain an eligible activity that may be funded in the event future CHDO funding
		becomes available.
3	Goal Name	Rehabilitation of single family housing
	Goal	The 2015 goal for HOME Program rehabilitation and reconstruction activities is to provide assistance to a minimum of 58 households
	Description	through a statewide network of units of general local governments, and non-profit organizations. These entities qualify applicants
		to receive assistance for the repairs necessary to make their homes decent, safe, sanitary, and accessible.
4	Goal Name	Homebuyer assistance with possible rehabilitation
	Goal	The 2016 goals for HOME Program acquisition activities is to provide assistance to a minimum of 54 households with downpayment and
	Description	closing costs assistance, contract for deed conversion assistance to promote the conversion of contract for deed arrangements to
		traditional mortgages, as well as downpayment with possible rehabilitation assistance for households with a member with a disability.

5	Goal Name	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance with HOME funding
	Goal	The 2016 goal for HOME Program TBRA activity is to provide rental assistance to approximately 363 households through a statewide
	Description	network of units of general local governments, public housing agencies, Local Mental Health Authorities ("LMHAs"), and other non-profit
		organizations. These entities qualify applicants to receive assistance and may extend assistance if the household continues to meet
		eligibility requirements.
6	Goal Name	Households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units
	Goal	The 2016 goal for HOME Multifamily Program is creating/rehabilitating over 172 multifamily rental units. TDHCA's HOME Multifamily
	Description	Development Programs awards HOME funds as low-interest loans to CHDOs, for-profit, and nonprofit developers. These loans leverage
		other public and private financing including housing tax credits, United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") operating subsidies and
		loans, and conventional and Federal Housing Administration-insured loans. The end result is safe, decent, and affordable multifamily
		rental housing.
7	Goal Name	HOPWA Tenant-Based Rental Assistance
	Goal	HOPWA TBRA provides tenant-based rental assistance to eligible households until they are able to secure other affordable and stable
	Description	housing. The annual goal includes 448 households assisted. The estimated funding and number of individuals served may fluctuate
		depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.
8	Goal Name	HOPWA Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, & Utilities Asst
	Goal	STRMU provides short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to eligible households for a maximum of 21 weeks of assistance in a 52-
	Description	week period. The annual goal is to assist 426 persons. The estimated funding and number of individuals served may fluctuate depending
		on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.
9	Goal Name	HOPWA Permanent Housing Placement Assistance
	Goal	PHP provides assistance for housing placement costs which may include application fees, related credit checks, and reasonable security
	Description	deposits necessary to move persons into permanent housing. The annual goal is to assist 16 persons. The estimated funding and number
		of persons served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action
		Plan Section 25.
10	Goal Name	HOPWA-Funded Supportive Services
	Goal	Supportive Services include case management, basic telephone service and assistance to purchase smoke detectors to eligible households.
	Description	The annual goal is to assist 823 persons. The estimated funding and number of households served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final
		allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.

11	Goal Name	CDBG Other Construction									
	Goal	The Texas CDBG encourages the use of funds not only to improve existing locations but to provide facilities in other areas to accommodate									
	Description	residential opportunities that will benefit low and moderate income persons. Applicants are encouraged to provide for infrastructure and									
		housing activities that will improve opportunities for low and moderate income persons. When considering projects and designing									
		projects, applicants must continue to consider affirmatively furthering fair housing, which includes providing basic infrastructure, such as									
		water, sewer, and roads that benefit residential housing and other housing activities. Funding allocated includes annual allocation in									
		addition to previously deobligated funds. The annual goal includes 227,843 persons assisted. The estimated funding and number of									
		persons served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan									
		Section 25.									
12	Goal Name	CDBG Economic Development									
	Goal	This economic development funding is used for projects that will create or retain permanent employment opportunities, primarily for low									
	Description	to moderate income persons and for county economic and management development activities. Funding allocated includes annual									
		allocation in addition to previously deobligated funds. The annual goal is to assist 14,122 persons. The estimated funding and number of									
		persons served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan									
		Section 25.									
13	Goal Name	CDBG Planning / Capacity Building									
	Goal	This fund is available to assist eligible cities and counties in conducting planning activities that assess local needs, develop strategies to									
	Description	address local needs, build or improve local capacity, or that include other needed planning elements (including telecommunications and									
		broadband needs). Funding allocated includes annual allocation in addition to previously deobligated funds. The annual goal is 37,412									
		persons benefiting from community planning projects (this may show as "other" in the chart above"). The estimated funding and number									
		of persons served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action									
		Plan Section 25.									

14	Goal Name	CDBG Disaster Relief / Urgent Need
	Goal	Disaster Relief ("DR") assistance is available through this fund as needed for eligible activities in relief of disaster situations where either
	Description	the governor has proclaimed a state disaster declaration, drought disaster declaration, or the president has issued a federal disaster
		declaration. CDBG may prioritize throughout the program year the use of DR assistance funds based on the type of assistance or activity
		under consideration and may allocate funding throughout the program year based on assistance categories. Funding allocated includes
		annual allocation in addition to previously deobligated funds. The annual goal is to assist 132,248 persons. The estimated funding and
		number of persons served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages indentified in
		Action Plan Section 25.
15	Goal Name	CDBG Colonia Set-Aside
	Goal	This fund is available to eligible county applicants for projects in severely distressed unincorporated areas which meet the definition of a
	Description	"colonia" under this fund. Funding allocated includes annual allocation in addition to previously deobligated funds. The annual goal is to
		assist 3,348 benefiting from public facility or infrastructure activities (other than low/moderate income housing benefit) and 14,491
		"other", which equates to the number of colonia residents receiving direct assistance. The estimated funding and number of persons
		served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section
		25.
16	Goal Name	CDBG Colonia Self-Help Centers
	Goal	Colonia residents receiving direct assistance through Self-Help centers.
	Description	
17	Goal Name	CDBG Administration
	Goal	CDBG Administrative costs including Technical Assistance
	Description	
18	Goal Name	HOME Administration
	Goal	HOME Administrative expenses based on HOME allocation and projected program income.
	Description	

Table 16 – Goal Descriptions

AP-25 Allocation Priorities – 91.320(d)

Introduction

The CPD Programs serve special needs populations and meet the 13 Priority Needs found in Strategic Plan 25 of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan. The Priority Needs in Strategic Plan 25 are correlated with Goals in Action Plan 20 to show which activities will serve which priority needs. The goals from Action Plan 20 are listed below with allocation percentages below each goal. Percentages in the chart below are estimated and may change depending on funding received from HUD, legislative priorities, and funding requests from administrators or subrecipients.

Also regarding the chart below, for the other programs listed in the anticipated resources (Action Plan Section 15) that could be used to leverage funds, including 4% HTC, 9% HTC, HHSP, Housing Trust Fund, MCC, and My First Texas Home Program, NSP PI, Section 8 HCV programs, Section 811 PRA, and TCAP Loan Repayments, goals are tailored to each program in the planning documents governing those programs. These documents can be found online at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us. In addition to meeting the priority needs, the CPD Program works to serve special needs populations as described in this section. HOME and ESG's special needs populations are discussed in the introduction, and HOPWA and CDBG are included in the discussion below.

HOME Serves Special Needs

TDHCA has determined that programs may target assistance to the following special needs populations: persons with disabilities, persons with alcohol or other drug addiction, persons living with HIV/AIDS (PLWH), persons with Violence Against Woman Act ("VAWA") protections (e.g., domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking), colonia residents, farmworkers, homeless populations, veterans, wounded warriors (as defined by the Caring for Wounded Warriors Act of 2008), and public housing residents. Preferences may also include programs designed to assist single parents, persons transitioning out of incarceration, and persons transitioning out of foster homes and nursing facilities.

For Administrators who have programs that are designed to limit assistance to certain populations, TDHCA will only approve program designs that limit assistance to households that include a member within the following populations if necessary to provide as effective housing, aid, benefit, or services as those provided to others in accordance with 24 CFR §8.4(b)(1)(iv): PLWH, mental illness, alcohol or other drug addiction, or households that would qualify under the TDHCA's Project Access program as defined in 10 TAC §5.801. Otherwise, Administrators may only give preference to populations described in the special needs section.

For rental housing, TDHCA will allow development of housing that meets requirements under the Housing for Older Persons Act. TDHCA may also consider permitting rental housing owners to give a preference or limitation as indicated in this section and may allow a preference or limitation that is not described in this section to encourage leveraging of federal or state funding, provided that another

federal or state funding source for the rental housing requires a limitation or preference. TDHCA may put further guidelines on development of specific types of rental housing by rule or NOFA.

ESG Serves Special Needs

ESG does not have funding allocation priorities for special needs populations. However, in recent years the ESG Notice of Funding Availability ("NOFA") application scoring process provided up to 4% of the points eligible to be awarded for applicants proposing to serve persons with higher barriers (e.g., persons with serious mental illness, or persons recently released from an institution, or persons with substance-use disorder). The State ESG program typically funds a number of programs serving victims of domestic violence because those applications have scored well.

Funding Allocation Priorities

	Homeless Goals (%)	Construction of single family housing (%)	Rehabilitation of single family housing (%)	Homebuyer assistance with possible rehabilitation (%)	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance with HOME funding (%)	Households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units (%)	HOPWA Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (%)	HOPWA Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, & Utilities Asst (%)	HOPWA Permanent Housing Placement Assistance (%)	HOPWA-Funded Supportive Services (%)	CDBG Other Construction (%)	CDBG Economic Development (%)	CDBG Planning / Capacity Building (%)	CDBG Disaster Relief / Urgent Need (%)	CDBG Colonia Set-Aside (%)	CDBG Colonia Self-Help Centers (%)	CDBG Administration (%)	HOME Administration (%)	Colonias Set-Aside (%)	Total (%)
CDBG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	15	1	5	10	3	3	0	0	100
CDBG Colonias Set-aside	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
HOME	0	0	17	11	14	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
HOPWA	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	14	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
ESG	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

Table 17 – Funding Allocation Priorities

Reason for Allocation Priorities

HOME Allocation Priorities

TDHCA prioritizes HOME funding for multifamily, single-family, and Set-Aside activities. Multifamily activities were historically allocated a higher percent of funds to address the priority needs of Rental Assistance and Production of New Units, promote tax credit leveraging, and because they account for a large portion of HOME's program income. However, TDHCA now has access to TCAP Loan Repayments, so these priorities will continue to have funds directed toward them, while reducing the allocation of

HOME funds directed towards multifamily activities.

Although the 2015 HOME allocation to TDHCA was reduced from 2014 funding levels, funding for single family activities actually increased overall as TDHCA begins to access TCAP loan repayments for multifamily activities and by directing deobligated funding and program income resources to single family activities. Funding for single family activities from the 2016 annual allocation is anticipated to be awarded based on TDHCA's Regional Allocation Formula, with residual funding available through the Reservation System, allowing local administrators to prioritize single family activities on a household-by-

household basis for:

Homebuyer Assistance, (including contract-for-deed conversions) which addresses Acquisition
of Existing Units and Rehabilitation of Existing Units priority needs;

of Existing Offics and Kenabilitation of Existing Offics priority fleeds,

Homeowner Rehabilitation Assistance, which addresses Rehabilitation of Existing Units priority

need; and

• TBRA, which addresses Rental Assistance priority need.

These priorities are a result of the consolidated planning process and significant public input.

ESG Allocation Priorities

ESG does not have allocation priorities for priority needs. ESG funds can be utilized for all eligible purposes within limitations set by ESG regulations and guided by local Continuum of Care ("CoC") direction, including:

Homeless outreach;

Emergency shelter;

Rapid re-housing; and

Homelessness prevention.

HOPWA Allocation Priorities

HOPWA provides the following activities in line with priority needs:

DRAFT Annual Action Plan 2016 38

- TBRA, which addresses Rental Assistance priority needs;
- STRMU, which addresses Homelessness Prevention priority needs;
- Supportive Services Program, which addresses Supportive Services for PLWH priority needs; and
- PHP, which addresses Homelessness Prevention priority needs.

CDBG Allocation Priorities

The CDBG Program offers the following activities, which relate to the corresponding priority needs. The majority of CDBG funds are used to meet basic human needs. These projects, in addition to being among the most critical needs in the state, are prioritized locally by regional review committees and local communities. Colonia funding allocation is reflected in "Colonias Set-Aside" column.

- The majority of funds are awarded to address basic human needs, including improvements to water and sewer systems and roads for low and moderate income ("LMI") communities.
- Economic development activities are funded to create and retain jobs primarily for LMI persons.
- Public facilities such as community centers and public safety facilities are less common activities, but are very valuable to LMI communities.
- Colonias SHC activities provide public services and housing funds for colonia residents living along the Texas-Mexico border.

How will the proposed distribution of funds will address the priority needs and specific objectives described in the Consolidated Plan?

The special needs populations for HOME and ESG are described in the Introduction. HOPWA and CDBG discuss special needs populations below.

HOPWA Serves Special Needs

Texas HOPWA serves PLWH and their family members, all of whom are at or below 80% of the AMI, and most of whom fall into the extremely-low-income category. As previously noted, allocations generally mirror the Ryan White Program allocation formula, which takes into account population of PLWH, HIV incidence, number of PLWH accessing Ryan White services, percent of PLWH eligible for Medicaid and other considerations. The allocations are then adjusted based on unmet need, prior performance and expenditures, geographic-specific data provided by Project Sponsors, and any other relevant factors. After allocations to each HIV Service Delivery Area (HSDA) are determined, it is then up to the Project Sponsor to allocate between activities of TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Supportive Services, and administrative expenses (not to exceed 7% of their allocation) and submit those to their Administrative Agents (AAs) and the Department of State Health Services (DSHS) for approval. Project Sponsors base allocations on many factors, including but not limited to, number of clients projected to continue into the next year, area unmet need, rental costs, prior number of clients served, average expenditures per client, and changes in HIV population living in poverty, etc. Funds are also reallocated during the year

within HSDAs under each AA as needed.

CDBG Serves Special Needs

CDBG provides over 90% of available funds for projects that primarily benefit low-to moderate-income persons through basic infrastructure, housing, job creation and other activities as identified at the local level. Among those projects, CDBG sets aside 12.5% of funds to specifically benefit colonia residents through planning activities, infrastructure and housing construction, self-help center services, construction activities, and public services. Funding for community development projects in colonias and other LMI communities is a critical element in the well-being of these communities.

In 1996, in an effort to place more emphasis on addressing the needs of colonias, the OCI at TDCHA was created and charged with the responsibility of coordinating all TDHCA's and legislative initiatives involving border and colonia issues and managing a portion of TDHCA's existing programs targeted at colonias. The fundamental goal of the OCI is to improve the living conditions and lives of border and colonia residents and to educate the public regarding the services that the Department has to offer. As part of its plan to improve the living conditions in colonias, the OCI offers Border Field Offices. The three OCI Border Field Offices are located in Pharr, Laredo, and El Paso to provide technical assistance to border counties, Colonia SHCs, and Bootstrap Program participants.

AP-30 Methods of Distribution - 91.320(d)&(k)

Introduction

Given that Texas is the second largest state in the nation by total area, the method of distribution of its funds has to take into account a very large area. To reach many areas of the State, the CPD Programs fund subrecipients to administer the funding. The selection processes for these entities are described below.

Distribution Methods

Table 18 - Distribution Methods by State Program

1	State Program Name:	Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program Legislative Set-				
		Aside				
	Funding Sources:	CDBG				
	_	CDBG Colonias Set-aside				
	Describe the state	Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program ("CEDAP") Legislative Set-Aside fund				
	program addressed by	provides funding to eligible cities and counties to assist colonia residents that cannot				
	the Method of	afford the cost of service lines, service connections, and plumbing improvements				
	Distribution.	associated with being connected to a TWDB Economically Distressed Area Program or				
		similar water or sewer system improvement project.				
	Describe all of the	The TDA will evaluate the following factors prior to awarding CEDAP funds:				
	criteria that will be					
	used to select	The proposed use of the CDBG funds including the eligibility of the proposed				
	applications and the	activities and the effective use of the funds to provide water or sewer				
	relative importance of	connections/yard lines to water/sewer systems funded through Economically				
	these criteria.	 Distressed Area Program or similar program; The ability of the applicant to utilize the grant funds in a timely manner; 				
		 The availability of funds to the applicant for project financing from other sources; 				
		The applicant's past performance on previously awarded CDBG contracts;				
		Cost per beneficiary; and				
		 Proximity of project site to entitlement cities or metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs"). 				

	If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	how can potential	
	applicants access	
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	The allocation is distributed on an as-needed basis.
	resources will be	
	allocated among	
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold	Maximum \$1,000,000/Minimum \$75,000
	factors and grant size	
	limits.	
	What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
2	State Program Name:	Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia Planning and Construction Funds
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
		CDBG Colonias Set-aside

Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution.

The Colonia Planning Fund ("CPF") funds planning activities that either targets a specific colonia(s) (Colonia Area Planning) or that provides a countywide comprehensive plan (Colonia Comprehensive Planning). In order to qualify for the Colonia Area Planning activities, the county applicant must have completed a Colonia Comprehensive Plan that prioritizes problems and colonias for future action. The targeted colonia must be included in the Colonia Comprehensive Plan.

The goal of the Colonia Fund Construction ("CFC") fund is to develop viable communities by providing decent housing, viable public infrastructure, and a suitable living environment, principally for persons residing within a community or area that meets the definition of a colonia. An eligible county applicant may submit an application for the following eligible construction activities:

Assessments for Public Improvements - The payment of assessments (including any charge made as a condition of obtaining access) levied against properties owned and occupied by persons of low and moderate income to recover the capital cost for a public improvement.

Other Improvements - Other activities eligible under 42 USC Section 5305 designed to meet the needs of colonia residents.

Colonia Fund: Construction. The selection criteria for the Colonia Fund: Construction will focus upon the following factors: community distress; percentage of people living in poverty; per capita income; percentage of housing units without complete plumbing; unemployment rate; benefit to LMI persons; project priorities; project design; matching funds; and past performance.

Colonia Fund: Planning (Area). The selection criteria for the Colonia Fund: Planning will focus upon the following factors: community distress; percentage of people living in poverty; per capita income; percentage of housing units without complete plumbing; unemployment rate; project design; the severity of need within the colonia area(s) and how clearly the proposed planning effort will remove barriers to the provision of public facilities to the colonia area(s) and result in the development of an implementable strategy to resolve the identified needs; the planning activities proposed in the application; whether each proposed planning activity will be conducted on a colonia-wide basis; the extent to which any previous planning efforts for colonia area(s) have been accomplished; the CDBG cost per LMI beneficiary; the availability of funds to the applicant for project financing from other sources; the applicant's past performance on previously awarded CDBG contracts; benefit to LMI persons; and matching funds.

Colonia Fund: Planning (Comprehensive). The selection criteria for the Colonia Fund: Planning will focus upon the following factors: community distress; percentage of people living in poverty; per capita income; percentage of housing units without complete plumbing; unemployment rate; project design; the severity of need for the comprehensive colonia planning effort and how effectively the proposed comprehensive planning effort will result in a useful assessment of colonia populations, locations, infrastructure conditions, housing conditions, and the development of short-term and long term strategies to resolve the identified needs; the extent to which any previous planning efforts for colonia area(s) have been accomplished; whether the applicant has provided any local matching funds for the planning or preliminary engineering activities; the applicant's past performance on previously awarded CDBG contracts; and award history (an applicant that has previously received a CDBG comprehensive planning award would receive lower priority for funding).

	1.6	
	If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	how can potential	
	applicants access	
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	The State CDBG allocation 6.75% (approximately) is allocated to the Colonia Fund. Of
	resources will be	the yearly CDBG allocation to the Colonia Construction and Planning Fund, 97.5%
	allocated among	(approximately) of those funds are to award grants through the CFC and 2.5%
	funding categories.	(approximately) are to award grants through the CFP. Subsequent to awarding funds,
	3 3	any portion of the CFC allocation that is unable to be awarded (i.e., fund an
		application in the minimum amount of \$75,000, etc.) may be used to fund additional
		eligible CFP applications, and conversely, any portion of the CFP allocation that is
		unable to be awarded may be used to fund additional eligible CFC applications.
	Describe threshold	CFP Maximum \$100,000/Minimum \$0
	factors and grant size	4
	limits.	CFC Maximum \$500,000/Minimum \$75,000
	What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
3	State Program Name:	Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia SHC Legislative Set-Aside (administered by TDHCA)
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
		CDBG Colonias Set-aside
	Describe the state	Administered by TDHCA and funded through CDBG, the Colonia SHC Program serves
	program addressed by	colonias along the Texas-Mexico border. Colonia SHCs provide concentrated on-site
	the Method of	technical assistance to low- and very low-income individuals and families in a variety
	Distribution.	of ways including housing, community development activities, infrastructure
		improvements, outreach and education. Key services include: housing rehabilitation;
		new construction; surveying and platting; construction skills training; tool library
		access for self-help construction; housing finance; credit and debt counseling; grant
		writing; infrastructure construction and access; contract-for-deed conversions; and
		capital access for mortgages.

	Describe all of the	Approximately 42,000 residents live in the targeted colonias served by the colonia SHC
	criteria that will be	Program. The SHCs process applications from income eligible households on a first
	used to select	come, first served basis. Eligible households must reside in one of the targeted
	applications and the	colonias, which have been preselected by each recipient and county and confirmed by
	relative importance of	C-RAC. Households must earn less than 80% of AMI.
	these criteria.	
	If only summary	Colonia SHCs are limited statutorily and serve seven targeted colonias within their
	criteria were described,	associated participating county. The SHCs and TDHCA's Border Field Offices both
	how can potential	conduct outreach activities throughout the contract period to inform colonia residents
	applicants access	of program benefits and eligibility criteria and to provide application assistance.
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	Of the State CDBG allocation, 2.5% (approximately) is allocated to this fund. Counties
	resources will be	that are statutorily designated to participate in the Colonia SHC Program propose
	allocated among	which target colonias should receive concentrated attention and through what scope
	funding categories.	of program activities and funding. Each SHC designs a proposal unique to the needs of
		a specific community and based on a needs assessment. After a C-RAC, composed of
		residents from previously participating colonias, reviews and approves the proposals
		from the counties, the proposals are then reviewed and approved by the TDHCA's
		Board of Directors for implementation. Resources are allocated based on analysis and input from each community.
	Describe threshold	Maximum \$1,000,000/Minimum \$500,000
	factors and grant size	
	limits.	For the colonia SHC, program rules limit the assistance to up to \$1,000,000 per colonia
		SHC per contract period. Each program activity, such as new construction,
		rehabilitation, and small repairs for housing, for example, are limited to specific dollar
		amounts.
	What are the outcome	For the Colonia SHC Program, outcomes include: colonia residents assisted, housing
	measures expected as	units assisted or created, instances of technical assistance provided, and instances of
	a result of the method	information delivered. In general, this is Activities Benefiting LMI Persons.
	of distribution?	
4	State Program Name:	Colonias Set-Aside: Colonias to Cities Initiative Program
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
		CDBG Colonias Set-aside

Describe the state	The Colonia to Cities Initiative ("CCIP")provides funding for basic infrastructure
program addressed by	considered necessary for a colonia area to be annexed by an adjoining city. Priority is
the Method of	given to colonias that have received prior CDBG funding. Both the county and city
Distribution.	must submit a multi-jurisdictional pre-application for the project that includes a
	resolution from each jurisdiction. The city's resolution must include a firm
	commitment to annex the colonia upon completion of the project. Failure to annex
	the colonia may result in a requirement to repay the CDBG funding to TDA.
Describe all of the	The TDA will evaluate the following factors prior to awarding CCIP funds:
criteria that will be	the proposed use of the TxCDBG funds including the eligibility of the proposed
used to select	activities;
applications and the	• the ability of the community to utilize the grant funds in a timely manner;
relative importance of	• the availability of funds to the community for project financing from other sources;
these criteria.	• the community's past performance on previously awarded TxCDBG contracts, if
	applicable;
	 cost per beneficiary; and commitment by the city to annex the colonia area within one year of project
	completion.
	If applications exceed the available funding, the Department may use the scoring
	factors established for the Colonia Fund-Construction component.
If only summary	Eligible applicants will be notified if funds become available.
•	Ligible applicants will be notified if fullus become available.
criteria were described,	
how can potential	
applicants access	
application manuals or	
other state	
publications describing	
the application	
criteria? (CDBG only)	
Describe how	If there are an insufficient number of projects ready for CEDAP funding, the CEDAP
resources will be	funds may be transferred to the Colonias to Cities Initiative.
allocated among	
funding categories.	
Describe threshold	Minimum \$100,000/Maximum \$1,000,000
factors and grant size	
limits.	
What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
measures expected as	
a result of the method	
of distribution?	

5	State Program Name:	Community Development Fund
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state	The Community Development ("CD") Fund is available on a biennial basis through a
	program addressed by	competition in each of the State's 24 planning regions. The goal of the CD Fund is to
	the Method of	develop viable communities by providing decent housing, viable public infrastructure,
	Distribution.	and a suitable living environment, principally for persons of low to moderate income.
		Applicants are encouraged to provide for infrastructure and housing activities that will improve opportunities for LMI persons. When considering and designing projects, applicants must continue to consider project activities that will affirmatively further fair housing, which includes project activities that provide basic infrastructure (such as water, sewer, and roads) that will benefit residential housing and other housing activities.

CD applicants are scored using a shared system with 90% of the scoring criteria established by Regional Review Committees ("RRC") and 10% established by the state's scoring criteria. There is a Regional Review Committee in each of the 24 State planning regions. Each RRC will be comprised of 12 members appointed at the pleasure of the Texas Commissioner of Agriculture. A quorum of seven members is required for all public hearings. Each RRC is responsible for determining local project priorities and objective scoring criteria for its region for the CD Fund in accordance with the requirements in this Action Plan. Additionally, the RRC shall establish the numerical value of the points assigned to each scoring factor and determine the total combined points for all RRC scoring criteria. The Regional Review Committees are responsible for convening public hearings to discuss and select the objective scoring criteria that will be used to score and rank applications at the regional level. The public must be given an opportunity to comment on the priorities and the scoring criteria considered. The final selection of the scoring criteria is the responsibility of each RRC and must be consistent with the requirements in this Action Plan. The RRC may not adopt scoring factors that directly negate or offset the State's scoring factors. Each RRC shall develop a RRC Guidebook, in the format provided by TDA, to notify eligible applicants of the objective scoring criteria and other RRC procedures for the region. The Guidebook must be submitted to TDA and approved at least ninety days prior to the application deadline.

The state scoring will be based on the following:

- 1. Past selection 4% of Maximum Possible RRC Score for each region.
- 2. Past Performance- 4% of Maximum Possible RRC Score for each region.
- 3. All project activities within the application would provide basic infrastructure or housing activities 2% of Maximum Possible RRC Score for each region. (Basic infrastructure the basic physical shared facilities serving a community's population consisting of water, sewage, roads and flood drainage. Housing activities as defined in 24 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") Part 570.)

	If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	•	15/13 Website at www.texasagricultare.gov.
	how can potential	
	applicants access	
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	64.83% (approximately) of the State CDBG allocation is allocated to this fund.
	resources will be	
	allocated among	
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold	Minimum \$75,000/Maximum \$800,000, regions may establish additional grant
	factors and grant size	amount limits.
	limits.	
	What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
6	State Program Name:	Community Enhancement Fund
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state	The Community Enhancement ("CEF") Fund provides a source of funds (when
	program addressed by	available) not available through other CDBG programs to stimulate a community's
	the Method of	economic development efforts and improve self-sufficiency. The project must have
	Distribution.	the potential to benefit all citizens within a jurisdiction. The community project must
		provide a benefit that will enhance the overall quality of life in the rural community.
	Describe all of the	The selection criteria for the Community Enhancement Fund will focus on the
	criteria that will be	following factors:
	used to select	a. LMI percentage of the applicant;
	applications and the	b. Partnerships;
	relative importance of	c. Multi-Purpose Facility or Public Safety Equipment;
	these criteria.	d. Sustainability; and
	-	e. Match.

	If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	how can potential	
	applicants access	
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	Deobligated funds up to \$3,000,000 are made available for the CE Fund on the first
	resources will be	day of a program year.
	allocated among	
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold	Minimum \$50,000/Maximum \$500,000
	factors and grant size	
	limits.	
	What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
7	State Program Name:	Disaster Relief Funds
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state	Disaster Relief ("DR") Fund assistance is available as needed for eligible activities in
	program addressed by	relief of disaster situations where either a state or federal disaster declaration has
	the Method of	been issued.
	Distribution.	Declaration other than Drought: Priority for the use of these funds is for repair and restoration activities that meet basic human needs (such as water and sewer facilities, housing, and roads), and may not include funding to construct public facilities that did not exist prior to the occurrence of the disaster. Declaration for Drought: Funding in response to a Governor's drought disaster declaration covering the area that would benefit from project activities must include new facilities to improve water supply, subject to the conditions set forth in Title 4,
		Part 1, Chapter 30, Subchapter A of the Texas Administrative Code.

Describe all of the	To qualify for the DR Fund:
criteria that will be	a. The situation addressed by the applicant must be both unanticipated and beyond
used to select	the control of the local government.
applications and the	b. The problem being addressed must be of recent origin. For DR Fund assistance, this
relative importance of	means that the application for assistance must be submitted no later than 12 months
these criteria.	from the date of the state or federal disaster declaration.
	c. Funds will not be provided under Federal Emergency Management Agency's
	("FEMA's") Hazard Mitigation Grant Program for buyout projects unless TDA receives
	satisfactory evidence that the property to be purchased was not constructed or
	purchased by the current owner after the property site location was officially mapped
	and included in a designated flood plain area.
	d. Each applicant must demonstrate that adequate local funds are not available, i.e.,
	the entity has less than six months of unencumbered general operations funds
	available in its balance as evidenced by the last available audit required by state
	statute, or funds from other state or federal sources are not available to completely
	address the problem.
	e. TDA may consider whether funds under an existing CDBG contract are available to be reallocated to address the situation.
	f. The distribution of these funds will be coordinated with other state agencies.
If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
•	15/15 Website at WWitesasagi ioaita. e.govi
how can potential	
applicants access	
application manuals or	
other state	
publications describing	
the application	
criteria? (CDBG only)	
Describe how	4.10% (approximately) of the State CDBG allocation is allocated to the DR Fund.
resources will be	
allocated among	Deobligated funds up to \$1,000,000 are made available for the DR Fund on the first
funding categories.	day of a program year, and additional deobligated funds may be allocated to the DR
	Fund according to the procedures described in the Additional Detail on Method of Distribution section following this table. The amount for this fund category may be
	adjusted during the program year as needed.
Describe threshold	Maximum \$350,000/Minimum \$50,000
	Maximani 4330,000, Millimani 430,000
factors and grant size	
limits.	

<u> </u>	
What are the outcome	Meet other community development needs of particular urgency which represent an
measures expected as	immediate threat to the health and safety of residents of the community.
a result of the method	
of distribution?	
8 State Program Name:	General HOME Funds for Single-Family Activities
Funding Sources:	HOME
Describe the state	TDHCA awards single-family activity funds as grants and loans through a network of
program addressed by	local administrators for Homeowner Rehabilitation, Homebuyer Assistance, and TBRA.
the Method of	Assistance length and term depends on the type of activity. The funds are initially
Distribution.	being made available competitively on a regional basis, then later remaining funds are
	made available statewide on a first-come, first-served Reservation System, a contract-
	based system or some combination of these two methods. The method will be
	described in NOFAs and is informed by needs analysis, oversubscription for the
	activities, and public input.
Describe all of the	Applicants must comply with requirements stated in NOFAs, the Single-Family
criteria that will be	Programs Umbrella Rule, and State HOME Program Rules in effect at the time they
used to select	receive their award.
applications and the	
relative importance of	
these criteria.	
Describe how	TDHCA announces the annual allocation of HOME Single-Family funds through a NOFA
resources will be	and specifies that the funds will initially be made available using a Regional Allocation
allocated among	Formula ("RAF") which divides funds among 26 sub-regions as required by state
funding categories.	statute. The allocation method is developed based on a formula which considers need
	and funding availability. After a period of several months, regional allocations
	collapse. Following the release of the annual allocation through the RAF, TDHCA
	periodically adds HOME program income and deobligated funds to the funds available via the Reservation System and either allocates a specific amount of funds per activity
	based on funding priorities or may allow HOME administrator's requests for funding
	through the system to determine how the funds are finally allocated among fund
	categories. TDHCA may specify the maximum amount of funds that will be released
	for each activity type and may allocate funds via a first come, first served Reservation
	System or alternate method based on public comment.
Describe threshold	Applicants must comply with requirements stated in the HOME NOFA and State HOME
factors and grant size	Program Rules in effect the year they receive their award. These sources provide
limits.	threshold limits and grant size limits per activity type.

	What are the outcome	Assistance to LMI households.
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
9	State Program Name:	HOME Multifamily Development
	Funding Sources:	HOME
	Describe the state	The HOME Multifamily Development Program awards loans to for-profit and nonprofit
	program addressed by	multifamily developers to construct and rehabilitate affordable rental housing. These
	the Method of	loans typically carry a 0% to 5% interest rate and have terms ranging from 15 years to
	Distribution.	40 years. The vast majority of the loans are made in conjunction with awards of 4% or 9% HTCs.
	Describe all of the	TDHCA's Uniform Multifamily Rules set forth a minimum set of requirements that
	criteria that will be	document a project owner's readiness to proceed with the development as evidenced
	used to select	by site control, notification of local officials, the availability of permanent financing,
	applications and the	appropriate zoning for the site, and a market and environmental study. Additionally,
	relative importance of	the development must be near certain community assets. HOME Multifamily
	these criteria.	Development Program funds are typically awarded on a first-come, first-served basis, as long as the criteria above are met. For HOME Multifamily Development applications
		layered with 9% HTCs, the highest scoring applications in the 9% cycle that also
		request HOME funds take priority over lower scoring HOME Multifamily Development
		applications that may have been received earlier.
	Describe how	Typically, of the HOME Multifamily Funds, 85% is available for general activities and
	resources will be	15% for CHDO. However, the HOME Multifamily Development Program may make
	allocated among	funds available annually under the General, Persons With Disabilities, and CHDO Set-
	funding categories.	Asides.
	Describe threshold	TDHCA's Uniform Multifamily Rules set forth a minimum set of requirements that
	factors and grant size	document a project owner's readiness to proceed with the development as evidenced
	limits.	by site control, notification of local officials, the availability of permanent financing,
		experience of the developer, appropriate zoning for the site, and a market and
		environmental study. Additionally, the development must be near certain community
		assets such as a bank, pharmacy, or medical office and have certain unit amenities and
		common amenities. Awards of HOME Multifamily Development Program funds range
	sadl .	from approximately \$300,000 to \$3 million per application in the form of a loan.
	What are the outcome	Assistance to LMI households.
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
4.5	of distribution?	
10	State Program Name:	Planning/Capacity Building Fund
	Funding Sources:	CDBG

	Describe the state	The Planning/Capacity Building ("PCB") Fund is available to assist eligible cities and
	program addressed by	counties in conducting planning activities that assess local needs, develop strategies to
	the Method of	address local needs, build or improve local capacity, or that include other needed
	Distribution.	planning elements (including telecommunications and broadband needs).
	Describe all of the	The selection criteria for the PCB Fund will focus upon the following factors:
	criteria that will be	a. Community Distress;
	used to select	a. Percentage of persons living in poverty;
	applications and the	b. Per capita income;
	relative importance of	c. Unemployment rate;
	these criteria.	b. Benefit to LMI Persons;
	these thichia.	c. Project Design;
		d. Program Priority;
		e. Base Match;
		f. Area-wide Proposals; and
		g. Planning Strategy and Products.
	If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	how can potential	
	applicants access	
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	1.0% (approximately) of the State CDBG allocation is allocated to this fund.
	resources will be	
	allocated among	
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold	Minimum \$0/Maximum \$55,000
	factors and grant size	
	limits.	
	What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
11	State Program Name:	State Mandated Contract for Deed Conversion Set-Aside
	Funding Sources:	HOME
	_	

	Describe the state	The 81st Legislature passed Appropriations Rider 6 to TDHCA's appropriation pattern,
	program addressed by	which requires TDHCA to spend no less than \$4 million for the biennium on contract
	the Method of	for deed conversions for families that reside in a colonia and earn 60% or less of the
	Distribution.	applicable AMI. Furthermore, TDHCA is targeted to convert no less than 200 contracts
		for deed into traditional notes and deeds of trust by August 31, 2016. The intent of
		this program is to help colonia residents become property owners by converting their
		contracts for deed into traditional mortgages. Households served under this initiative
		must not earn more than 60% of the Area Median Family Income ("AMFI") and the
		home converted must be their primary residence.
	Describe all of the	Administrators must meet HOME Program threshold requirements to access funding.
	criteria that will be	Funding is made available to contract for deed administrators on a first-come, first-
	used to select	served basis, in addition to threshold requirements outlined in the State HOME
	applications and the	Program Rule, through the Reservation System.
	relative importance of	
	these criteria.	
	Describe how	TDHCA sets aside \$2,000,000 for contract for deed conversion activities annually and
	resources will be	releases the funds through the reservation system as a method of distribution.
	allocated among	
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold	Applicants must meet the thresholds provided in the NOFA and State HOME Program
	factors and grant size	Rules in effect the year in which they receive their award. Administrators are not
	limits.	awarded a grant following a successful application. Rather funds are awarded on a
		household by household basis.
	What are the outcome	Assistance to households with incomes at or below 60% AMFI.
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
12	State Program Name:	TCF Main Street Program
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state	The TCF Main Street Program provides eligible Texas Main Street communities with
	program addressed by	grants to expand or enhance public infrastructure in historic main street areas.
	the Method of	
	Distribution.	

	Г
Describe all of the	The selection criteria for the TCF Main Street Program will focus upon the following
criteria that will be	factors:
used to select	a. Applicant Need criteria, including poverty rate, median income, unemployment
applications and the	rate, and community need;
relative importance of	b. Project criteria, including leverage, economic development consideration, sidewalks
these criteria.	projects and Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") compliance, broad-based public support, emphasis on benefit to LMI persons, and grant application training; and
	c. Main Street program criteria, including National Main Street program recognition,
	Main Street program participation, historic preservation ethic impact.
If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
how can potential	
· ·	
applicants access application manuals or	
other state	
publications describing	
the application	
criteria? (CDBG only)	6% of the total TCE ellegation up to a requirement of \$600,000 and the second
Describe how	6% of the total TCF allocation up to a maximum amount of \$600,000, and program
resources will be	income up to \$150,000 (if available).
allocated among	
funding categories.	
Describe threshold	Maximum \$250,000/Minimum \$50,000
factors and grant size	
limits.	
What are the outcome	Eliminate or prevent slum and blight conditions.
measures expected as	
a result of the method	
of distribution?	
13 State Program Name:	TCF Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Programs
Funding Sources:	CDBG
Describe the state	The Texas Capital Fund ("TCF") Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Programs
program addressed by	provides grants and/or loans for Real Estate and Infrastructure Development to create
the Method of	or retain permanent jobs in primarily rural communities and counties.
Distribution.	

	Describe all of the	The selection criteria for the TCF Real Estate and Infrastructure Development will
	criteria that will be	focus upon the following factors:
		a. Job creation criteria:
	used to select	i. Cost-per-job,
	applications and the	ii. Job impact,
	relative importance of	iii. Wage impact, and
	these criteria.	iv. Primary jobs created/retained;
		b. Unemployment rate; and
		c. Return on Investment.
		Once applications are evaluated and determined to be in the funding range the
		projects will be reviewed upon the following additional factors:
		a. History of the applicant community in the program;
		b. Strength of the business or marketing plan;
		c. Evaluation of the business and the business' principal owners credit;
		d. Evaluation of community and business need; and
		e. Justification of minimum necessary improvements to serve the project.
	If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	how can potential	
	applicants access	
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	14.51% of the State CDBG allocation is allocated to the Real Estate and Infrastructure
	resources will be	Development Programs minus the lesser of 18% or \$1,800,000 of the total TCF
	allocated among	allocation. In addition, program income funds generated by TCF projects and not
	funding categories.	otherwise allocated are made available for the Real Estate and Infrastructure
	ranianing categories.	Development Programs on the first day of a program year.
	Describe threshold	Maximum \$1,500,000/Minimum \$150,000
	factors and grant size	
	limits.	
	What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
14	State Program Name:	TCFSmall and Micro Enterprise Revolving Fund
	State i rogiani ivanie.	The second state of the se

	Funding Sources:	
	Describe the state	The Texas Capital Fund ("TCF") Small and Micro Enterprise Revolving Fund provides
	program addressed by	grants to local partnerships of communities and non-profit organizations to establish a
	the Method of	local revolving loan fund, providing loans to local small businesses that commit to
	Distribution.	create or retain permanent jobs.
	Describe all of the	The selection criteria for the Small and Micro Enterprise Revolving Fund will focus on
	criteria that will be	the following factors:
	used to select	a. Community Need;
	applications and the	b. Non-Profit Loan Capacity; and
	relative importance of	c. Multi-jurisdictional applications.
	these criteria.	
	If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	how can potential	
	applicants access	
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	Program Income funds up to \$1,500,000 are made available for the Small and Micro
	resources will be	Enterprise Revolving Fund on the first day of a program year.
	allocated among	
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold	\$100,000 per award
	factors and grant size	7100,000 per awara
	limits.	
	What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	measures expected as	Activities benefiting Livii i ersons
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
15		Texas Capital Fund Downtown Revitalization Program
13	State Program Name:	CDBG
	Funding Sources:	
	Describe the state	The Texas Capital Fund ("TCF") Downtown Revitalization Program awards grant funds for public infrastructure to foster and stimulate economic development in rural
	program addressed by	downtown areas.
	the Method of	downtown areas.
	Distribution.	

	Describe all of the	The selection criteria for the TCF Downtown Revitalization Program will focus upon
		the following factors:
	criteria that will be	a. Applicant Need criteria, including poverty rate, median income, unemployment
	used to select	rate, and community need;
	applications and the	b. Project criteria, including leverage, economic development consideration, sidewalks
	relative importance of	projects, and ADA compliance, broad-based public support, emphasis on benefit to
	these criteria.	LMI persons, and grant application training; and
		c. Past Performance.
	If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	how can potential	
	applicants access	
	application manuals or	
	other state	
	publications describing	
	the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how	12% of the total TCF allocation up to a maximum of amount \$1,200,000, and program
	resources will be	income up to \$350,000 (if available).
	allocated among	
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold	Maximum \$250,000/Minimum \$50,000
	factors and grant size	
	limits.	
	What are the outcome	Eliminate or prevent slum and blight conditions.
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
16	State Program Name:	Texas ESG Program
	Funding Sources:	ESG
	Describe the state	The ESG Program is currently a competitive grant that awards funds to private
	program addressed by	nonprofit organizations, cities, and counties in the State of Texas to provide the
	the Method of	services necessary to help persons that are at-risk of homelessness or homeless
	Distribution.	quickly regain stability in permanent housing. During the next several years, TDHCA is
		working toward a plan that will provide funds directly to Texas CoCs, giving them more
		local control of the use of funds in their service areas.

In the competitive process, applications are selected based on:

- Proposed Budget, Outcomes, and Match (30%);
- Organizational Capacity & Project Design (30%);
- Past Performance in Homeless Program Delivery (25%);
- CoC Participation and Coordination (15%);
- Financial Information (negative scores only);
- Past Performance of Subrecipients in ESG Expenditure and Reporting (negative scores only); and
- Other Deductions: (audit findings, etc; negative scores only).

When released via CoCs, the allocation amounts will be established by formula, and the CoCs will in turn use local distribution models.

Describe the process for awarding funds to state recipients and how the state will make its allocation available to units of general local government, and non-profit organizations, including community and faith-based organizations. (ESG only)

For the competitive process, Texas releases a NOFA each spring in anticipation of the State's receipt of ESG funding. Applications are accepted for generally a 30-day period. Applications are scored and ranked within their CoC regions.

Eligible applicant organizations are Units of General Purpose Local Government, including cities, counties and metropolitan cities; urban counties that receive ESG funds directly from HUD; and organizations as described in a Notice of Funding Availability or other funding mechanism. Governmental organizations such as Councils of Governments ("COGs"), LMHAs, and Public Housing Authorities ("PHAs") are not eligible and cannot apply directly for ESG funds; however COGs, LMHAs, and PHAs may serve as a partner in a collaborative Application but may not be the lead entity. These same criteria will apply to those entities with awards coming directly from the CoCs as well.

Eligible applicant organizations also include private nonprofit organizations that are secular or religious organizations described in section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, are exempt from taxation under subtitle A of the Code, have an acceptable accounting system and a voluntary board, and practice non-discrimination in the provision of assistance. Faith-based organizations receiving ESG funds, like all organizations receiving HUD funds, must serve all eligible beneficiaries without regard to religion.

Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories.

ESG funds may be used for six program components: street outreach, emergency shelter, homelessness prevention, rapid re-housing assistance, HMIS, and administrative activities. Per 24 CFR §576.100(b), the total amount of an Applicant's budget for street outreach and emergency shelter cannot exceed 60% of their total requested amount. Within a collaborative Application, the 60% limit applies to the entire Application and not to each partner within the collaborative Application. This requirement will also apply in the direct CoC funding method.

	Describe threshold	Threshold documents include:
		Threshold documents include.
	factors and grant size	 Proposed budget, outcomes, and match;
	limits.	 Organizational capacity and project design;
		Past performance on Homeless Program Delivery;
		Certificate of CoC participation and coordination; and
		Financial information (audit).
		Tiliancial information (addit).
		Within each CoC region, applicants may request no less than \$125,000 unless the
		initial amount available in the region is less than \$125,000. In those cases, applicants
		may request an amount no less than the available allocation for that region. Single
		applicants may request a maximum of \$150,000. For a collaborative application, the
		maximum request amount is \$150,000 times the number of partners in the
		application, with a maximum request of \$600,000. The minimum request for a
		collaborative application is \$125,000, unless the initial amount available in the region
		is less than \$125,000. In those cases the collaborative applicant may request an
		amount no less than the available allocation for that region. In a collaborative
		application, each partner is not limited to budgeting \$150,000 each; the total grant
		amount may be budgeted among all partners as agreed upon. These numbers may be
		adjusted depending on the final allocation from HUD. If funds are being provided
		directly to CoCs, they will establish these factors and limits with TDHCA approval. They
		will not necessarily reflect these factors, but will reflect a local decision-making
		process.
	What are the outcome	The expected outcome is that funds will be awarded to organizations that have the
	measures expected as	administrative and performance capacity to provide the services needed in their
	a result of the method	communities. The expected outcome of TDHCA's plan to fund the CoCs directly is that
	of distribution?	the same will be accomplished, but with CoC-wide planning rather than with only
		State planning.
17	State Program Name:	Texas HOPWA Program
	Funding Sources:	HOPWA
	Describe the state	DSHS selects seven AAs across the state through a combination of competitive
	program addressed by	Requests for Proposal ("RFP") and intergovernmental agency contracts. The AAs act as
	the Method of	an administrative arm for DSHS by administering the HOPWA program locally. The AAs
	Distribution.	do not receive any HOPWA administrative funds from DSHS; all AA administrative
		costs are leveraged from other funding sources. The AAs, in turn, select HOPWA
		Project Sponsors to cover all 26 HSDAs through local competitive processes.

Information on grant applications, available funding opportunities, application criteria, etc. can be found on the DSHS website: http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/fic/default.shtm. Contracting information and resources (i.e., General Provisions, contract requirements, etc.) are located on the DSHS website: http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/contracts/default.shtm.

Contracting services for DSHS and other Health agencies are consolidated under the Health and Human Services Commission's Procurement and Contracting Services (PCS) Division. This division handles the solicitation, contract development, contract execution, and office of record for DSHS's contracting needs.

Evaluation Criteria as noted in the most recent RFP process for AAs for Ryan White/State Services and HOPWA programs were: Respondent Background = 30%; Assessment Narrative = 15%; Performance Measures = 10%; Work Plan = 35%; and Budget = 10%.

Identify the method of selecting project sponsors (including providing full access to grassroots faith-based and other community-based organizations). (HOPWA only)

The AAs select HOPWA Project Sponsors to cover all 26 HSDAs through local competitive processes. Community-based organizations, minority organizations, minority providers, grassroots and faith-based organizations are encouraged to apply. Historically, many of the agencies that have provided services to TDHCA's client population are grassroots, community-based, and minority organizations.

·	
Describe how	Texas HOPWA funding allocations are geographically distributed across the state to
resources will be	the 26 HSDAs based on factors such as population of PLWH and unmet need. Texas
allocated among	HOPWA serves PLWH and their family members, all of whom are at or below 80% of
funding categories.	AMI, and most fall into the extremely low-income category. Allocations generally
0 11 0	mirror the Ryan White Program allocation formula, which takes into account
	population of PLWH, HIV incidence, number of PLWH accessing Ryan White services,
	percent of PLWH eligible for Medicaid, and other considerations. The allocations are
	then adjusted based on unmet need, prior performance and expenditures,
	geographic-specific data provided by Project Sponsors, and any other relevant factors
	After allocations to each HSDA are determined, it is then up to the Project Sponsor to
	allocate between activities of TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Supportive Services, and
	administrative expenses (not to exceed 7% of their allocation) and submit those to
	their AA and DSHS for approval. Project Sponsors base allocations on many factors,
	including but not limited to, number of clients projected to continue into the next
	year, area unmet need, rental costs, prior number of clients served, average
	expenditures per client, and changes in HIV population living in poverty, etc. Funds
	are also reallocated during the year within HSDAs under each AA as needed when
	needs change.
Describe threshold	Texas HOPWA serves PLWH and their family members, all of whom are at or below
factors and grant size	80% of AMI.
limits.	
	The majority of HOPWA clients are classified as extremely low income, which is
	between 0% and 30% of AMI.
What are the outcome	Outcome measures are number of unduplicated income-eligible clients and families
measures expected as	living with HIV (households) assisted with each HOPWA service category (TBRA,
a result of the method	STRMU, PHP if applicable, and Supportive Services).
of distribution?	
State Program Name:	Texas Small Towns Environment Program Fund
Funding Sources:	CDBG

Describe the state	The Texas Small Towns Environment Program ("STEP") Fund provides funds to cities
program addressed by	and counties that recognize the need and potential to solve water and sewer
the Method of	problems through self-help techniques via local volunteers. By utilizing the resources
Distribution.	of the community (human, material, and financial), the necessary construction,
	engineering, and administration costs can be reduced significantly from the cost for
	the installation of the same improvements through conventional construction
	methods.
	The self-help response to water and sewer needs may not be appropriate in every
	community. In most cases, the decision by a community to utilize self-help to obtain
	needed water and sewer facilities is based on the realization of the community that it
	cannot afford even a basic water or sewer system based on the initial construction
	costs and the operations/maintenance costs (including debt service costs) for water or
	sewer facilities installed through conventional financing and construction methods.
Describe all of the	The following are the selection criteria to be used by CDBG staff for the scoring of
criteria that will be	assessments and applications under the Texas STEP Fund:
used to select	a. Project Impact
applications and the	b. STEP Characteristics, Merits of the Project, and Local Effort
relative importance of	c. Past Participation and Performance
these criteria.	d. Percentage of Savings off of the retail price
	e. Benefit to Low/Moderate-Income Persons
If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
how can potential	
applicants access	
application manuals or	
other state	
publications describing	
the application	
criteria? (CDBG only)	
Describe how	Deobligated funds up to \$1,000,000 are made available for the STEP Fund on the first
resources will be	day of the program year.
allocated among	
funding categories.	
Describe threshold	Maximum \$350,000/Minimum \$0
factors and grant size	
limits.	

	What are the outcome	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	measures expected as	
	a result of the method	
	of distribution?	
19	State Program Name:	Urgent Need Fund
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state	Urgent Need ("UN") Fund assistance is available for activities that will restore water
	program addressed by	and/or sewer infrastructure whose sudden failure has resulted in death, illness, injury,
	the Method of	or poses an imminent threat to life or health within the affected applicant's
	Distribution.	jurisdiction. The infrastructure failure must not be the result of a lack of maintenance
		and must be unforeseeable. An application for UN Fund assistance will not be
		accepted until discussions between the potential applicant and representatives of
		TDA, TWDB, and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") have taken
		place. Through these discussions, a determination shall be made whether the
		situation meets eligibility requirements and if a potential applicant should be invited
		to submit an application for the UN Fund.
		Construction on an UN Fund project must begin within ninety (90) days from the start
		date of the CDBG contract. TDA may de-obligate the funds under an UN Fund contract
		if the grantee fails to meet this requirement.

To qualify for the UN Fund:

- 1. The situation addressed by the applicant must not be related to a proclaimed state or federal disaster declaration.
- 2. The situation addressed by the applicant must be both unanticipated and beyond the control of the local government (e.g., not for facilities or equipment beyond their normal, useful life span).
- 3. The problem being addressed must be of recent origin. For UN assistance, this means that the situation first occurred or was first discovered no more than 30 days prior to the date that the potential applicant provides a written request to the TDA for UN assistance. UN funds cannot fund projects to address a situation that has been known for more than 30 days or should have been known would occur based on the applicant's existing system facilities.
- 4. Each applicant for these funds must demonstrate that local funds or funds from other state or federal sources are not available to completely address the problem.
- 5. The applicant must provide documentation from an engineer or other qualified professional that the infrastructure failure cannot have resulted from a lack of maintenance or been caused by operator error.
- 6. UN funds cannot be used to restore infrastructure that has been cited previously for failure to meet minimum state standards.
- 7. The infrastructure requested by the applicant cannot include back-up or redundant systems.
- 8. The UN Fund will not finance temporary solutions to the problem or circumstance.
- 9. TDA may consider whether funds under an existing CDBG contract are available to be reallocated to address the situation, if eligible.
- 10. The distribution of these funds will be coordinated with other state agencies.

Each applicant for UN Funds must provide matching funds. If the applicant's most recent Census population is equal to or fewer than 1,500 persons, the applicant must provide matching funds equal to 10 percent of the CDBG funds requested. If the applicant's most recent Census population is over 1,500 persons, the applicant must provide matching funds equal to 20 percent of the CDBG funds requested. For county applications where the beneficiaries of the water or sewer improvements are located in unincorporated areas, the population category for matching funds is based on the number of project beneficiaries.

If only summary	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
If only summary	
criteria were described,	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
how can potential	
applicants access	
application manuals or	
other state	
publications describing	
the application	
criteria? (CDBG only)	
Describe how	No funds will be allocated on the first day of the Program Year; however, the amount
resources will be	for this funding category may be adjusted during the 2015 PY as needed.
allocated among	
funding categories.	
Describe threshold	Maximum \$250,000/Minimum \$25,000
factors and grant size	
limits.	
What are the outcome	Meet other community development needs of particular urgency which represent an
measures expected as	immediate threat to the health and safety of residents of the community.
a result of the method	
of distribution?	

CDBG - ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION 2016 ACTION PLAN - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND

Funds for projects under the CD Fund are allocated among the 24 State planning regions based on the following:

The original CD formula is used to allocate 40% of the annual State CDBG allocation.

- Original CD formula (40%) factors:
 - a. Non-Entitlement Population 30%
 - b. Number of Persons in Poverty 25%
 - c. Percentage of Poverty Persons 25%
 - d. Number of Unemployed Persons 10%
 - e. Percentage of Unemployed Persons 10%
- To the extent possible, the information used to calculate the regional allocations through these factors will be based on the eligible non-entitlement applicants within each region. The population and poverty information used is from the current available decennial census data. The unemployment information used is the current available annual average information. TDA does not provide priorities for allocation of funds geographically to areas of minority concentration as described in Section 91.320(f).

The HUD formula is used to allocate 21.71% of the annual State CDBG allocation.

- The formula is the same methodology that HUD uses to allocate CDBG funds among the States for use in non-entitlement areas. The HUD factors, percentages, and methodology are specified in 42 USC. §5306(d). TDA will use available data to calculate the allocations to each region.
- Using the HUD methodology, the allocation for each region shall be the greater of an amount that bears the same ratio to the allocation for all 24 regions available as either:
- (A) the average of the ratios between:
- o the population of the non-entitlement areas in that region and the population of the nonentitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted one time 25% weight);
- o the extent of poverty in the non-entitlement areas in that region and the extent of poverty in the non-entitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted two times 50% weight); and
- o the extent of housing overcrowding in the non-entitlement areas in that region and the extent of housing overcrowding in the non-entitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted one time 25% weight);

OR

- (B) the average of the ratios between:
 - o the age of housing in the non-entitlement areas in that region and the age of housing in the

nonentitlement areas in all 24 regions (counted two and one half times - 50% weight);

o the extent of poverty in the non-entitlement areas in that region and the extent of poverty in the non-entitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted one and one half times - 30% weight); and

o the population of the non-entitlement areas in that region and the population of the nonentitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted one time - 20% weight).

CDBG - ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION 2016 ACTION PLAN - DEOBLIGATED FUNDS

Deobligated Funds

On the first day of the program year, deobligated funds will be made available to the fund categories as described in Table 4. Any unallocated deobligated funds and other available program income (not derived from TCF real estate projects) will be allocated as follows:

- 1. 20% shall be allocated to the DR Fund;
- 2. 80% shall be allocated to those fund categories that do not have allocations prescribed by federal or state law.

The allocation shall be based on the pro-rata share of the percentages specified in Section AP-30 of this Action Plan. Allocations to the CD Fund will be distributed to each of the 24 Planning Regions based upon the methodology used in calculating the annual regional allocation. Allocations to regions that either (a) have no eligible applications, or (b) cannot fully fund the next highest ranking applications will be made available to the CD Fund (to other regions with eligible applications) or to the DR Fund.

CDBG - ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION 2016 ACTION PLAN - UNOBLIGATED FUNDS

Unobligated Funds

For an award that is withdrawn from an applicant, the TDA follows different procedures for the use of those recaptured funds depending on the fund category in which the award is withdrawn.

1. The CD Fund – funds from the withdrawal of an award shall be offered to the next highest ranked applicant from that region that was not recommended to receive an award due to depletion of the region's allocation. A marginal amount may be offered to the next highest ranked applicant as long as the amount of funds still available exceeds the minimum CD Fund grant amount. Any funds remaining from a regional allocation that are not accepted by an applicant, that are not offered to an applicant, or remain due to lack of additional, unfunded applications, may be allocated among regions with eligible, unfunded applications. If unallocated to another region, they are then subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.

- 2. The PCB Fund funds from the withdrawal of a PCB award are offered to the next highest ranked applicant that was not recommended to receive an award due to depletion of the fund's annual allocation. A marginal amount may be offered to the next highest ranked applicant as long as the amount of funds still available exceeds the minimum grant amount. Any funds remaining from the allocation that are not accepted by an applicant from the statewide competition or that are not offered to an applicant from the statewide competition may be used for other CDBG fund categories and, if unallocated to another fund, are then subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.
- 3. The Colonia Funds funds from the withdrawal of any Colonia Fund award remain available to potential Colonia Fund applicants during that program year. If unallocated within the Colonia Fund, funds then may be used for other CDBG fund categories to fund eligible projects or activities that assist colonia residents. Remaining unallocated funds are then subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.
- 4. DR/UN Funds funds from the withdrawal of a DR/UN award remain available to potential DR/UN Fund applicants during that program year. If unallocated within the DR/UN Fund, the funds are subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.
- 5. The STEP Fund funds from the withdrawal of a STEP award will be made available in the next round of STEP competition following the withdrawal date in the same program year. If the withdrawn award was made in the last of the two competitions in a program year, the funds would go to the next highest scoring applicant in the same STEP competition. If there are no unfunded STEP applicants, then the funds would be available for other CDBG fund categories. Any unallocated STEP funds are subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.
- 6. The TCF funds from the withdrawal of a Main Street, Downtown Revitalization or Small and Micro Enterprise Revolving Fund award shall be offered to the next highest ranked application that was not recommended to receive an award due to depletion the program's allocation. Funds from the withdrawal of a Real Estate and Infrastructure award shall be made available in the next monthly round of competition. Any unallocated TCF funds are then subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.

CDBG - ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION 2016 ACTION PLAN - PROGRAM INCOME

Program Income

Program income is defined as gross income received by a state, a unit of general local government, or a subrecipient of a unit of general local government that was generated from the use of CDBG funds. When program income is generated by an activity that is only partially funded with CDBG funds, the income shall be prorated to reflect the percentage of CDBG funds used. Any remaining program income must be returned to the State.

The State may use up to the maximum allowable percentage of the amount recaptured and reportable to HUD each year for administrative expenses under the CDBG Program. This amount will be matched by the State on a dollar-for-dollar basis.

TCF and Revolving Loan Fund ("RLF") Program Income

Funds retained in any existing local RLF must be committed within three years of the original CDBG contract programmatic close date. At least one eligible loan/award from the local RLF must be made every three years. Every award from the RLF must be used to fund the same type of activity from which such income was derived. A local RLF may retain a cash balance not greater than 33% of its total cash and outstanding loan balance. All activities funded with RLF funds must comply with CDBG regulations and rules and guidelines. If a local government does not comply with the RLF requirements, all program income retained in the local RLF and any future program income received from the proceeds of the RLF must be returned to the State.

To the extent there are eligible applications, program income derived from the TCF real estate projects will be used to fund awards under the TCF. Other available program income shall be allocated based on the methodology used to allocate Deobligated Funds.

Discussion

The distribution process for 4% HTC Program, 9% HTC Program, HHSP, Housing Trust Fund Program, MMC Program, My First Texas Home Program, NSP PI Program, Section 8 HCV Program, Section 811 PRA Program, and TCAP Loan Repayments can be found in the documents that govern these programs, all available at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/. The CDBG Colonia Set-Aside Methods of Distribution will be included in Action Plan Section 48, which is specifically about colonias.

Along with selecting appropriate entities to administer funding, the State must ensure that the funding is appropriately spent. For example, in addition to an outcome measure of the number of clients/households supported with HOPWA housing subsidies assistance, AAs routinely monitor Project Sponsors for compliance and performance. DSHS monitors the AAs and annually compiles AAs' and Project Sponsors program progress reports and reviews cumulative data for number of households assisted compared to goals, expenditures, and stability outcomes of households served. More information on CPD Programs monitoring efforts are described in Strategic Plan Section 80, Monitoring.

Additional detail on the Method of Distribution for CDBG funds is included as an attachment

AP-35 Projects – (Optional)

Introduction

At the time of submission of the State of Texas 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan, project information will not have been entered in the Annual Action Plan-35 Projects table. Per Consolidated Plan Guidance Released on February 2014, project-level detail for states is not required because the State does not initiate specific projects or activities. This guidance continues for the 2016 OYAP.

#	Project Name

Table 19 - Project Information

Describe the reasons for allocation priorities and any obstacles to addressing underserved needs

Because no projects have been entered in this section, this section is not applicable. Allocation priorities are discussed in Action Plan Section 25, which also includes meeting special needs. Actions to meeting underserved needs are found in Action Plan Section 85.

CDBG-DR allocation priorities can be found in the CDBG-DR Action Plan at: http://www.glo.texas.gov/GLO/disaster-recovery/index.html

AP-38 Project Summary

Project Summary Information

Table 20 - Project Summary

AP-40 Section 108 Loan Guarantee – 91.320(k)(1)(ii)

Will	the state help	non-entitlement	units of genera	I local governm	nent to apply for	Section 108
loan	funds?					

No

Available Grant Amounts

Not applicable.

Acceptance process of applications

Not applicable.

AP-45 Community Revitalization Strategies – 91.320(k)(1)(ii)

Will the state allow units of general local government to carry out community revitalization strategies?

Yes

State's Process and Criteria for approving local government revitalization strategies

TDA's CDBG program operates four programs that stimulate job creation/retention activities that primarily benefit LMI persons, prevent/eliminate slum and blight conditions, and support community planning efforts.

The **TCF Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Programs** provides grants and/or loans for Real Estate and Infrastructure Development to create or retain permanent jobs in primarily rural communities and counties.

The **Downtown Revitalization Program** is intended to stimulate economic growth through the funding of public infrastructure improvements to aid in the elimination of slum and blight conditions in the historic downtown areas of rural communities. The program is only available to "non-entitlement" city governments. Non-entitlement cities do not receive direct funding from HUD and typically include cities with a population of less than 50,000. Awarded cities receive funds to make public infrastructure improvements in the designated historic, downtown business district. Projects must meet the national objective of aiding in the elimination of slum and/or blighted conditions identified by city resolution. The improvements must directly support the revitalization of the city's designated downtown area.

The Main Street Development Program is intended to stimulate economic growth through the funding of public infrastructure improvements to aid in the elimination of slum and blight conditions in the historic downtown areas of rural communities identified by the Texas Historical Commission as a Main Street Community. The program is only available to "non-entitlement" city governments that are also designated as an official Texas Main Street City by the Texas Historical Commission. Non-entitlement cities do not receive direct funding from HUD and typically include cities with a population of less than 50,000. Awarded cities receive funds to make public infrastructure improvements in the designated Main Street business district. Projects must meet the national objective of aiding in the elimination of slum and/or blighted conditions identified by city resolution. The improvements must directly support the revitalization of the city's designated main street area.

The **Planning and Capacity Building Fund** is a competitive grant program for local public facility and housing planning activities. Localities apply for financial assistance to prepare a "comprehensive plan" or any of its components. Typical activities regard topics such as: Base Mapping, Land Use, Housing, Population, Economic Development and/or Tourism, Central Business District, Street Conditions,

Thoroughfares, Parks and Recreation, Water Distribution and Supply, Wastewater Collection and Treatment, Drainage (streets & flood hazard areas), Gas or Electric Systems (if owned by the locality), Community Facilities, Capital Improvements Program, Zoning Ordinance, Subdivision Regulation. Section 105(a) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, outlines all the generally eligible activities.

AP-48 Method of Distribution for Colonias Set-aside - 91.320(d)&(k)

Introduction

Distribution Methods

State Program Name	Funding Sources
Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program	CDBG
Legislative Set-Aside	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia Planning and Construction Funds	CDBG
	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia SHC Legislative Set-Aside (administered by	CDBG
TDHCA)	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
Colonias Set-Aside: Colonias to Cities Initiative Program	CDBG
	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
Community Development Fund	CDBG
Community Enhancement Fund	CDBG
Disaster Relief Funds	CDBG
General HOME Funds for Single-Family Activities	HOME
HOME Multifamily Development	HOME
Planning/Capacity Building Fund	CDBG
State Mandated Contract for Deed Conversion Set-Aside	HOME
TCF Main Street Program	CDBG
TCF Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Programs	CDBG
Texas Capital Fund Downtown Revitalization Program	CDBG
Texas ESG Program	ESG
Texas HOPWA Program	HOPWA
Texas Small Towns Environment Program Fund	CDBG
Urgent Need Fund	CDBG

Table 21 - Distribution Methods by State Program for Colonias Set-aside

DRAFT Annual Action Plan
2016

State Programs Addressed

Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program ("CEDAP") Legislative Set-Aside fund provides funding to eligible cities and counties to assist colonia residents that cannot afford the cost of service lines, service connections, and plumbing improvements associated with being connected to a TWDB Economically Distressed Area Program or similar water or sewer system improvement project.

Criteria and their importance

The TDA will evaluate the following factors prior to awarding CEDAP funds:

- The proposed use of the CDBG funds including the eligibility of the proposed activities and the
 effective use of the funds to provide water or sewer connections/yard lines to water/sewer
 systems funded through Economically Distressed Area Program or similar program;
- The ability of the applicant to utilize the grant funds in a timely manner;
- The availability of funds to the applicant for project financing from other sources;
- The applicant's past performance on previously awarded CDBG contracts;
- Cost per beneficiary; and
- Proximity of project site to entitlement cities or metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs").

CDBG only: Access of application manuals

Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.

Resource Allocation among Funding Categories

The allocation is distributed on an as-needed basis.

Threshold Factors and Grant Size Limits

Maximum \$1,000,000/Minimum \$75,000

Outcome Measures expected as results of Distribution Method

Activities Benefiting LMI Persons

Discussion

Texas has the largest number of colonias and the largest colonia population of all the border states. The method of distribution for funds set aside to serve colonias relies on subgrantees along the Texas-Mexico border as well as interagency cooperation between TDHCA, TDA, TWDB, the Office of the

Attorney General, and others. The majority of the funding that assists colonias is through infrastructure development, but funds are also available to address housing, community planning, economic revitalization and disaster relief. TDHCA's role in administering colonia funding is limited to the Colonia SHCs (2.5% set-aside of all Texas' CDBG funds) and HOME colonia set-aside. TDHCA has strategically placed Border Field Offices along the Texas-Mexico Border that supports SHC staff with problem solving and training. The Border Field Offices exist to provide local technical assistance directly to both colonia residents and the organizations that serve colonia residents. TDHCA also works in concert with other state agencies on a regular basis—namely TDA and the Texas Secretary of State—to coordinate efforts and exchange information in order enhance service delivery.

The majority of the funding that assists colonias is through the CDBG Program. However, HOME has a specific set-aside for colonias. In addition, ESG and HOPWA may also provide funding in that area, as described in Action Plan Section 30.

AP-50 Geographic Distribution – 91.320(f)

Description of the geographic areas of the state (including areas of low-income and minority concentration) where assistance will be directed

HOME Addresses Geographic Areas for Assistance

TDHCA does not provide priorities for allocation of investment geographically to areas of minority concentration; however, the geographic distribution of HOME funds to minority populations is analyzed annually. TDHCA is statutorily required by the Texas Government Code to provide a comprehensive statement of its activities through the State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report. Part of this document describes the ethnic and racial composition of families and individuals receiving assistance from each housing program.

HOME funds used for multifamily development are typically paired with tax-exempt bond and/or HTC. TDHCA rules that govern the HTC Program include incentives for developments utilizing the competitive 9% HTC in high opportunity areas which are defined as high-income, low-poverty areas and are not typically minority-concentrated, but it also provides incentive to develop in colonias or economically distressed areas. Developments using tax-exempt bond financing and 4% HTCs are more frequently located in qualified census tracts due to federal guidelines that cause these to be more financially viable.

ESG Addresses Geographic Areas for Assistance

Assistance provided by ESG funds will be directed statewide, according to the 11 HUD-designated CoC areas. TDHCA does not provide priorities for allocating investment geographically to areas of minority concentration as described in Section 91.320(d).

HOPWA Addresses Geographic Areas for Assistance

The Texas HOPWA funding allocations are geographically distributed according to the 26 HIV HSDAs. Allocations are based on several factors, including past performance of Project Sponsors and unmet need, with the majority of Texas HOPWA clients (90% in 2014) classified as extremely low and low income. Allocations generally mirror the Ryan White Program allocation formula, which takes into account population of PLWH, HIV incidence, number of PLWH accessing Ryan White services, percent of PLWH eligible for Medicaid and other considerations. The allocations are then adjusted based on unmet need, prior performance and expenditures, geographic-specific data provided by Project Sponsors, and any other relevant factors. Many of these individuals reside in areas of minority concentration and most PLWH are racial and ethnic minorities, so the program allocates funding to meet the needs of PLWH in Texas.

CDBG Addresses Geographic Areas for Assistance

TDA does not provide priorities for allocation of funds geographically to areas of minority concentration as described in Section 91.320(f). CDBG funds are allocated across the state in three ways.

- 1. The CD Fund assigns a percentage of the annual allocation to each of the 24 Regional COGs, ensuring that each region of the state receives a portion of the funds.
- 2. The Colonia Fund directs funding to communities within 150 miles of the Texas-Mexico border.
- 3. All remaining funds are distributed through state-wide competitions without geographic priorities.

For the Colonia SHCs, centers are established along the Texas-Mexico border in Cameron/Willacy, Hidalgo, Starr, Webb, Maverick, Val Verde, and El Paso counties as well as in any other county designated as an economically distressed area. The SHC Program serves approximately 28 colonias in seven border counties, which are comprised of primarily Hispanic households and have concentrations of very low-income households.

Geographic Distribution

Target Area	Percentage of Funds
State of Texas	100

Table 22 - Geographic Distribution

Rationale for the priorities for allocating investments geographically

HOME Addresses Geographic Investments

HOME funds are allocated geographically using a RAF, as described in Strategic Plan Section 10. This process directs funds to areas of the State that demonstrate high need. In addition, HOME funds administered by TDHCA are primarily used in areas that are not Participating Jurisdictions ("PJs") per statute. This results in more HOME funds in smaller communities than in the larger Metropolitan Statistical Areas ("MSAs") that receive HOME funds directly from HUD. The most updated RAF is online at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/housing-center/pubs-plans.htm.

ESG Addresses Geographic Investments

CoC regions have funding made available for competition according to the combination of the region's proportionate share of the state's total homeless population, based on the most recent Point-in-Time count submitted to HUD by the CoCs and the region's proportionate share of people living in poverty, based on the most recent 5-year American Community Survey poverty data published by the Census Bureau. For the purposes of distributing funds, the percentage of statewide homeless population is

weighted at 75% while the percentage of statewide population in poverty is weighted at 25%

HOPWA Addresses Geographic Investments

At the end of 2012, nearly 73,000 people in Texas were known to have HIV and it is estimated that an additional 17,000 people in Texas are living with HIV but are currently unaware of their status. The number of Texans living with HIV increases each year and in order to meet the needs of low-income PLWH in Texas, many of whom live in areas of minority concentration, the HOPWA funding allocations are geographically distributed across the State and are allocated based on several factors, including unmet need.

Six cities in Texas have a population of over 500,000 (Austin, Dallas, Fort Worth, El Paso, Houston, and San Antonio), which are in MSAs funded directly from HUD for HOPWA. Although the Texas HOPWA program can operate in any area of the State, the State program serves all counties not covered under the MSAs' jurisdictions, with some overlap of counties between the State and the MSAs. As a result, Texas HOPWA covers all of the rural areas of the State, where many low-income HOPWA clients reside, and funding prioritization is based on areas with greater unmet need for PLWH.

CDBG Addresses Geographic Investments

Texas CDBG Funds for projects under the CD Fund are allocated by formula to 24 regions based on the methodology that HUD uses to allocate CDBG funds to the non-entitlement state programs (21.71% of annual allocation), along with a state formula based on poverty and unemployment (40% of annual allocation). In addition, 12.5% of the annual allocation is allocated to projects under the Colonia Fund categories, which must be expended within 150 miles of the Texas-Mexico border.

For the Colonia SHCs, state legislative mandate designates five centers along the Texas-Mexico border in specific border counties to address the long history of poverty and lack of institutional resources. Two additional counties have been designated as economically distressed areas and also operate centers through the program. These counties collectively have approximately 42,000 colonia residents who may qualify to access center services.

Discussion

Many of the Target Areas available in the Integrated Disbursement and Information System ("IDIS"), HUD's electronic system in which this Plan has been entered, were too detailed for use at the macrolevel; therefore, the State entered the "State of Texas" as a Target Area in Strategic Plan Section 10. Within Texas, each program relies on a formula to distribute funds geographically.

DRAFT Annual Action Plan 2016

Affordable Housing

AP-55 Affordable Housing – 24 CFR 91.320(g)

Introduction

Affordable Housing goals for PY 2016 are indicated in the table below for the number of homeless, non-homeless, and special needs households, and for the number of affordable housing units that will be provided by program type, including rental assistance, production of new units, rehabilitation of existing units, utility connections for existing units, or acquisition of existing units. Note that goals entered for ESG are only for Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-housing. The HOME goals include multifamily and single family activities.

One Year Goals for the Number of Households to be Supported		
Homeless	4,740	
Non-Homeless	363	
Special-Needs	1,713	
Total	6,816	

Table 23 - One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Requirement

One Year Goals for the Number of Households Supported Through		
Rental Assistance	6,475	
The Production of New Units	172	
Rehab of Existing Units	58	
Acquisition of Existing Units	54	
Total	6,759	

Table 24 - One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Type

Discussion

The one year goals for TDHCA's HOME Program include homebuyer assistance with possible rehabilitation for accessibility, TBRA, homeowner rehabilitation assistance, rehabilitation of multifamily units, and construction of single-family and multifamily units.

TDHCA's ESG Program provides Rapid Re-housing assistance to help homeless individuals and households quickly regain stability in housing. Homelessness Prevention and Emergency Shelter outcome indicators are counted as persons, not households, so is not added into the chart above. ESG

also provides street outreach, but as this does not directly equate to affordable housing, it is not counted above.

DSHS' HOPWA Program provides TBRA, STRMU, PHP, and Supportive Services to assist low-income HIV-positive clients and their households to establish or maintain affordable, stable housing, reduce the risk of homelessness, and improve access to health care and other services. HOPWA serves households with 80% or less of area median income, but a majority of Texas HOPWA households are under 30% AMI and lack of affordable housing is an ongoing issue. DSHS estimates that the HOPWA program will assist 890 unduplicated, income-eligible households with housing subsidy assistance.

Currently, Texas CDBG funds primarily support affordable housing through water and sewer infrastructure for housing. The CDBG funding provides a cost savings for housing when used to install water and sewer yard lines and pay impact and connection fees for qualifying residents. Housing rehabilitation projects are prioritized in several fund categories. CDBG funds also help communities study affordable housing conditions, providing data on affordable housing stock and planning tools for expanding affordable housing. CDBG provides approximately 250 utility connections per year, which are not reflected in the chart above, but could prove essential to obtaining or maintaining housing.

Colonia residents are considered "Special Needs" households who are supported through the production, rehab or acquisition of units (no rental assistance). The Colonia SHCs continue to address affordable housing needs in border counties by assisting qualifying colonia residents to improve or maintain a safe, suitable home in suitable areas, with the contribution of the residents' sweat-equity which is required in all housing activities at the SHC. In addition, the Colonia SHCs provide other development opportunities that support the creation of affordable housing for beneficiaries, such as tool lending, and training in home construction and repair, financial literacy, and homeownership skills.

AP-60 Public Housing - 24 CFR 91.320(j)

Introduction

TDHCA believes that the future success of PHAs will center on ingenuity in program design, emphasis on resident participation towards economic self-sufficiency, and partnerships with other organizations to address the needs of this population. While TDHCA does not have any direct or indirect jurisdiction over the management or operations of PHAs, it is important to maintain a relationship with these service providers.

Actions planned during the next year to address the needs to public housing

TDHCA, as a small PHA itself, works with other PHAs around the State to port vouchers when necessary. This is especially true for Project Access, a TDHCA program that uses Section 8 vouchers to serve people with disabilities living in certain institutions by transitioning them into residing in the community, described fully in Action Plan Section 65. For the Project Access Program, an applicant is issued a voucher from TDHCA. To port the voucher, TDHCA works with the Receiving Public Housing Authority ("RPHA") to transfer the documents and the voucher. The voucher holder is briefed and given an introduction on the RPHAs program rules. At this time, the RPHA can decide to absorb the voucher or bill the Initial PHA ("IPHA"). If the RPHA absorbs the voucher, the RPHA will send notice to the IPHA for documentation. This allows TDHCA to use another HCV for another applicant on the Project Access waiting list. If the RPHA bills the IPHA, the RPHA is required to submit a billing notice within an allotted time to the IPHA so payment can be received. In this way, TDHCA and local PHAs work closely together.

HOME Addresses PHA Needs

TDHCA provides notices of funding availability under the HOME Program to interested parties around the State, including PHAs. Furthermore, staff of PHAs, especially those receiving HOME funds and those with Section 8 Homeownership programs, are targeted by TDHCA's Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program for training to provide homebuyer education opportunities and self-sufficiency tools for PHA residents.

In addition, PHAs may also administer HOME TBRA funds, enabling them to provide households with rental assistance and services to increase self-sufficiency.

Regarding HOME Multifamily Development that is also financed with the HTC Program, PHAs are incentivized in the QAP to either provide leverage in developments that they own or to provide financing as evidence of support from Local Political Subdivisions for developments which they do not own.

ESG Addresses PHA Needs

PHA residents are eligible to receive assistance and services from ESG Subrecipients, as long as the assistance does not violate Section 576.105(d) of the ESG rules regarding use of funds with other subsidies. Fostering public housing resident initiatives is not an initiative for which TDHCA provides funding or that TDHCA tracks for the ESG Program.

HOPWA Addresses PHA Needs

The HOPWA program administered by DSHS does not provide public housing assistance. However, Project Sponsors coordinate closely with local housing authorities for client referrals and to address local housing issues. HOPWA clients who move into public housing are no longer eligible to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance but are offered HOPWA Supportive Services as needed for transition and if eligible, may continue to receive services through the Ryan White/State Services program.

CDBG Addresses PHA Needs

The Texas CDBG Program serves public housing areas through various funding categories as residents of PHAs qualify as low- to moderate-income beneficiaries for CDBG projects.

CDBG grant recipients must also comply with local Section 3 policies, including outreach to public housing residents and other qualified Section 3 persons in any new employment, training, or contracting opportunities created during the expenditure of CDBG funding.

Actions to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

HOME, ESG, HOPWA, and CDBG are subject to 24 CFR Part 135 which requires that HUD funds invested in housing and community development construction contribute to employment opportunities for low-income persons living in or near the HUD-funded project. These requirements, called Section 3 requirements, are covered at trainings for Subrecipients; persons who may benefit from employment opportunities include PHA residents.

HOME Addresses Public Housing Resident Initiatives

PHAs are eligible to apply to administer HOME funds to provide homebuyer assistance in their areas. PHAs also provide services to increase self-sufficiency, which may include homebuyer counseling services. In addition, TDHCA targets its Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program to PHAs, among other groups, which provide homebuyer education training opportunities and self-sufficiency tools for PHA residents.

ESG Addresses Public Housing Resident Initiatives

PHA residents are eligible to receive assistance and services from ESG Subrecipients, as long as the

DRAFT Annual Action Plan

assistance does not violate Section 576.105(d) of the ESG rules regarding use of funds with other subsidies.

HOPWA Addresses Public Housing Resident Initiatives

The HOPWA program administered by DSHS does not provide public housing assistance. However, Project Sponsors coordinate closely with local PHAs for client referrals and to address local housing issues.

CDBG Addresses Public Housing Resident Initiatives

The CDBG Program serves public housing areas through various funding categories as residents of PHAs qualify as low- to moderate-income beneficiaries for CDBG projects.

If the PHA is designated as troubled, describe the manner in which financial assistance will be provided or other assistance

TDHCA has worked to promote programs that will rehabilitate and bring substandard housing into compliant condition and will develop additional affordable housing units. For example, most of the PHA applications for HTCs are for rehabilitation and the applications for new construction usually include a demolition of the existing units. TDHCA also offers a variety of funding sources for assistance. Most PHAs that apply are usually from larger Metropolitan Statistical Areas, which are PJs and not eligible to receive HOME funding through TDHCA. Consistent with fair housing objectives, TDHCA seeks ways to accomplish these activities in a manner that seeks to place PHA units in areas of greater opportunity and areas that do not involve unacceptable site and area features.

In one specific case, TDHCA absorbed vouchers from a PHA which was having difficulties, the Navasota Housing Authority. HUD identified that the Navasota Housing Authority was administering vouchers outside of their jurisdiction. Therefore, the Navasota Housing Authority contacted TDHCA to discuss the possibilities of absorbing these vouchers. During a series of meetings with HUD staff and the PHAs, discussion resulted in a scheduled on-site visit. Ultimately, the Navasota Housing Authority transferred additional funds to TDHCA and HUD reassigned the files' PHA code. Similarly, TDHCA has collaborated with the Alamo Area Council of Governments in its request to HUD that TDHCA permanently absorb the vouchers it administers in Bexar County.

To expand its work with PHAs, TDHCA has developed a relationship with the Texas Housing Association and the Texas chapter of the National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials ("NAHRO"), which serve the PHAs of Texas. Whenever possible, the State will communicate to PHAs the importance of serving special needs populations.

Discussion

To address PHA needs, TDHCA has designated PHAs as eligible entities for its programs, such as the HTC Program, HOME Program, and ESG Program. PHAs have successfully administered HTC funds to rehabilitate or develop affordable rental housing. The PHA needs to submit an application and be awarded in order to access funding.

There are also federal sources available for PHAs that can be paired with HOME. Also through HUDs Rental Assistance Demonstration ("RAD") Program, PHAs can use public housing operating subsidies along with HTC Program once the older PHA units are demolished and replaced with new housing. Because most PHAs using RAD are located in PJs, TDHCA does not anticipate using its HOME funds in conjunction with RAD consistent with its restrictions on HOME fund use in participating jurisdictions, but it is an allowable activity for units in non PJs.

AP-65 Homeless and Other Special Needs Activities – 91.320(h)

Introduction

TDHCA will address requirements in 24 CFR §91.320 by using funds to reduce and end homelessness. Each ESG applicant is required to coordinate with the lead agency of the CoC, which provides services and follows a centralized or coordinated assessment process; has written policies and procedures in place as described by §578.7(a)(8) and (9); and follows a written standard to provide street outreach, emergency shelter, rapid re-housing, and homelessness prevention assistance. To assist low-income individuals and families to avoid becoming homeless, especially those discharged from publicly-funded institutions and systems of care, or those receiving assistance from public and private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs, TDHCA requires each Subrecipient to set performance targets that are part of their contract and extended to each of the local organizations that the Subrecipient funds. A Subrecipient must address the housing and supportive service needs of individuals assisted with ESG funds in a plan to move the client toward housing stability.

In addition, ESG works in tandem with other programs that help to transition persons out of institutions, such as the HOPWA Program, Section 811 PRA Program, Project Access Program, Money Follows the Person Program, and the Home and Community-Based Services - Adult Mental Health Program. The HHSCC also works to enhance coordination between housing and service agencies to assist persons transitioning from institutions into community-based settings.

Describe the jurisdictions one-year goals and actions for reducing and ending homelessness including

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The Texas ESG Program provides funds to service providers for outreach to unsheltered homeless persons in order to connect them to emergency shelter, housing, or critical services; and to provide urgent, non-facility-based care to unsheltered homeless people who are unwilling or unable to access emergency shelter, housing, or other appropriate facilities. Of critical importance is assisting the unsheltered homeless with emergency shelter or other placement. One of the possible performance measures that Subrecipients will be measured against is their ability to help homeless persons move into permanent housing, achieve higher incomes and gain more non-cash benefits. To ensure long-term housing stability, clients will be required to meet with a case manager not less than once per month (with exceptions pursuant to the VAWA and the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act ("FVPSA")). Subrecipients will also be required to develop a plan to assist program participants to retain permanent housing after the ESG assistance ends.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The ESG Program helps the unsheltered homeless and homeless individuals and families residing in emergency shelter and those fleeing domestic violence to return to stable housing conditions by providing support to organizations that provide emergency services and shelter to homeless persons and households. One of the possible performance measures that Subrecipients will be measured against is their ability to help individuals and families move out of emergency shelter and transitional housing and into permanent housing, achieve higher incomes and gain more non-cash benefits. To ensure long-term housing stability, clients will be required to meet with a case manager not less than once per month (with exceptions pursuant to the VAWA and the FVPSA). Subrecipients will also be required to develop a plan to assist program participants to retain permanent housing after the ESG assistance ends.

In addition, the State will consider transitional housing as having characteristics associated with instability and an increased risk of homelessness, which may allow clients moving out of transitional housing to access Rapid Re-housing or Homelessness Prevention services.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The ESG Program has broadened the activities that can be used to help low-income families and individuals avoid becoming homeless and to rapidly re-house persons or families that experience homelessness. ESG funds can be used for short-term and medium-term rental assistance, rental application fees, security deposits, utility deposits, utility payments, and moving costs for homeless individuals or persons at risk of homelessness. Funds can also be used for housing service costs related to housing search and placement, housing stability case management, mediation, legal services, and credit repair. ESG funds can also be used to pay for essential service costs including case management, child care, education services, employment assistance and job training, outpatient health services, legal services, life skills training, mental health services, substance abuse treatment services, transportation, and costs related to serving special populations.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); or, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

In addition to homelessness prevention, ESG funds provided to CoCs actively promote coordination with community providers and integration with mainstream services to marshal available resources. One performance measure for subrecipients may be their ability to help increase non-cash benefits for program participants; the subrecipients would help program participants obtain non-ESG resources, such as veterans benefits or food stamps.

Individuals eligible for the State's HOPWA Program who are exiting from an institution receive a comprehensive housing plan and linkage and referrals to health professionals from a case manager. The State HOPWA Program provides TBRA, which can be used to transition persons from institutions into stable housing. Some project sponsors also provide rental deposits and application fees.

Other programs included in this Plan also address persons transitioning from institutions. For example, TDHCA has received awards totaling more than \$24 million for the Section 811 PRA Program. The program will help extremely low-income individuals with disabilities and their families by providing more than 600 new integrated supportive housing units in seven areas of the state. Members of the target population include individuals transitioning out of institutions; people with severe mental illness; and youth with disabilities transitioning out of the state's foster care system. Individuals in the Section 811 PRA Target Population are eligible for assistance from public agencies, are Medicaid-eligible, and could be at-risk of housing instability and/or homelessness.

Coordination between housing and the Health and Human Services ("HHS") agencies is exemplified by the Project Access and Money Follows the Person programs. Project Access uses Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers administered by TDHCA to assist low-income persons with disabilities transition from nursing homes and Intermediate Care Facilities ("ICFs") to the community, while using the Money Follows the Person Program to provide services by HHS agencies. Since it began in 2002, the TDHCA Governing Board approved changes to Project Access based on input from advocates and the HHS agencies, such as incremental increases to vouchers from 35 to 140 and creation of a pilot program with DSHS for persons with disabilities transitioning out of State Psychiatric Hospitals.

In addition, TDHCA offers the use of TBRA to individuals on the Project Access Wait List, allowing him/her to live in the community until she/he can use Project Access. TDHCA conducted outreach and technical assistance to Department of Aging and Disability Services ("DADS") Relocation Specialists and HOME TBRA Administrators to help them serve individuals on the wait list.

To further address the needs of individuals transitioning from institutions, HHSCC, codified in Texas Government Code, Chapter 2306, Subchapter NN, seeks to increase coordination of housing and health services, by supporting agencies to pursue funding, such as Relocation Contractor services for people with behavioral health challenges and Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities; Medicaid waiver programs; vouchers from PHAs for people with disabilities and aging Texans; housing resources from the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for people with criminal histories transitioning to the community; and DSHS' rental assistance program.

HHSCC also encourages the coordination of TDHCA with DSHS for DSHS' new Home and Community-Based Services: Adult Mental Health Program. This program will serve individuals with Serious Mental Illness who have long-term or multiple stays in the State's Mental Health Facilities.

Discussion

The Texas ESG Program is designed to assist, assess and, where possible, shelter the unsheltered homeless; to quickly re-house persons who have become homeless and provide support to help them maintain housing; and to provide support that helps persons at risk of becoming homeless maintain their current housing. Other special needs populations are described in Action Plan Section 25.

AP-70 HOPWA Goals - 91.320(k)(4)

One year goals for the number of households to be provided housing through the use of HOPWA for:		
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or		
family	426	
Tenant-based rental assistance	448	
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with		
HOPWA funds	0	
Total	874	

AP-75 Barriers to affordable housing – 91.320(i)

Introduction

The Phase 2 AI identifies impediments to fair housing choice in the State of Texas and action steps that the State intends to take to address identified impediments. This document describes state and local regulatory and land use barriers in detail. It may be accessed at https://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/fair-housing/policy-guidance.htm.

TDHCA staff developed a database to track fair housing action steps, link action steps to impediments, and document benchmarks and progress in implementing such action steps. This database assists the State in the development of well informed steps to directly address impediments reflected in the Phase 2 AI. Staff also developed a database to consolidate the demographic and geographic data of recipients of the Department's Housing Tax Credit programs and provide for in-depth analyses of patterns in the allocation of funding and comparison to census data. Staff believes these databases will assist in identifying new impediments to fair housing choice as the consolidated data is analyzed and the efficacy of implemented action steps is reviewed.

The State is currently developing best practices guidance related to zoning and land use regulations, policies, and practices that will further fair housing choice. The State plans to release best practices to the public through its Fair Housing website; the website will include areas specific to Real Estate Professionals, Developers and Administrators, as well as Local Governments and Elected Officials.

The AI included several suggestions on countering negative effects of public policy as it concerned two areas — land use and zoning and Not-In-My-Backyard Syndrome ("NIMBYism"). In order to avoid the difficulty, expense, and uncertainty that NIMBYism can engender, developers often focus on areas where their proposed developments are well supported. Changes in the scoring of the State's HTC Program provide incentives to develop in high opportunity areas. High opportunity areas include places with low poverty rates and quality schools, with above average state ratings.

Cases of NIMBYism can be difficult to track, it is hard to measure where NIMBYism occurs most often. The cases of NIMBYism most often associated with proposed multifamily developments, although not exclusive to these areas, NIMBYism appear anecdotally to be more likely to occur in areas with socioeconomic and housing homogeneity. To assist the State in gathering data on how elected officials, communities, and local governments are impacted by NIMBYism sentiments and to help the State in countering NIMBY messaging, TDHCA periodically outsources with universities and private consulting firms for studies, market analyses, and special projects. Guidance and resources to support affordable housing will be provided through TDHCA's Fair Housing website, along with the Fair Housing listserv and community events calendar, and a Speaker's Bureau that will be able to discuss this and other Fair Housing topics.

Actions it planned to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment

TDHCA reviews all guiding documents, rules, and practices internally to determine if known barriers or impediments to furthering fair housing choice can be addressed through changes within TDHCA's power. The Department's Fair Housing, Data Management, and Reporting group continues ongoing interviews with Division Directors originally held in spring 2014. Initial recommendations and actions were noted for each program as well as a list of 15 cross-Divisional recommendations that included items such as improved Affirmative Marketing Rules, improved Language Assistance Plan guidance, a better internal mechanism for Fair Housing training, Fair Housing Team reviews of rule changes and NOFA documents, etc. TDHCA has been making and will continue to make a concerted effort to review and move forward on key recommendations and to increase staff and subrecipient education to ensure that all programs are providing best practices guidance to recipients and the general public.

TDHCA acts as an information resource for affordable housing studies and information. A project between TDHCA (including HHSCC) and the University of Texas has resulted in a Fair Housing public service message campaign with videos in support of affordable housing, fair housing rights, and Service-Enriched Housing

The Texas Workforce Commission Civil Rights Division ("CRD") received a two-year grant of HUD Partnership Funds for an outreach campaign. CRD launched a public service announcement initiative targeting Midland, Odessa, Laredo, and Victoria, as well as small cities and towns surrounding these "oil and gas boom" areas. The campaign educates people in these areas on their Fair Housing rights and responsibilities. This includes in-person and webinar training as well as outreach presentations. CRD's fair housing training was in such demand that the outreach campaign was expanded to include all of Texas and will run through 2016.

On August 17, 2015, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") adopted the Final Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule ("AFFH" or "the rule"), detailing what recipients of block grant CPD funds and Public Housing funds must do to affirmatively further fair housing and the tool by which they can identify those steps. The rule requires that Units of Government take "meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics." The rule replaces the Analysis of Impediments ("AI") to Fair Housing Choice with a new Assessment of Fair Housing ("AFH") tool. The AFH Tool uses HUD-generated data, and a significant community participation process, to identify areas of disparity, patterns of integration and segregation, and disproportionate housing needs. With the information generated through the AFH tool and AFFH, Units of Government are responsible for identifying fair housing issues and contributing factors, assigning prioritized contributing factors, setting goals for overcoming prioritized contributing

factors, and maintaining records of progress in achieving goals.

The new process directly links the AFH tool and its identified goals with the Unit of Government's HUD-required program planning document (its Consolidated Plan or for a PHA, its 5-Year PHA Plan). Fair housing goals and priorities from the AFH are expected to be incorporated into the actual programming and proposed use of the HUD funds. Fair Housing staff are reviewing the AFFH rule and beginning to implement changes into the citizen participation plan. The first AFH tool is anticipated to be due to HUD from the State of Texas in May 2019. Staff will meet with legislators and local administrators to discuss the AFH tool and final rule.

Discussion

A current collaboration between federal funding recipients known as the Texas State Fair Housing Workgroup began in May, 2014 and continues to meet. This workgroup is assisting State agencies in adopting a uniform stance on Fair Housing issues and provide streamlined direction to essential Fair Housing information and best practices. To date, the workgroup has looked at sharing language assistance contracts, has generated ideas on streamlining Fair Housing discrimination complaint information and resources, and has served as a vehicle for comparing internal Fair Housing tracking and record keeping measures.

The Fair Housing Team at TDHCA has taken a leadership role in these meetings as directed under the 2013 Analysis of Impediments; the Fair Housing Team has shared both its Fair Housing Tracking Database and its Fair Housing website section, which TDHCA believes will become one of the leading Fair Housing website resources for the state. The Fair Housing Team has shared its demographic database, which is being created with the long-range goal of standardizing demographics collected in each TDHCA program area and analyzing these demographics to identify trends; make policy recommendations; and map service areas. As its initial test, this database will auto-generate an Excel spreadsheet that analyzes TDHCA multifamily property demographics against census data demographics by census tract, county, and MSA to determine which populations are under-represented or over-represented based on the definition of minority concentration from HUD. The spreadsheet debuted with the revised Multifamily and new Single Family Affirmative Marketing Rules. The spreadsheet assists Multifamily Owners in determining which populations are considered least likely to apply and should be included in an Affirmative Marketing Plan. The short-term effect should be an increase in understanding and compliance with the Affirmative Marketing Rule of TDHCA. The long-term effect should be an improved ability to determine which areas are under or over served and an ability to present such information objectively to stakeholders and local governments.

The Fair Housing Team has 36 action steps on which it is moving forward, and is able to produce metrics on its momentum under the AI through its Fair Housing Tracking Database. In addition to logged action steps, the database also includes outreach and daily task logs. The database collects action steps based on the four phases of project management planning (e.g., Plan, Review, Implement, and Evaluate) which lead staff to consider even at the planning stage how the step will be evaluated. This has resulted in a

metrics-focused planning effort that will continue to guide future initiatives.

Finally, the State, through its Fair Housing Team, has created a new Fair Housing website section, including fair housing information for a variety of audiences (renters and homebuyers, owners and administrators, real estate agents, and local governments and elected officials) and will include fair housing toolkits and resources, links to a new Fair Housing email list and community events calendar, and a consumer survey. A portion of the available toolkits will be tailored to elected officials and local governments in an effort to encourage best practices in zoning and land use and addressing community concerns. Through this education and outreach, the State is hoping to make its best practices guidance widely known and to integrate such guidance with other state resource information. As we develop this draft and go out for public comment TDHCA will build on these fair housing activities.

AP-80 Colonias Actions – 91.320(j)

Introduction

Among the border states of California, Arizona, New Mexico, and Texas, Texas has the largest number of colonias (approximately 1,825) and the largest colonia population (approximately 369,000 individuals) (Office of the Texas Secretary of State, 2010). Texas' colonias lie outside of city limits in the rural areas of their respective counties, where few to no local building codes exist to protect the households that seek affordable and sanitary housing solutions. Egregious housing conditions persist while residents also endure substandard infrastructure, inadequate potable water and waste water systems, and a host of public health, environmental and employment risks.

As discussed in Action Plan Section 48, the majority of the funding that assists colonias is through the CDBG Program, which funds both state agencies working to develop infrastructure and water services, as well as subgrantees at the local government level who work in concert with nonprofit service providers for housing, community affairs, and economic development. The OCI focuses on Texas colonias because colonias are economically distressed areas home to low- and very low-income households who contend with inadequate housing and scarce tangible resources. Colonias have proliferated along the U.S.-Mexico border. The HOME Program also has a specific set-aside for the development of housing opportunities in the colonias.

Actions planned to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs

The State dedicates 12.5 percent of CDBG funds annually for colonia areas, and additional funds are also awarded for colonia projects through other competitive fund categories. Basic human needs, including water and sewer infrastructure and housing rehabilitation, are prioritized for colonia set-aside funding, with a particular emphasis on connecting colonia households to safe and sanitary public utilities. Colonia planning funds are available to research and document characteristics and needs for colonia communities.

The Colonia SHCs experience the obstacle of wavering capacity to meet the needs of extremely under resourced colonia residents. The typical challenges that nonprofits face, such as high-turnover, lack of succession planning, lack of long-term funding opportunities, limited access to high quality training, and limited access to continuing education resources, are all exacerbated for subgrantees serving border colonias. In response, TDHCA has strategically placed Border Field Offices along the Texas-Mexico border that support SHC staff with problem solving and training. The Border Field Offices exist to provide local technical assistance directly to both colonia residents and the organizations that serve colonia residents.

Colonia residents may also receive benefit through the HOME Program, which provides rental assistance, rehabilitation or reconstruction of owner-occupied units with or without refinancing, down payment and closing cost assistance with optional rehabilitation for the acquisition of affordable single

family housing, single family and multifamily development, and rental housing preservation of existing affordable or subsidized developments.

Actions the state plans to take to reduce the number of poverty-level families

Please refer to Strategic Plan Section 75 for how the TDHCA's Colonia SHCs provides one-stop-shop opportunities in targeted colonias along the Texas-Mexico border.

Actions the state plans to take to develop the institutional structure

Please refer to Strategic Plan Section 75 for the state's interagency strategy to monitor colonia improvements and facilitate information exchange among the agencies that address colonia issues.

Specific actions the state plans to take to enhance coordination between public and private house and social service agencies

In addition to the cooperation among various state agencies that help to support and develop colonias, TDHCA has established three strategically-placed Border Field Offices along the Texas-Mexico border, where the vast majority of colonias are situated. The Border Field Officers readily support administrators, disseminate funding information, and problem solve with administrators and colonia residents. This often requires facilitating communication with other service agencies, the private sector (such as colonia land owners, title companies, lenders), and other government agencies. Locally placed Border Field Officers increase the efficiency with which TDHCA can anticipate solutions and eventually builds institutional knowledge in the community.

In addition, TDA field representatives are available to provide general information on potential resources to communities and residents.

Discussion

TDHCA and TDA's participation in the Texas Secretary of State's interagency workgroup on colonia issues helps keep both departments abreast of other state agencies' actions in infrastructure, public health and other activities. In the event that one agency's process could be counterproductive to the efforts of either department, it is in this forum that mitigation and problem solving can take place.

AP-85 Other Actions – 91.320(j)

Introduction

The actions listed below are Other Actions taken by TDHCA, TDA, and DSHS to meet the requirements of §91.320(j). Other Actions include Meeting Underserved Needs, Fostering and Maintaining Affordable Housing, Lead-Based Paint Hazard Mitigation, Reducing Poverty-Level Households, Developing Institutional Structure, and Coordination of Housing and Services. The HOME, ESG, HOPWA, and CDBG programs address the other actions in concert with other federal, state, and local sources.

Actions planned to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs

HOME Addresses Underserved Needs

Obstacles to meeting underserved needs with HOME funds, particularly multifamily activities, include NIMBYism, a lack of understanding of federal requirements surrounding the use of HOME funds, and staff observation that program administrators may have more strict tenant or household selection criteria than other locally-run programs. TDHCA works to overcome these obstacles by educating developers and the communities where affordable housing is being proposed, as well as by offering HOME funds as grants or low-interest loans, with rates as low as 0%.

ESG Addresses Underserved Needs

Lack of facilities and services for persons experiencing homelessness in rural areas is ESG's greatest underserved need. To help meet this need, TDHCA has used Community Services Block Grant discretionary funds to provide training and technical support to organizations in the Balance of State CoC. Shelters in the Balance of State CoC have limited funds for operations and maintenance, with little access to federal funds which often require substantial organizational capacity less common in smaller organizations. ESG and TDHCA's HHSP, which is state-funded only in some urban areas, may supplement federal funds in operational support.

HOPWA Addresses Underserved Needs

Some significant obstacles to addressing underserved needs are PLWH inability to obtain or maintain medical insurance, maintain income, and especially obtain employment, are partially due to a difficult economy in conjunction with rising costs of living (rent, deposits, utilities, food, transportation, etc.), high unemployment, no access to health insurance and/or decreased access to other affordable housing such as the HCV program. The inability to access HCVs is due to long or closed waiting lists, and in some cases, client non-compliance and ineligibility due to undocumented immigrant status.

DSHS' HOPWA program helps meet the needs of this underserved population throughout the State by providing essential housing and utilities assistance as part of a comprehensive medical and supportive

services system. As a result, PLWH and their families are able to maintain safe and affordable housing, reduce their risk of homelessness, and access medical care and supportive services. DSHS will reallocate funding to address changing needs to maximize and target HOPWA funding to HSDAs that are in greatest need.

CDBG Addresses Underserved Needs

TDA encourages projects addressing underserved community development needs. In PY 2014 CDBG funds will be available through five different grant categories to provide water or sewer services on private property for low- and moderate-income households by installing yard lines and paying impact and connection fees. Regional competition for funding allows each area of the state to determine its highest priority needs, which may vary from first-time water service to drought relief to drainage projects.

Since the first legislative reforms in the 1990s, service providers in colonias have made gains in their capacity to address colonia issues, but unmet needs still exist and the Texas-Mexico border population growth is still increasing. OCI's main obstacle in addressing colonia housing needs is the varying capacities of subrecipients to administer assistance. TDHCA has established Border Field Offices along the Texas-Mexico border to readily provide technical assistance and on-going training to organizations and local governments that use TDHCA's CDBG funding.

Actions planned to foster and maintain affordable housing

HOME Addresses Affordable Housing

The HOME Program provides grant funds, deferred forgivable loans, and repayable loans to households or developments assisted by or through entities including units of local government, public organizations, nonprofit and for-profit organizations, CHDOs and PHAs. These funds are primarily used to foster and maintain affordable housing by providing rental assistance, rehabilitation or reconstruction of owner-occupied housing units with or without refinancing, down payment and closing cost assistance with optional rehabilitation for the acquisition of affordable single family housing, single family development and funding for rental housing preservation of existing affordable or subsidized developments. HOME funds may also be used in conjunction with the HTC Program or Bond Program to construct or rehabilitate affordable rental housing.

In addition, credits awarded through the HTC program can be layered with awarded funds from the HOME Multifamily Development program. When more than one source of funds is used in an affordable housing project, the State is able to provide more units of affordable housing than with one funding source alone.

ESG Addresses Affordable Housing

DRAFT Annual Action Plan 2016 While TDHCA encourages the use of ESG funds to provide affordable transitional housing, the majority of funds are utilized to provide emergency shelter. Fostering affordable housing is not an initiative for which TDHCA provides funding or that TDHCA monitors in relation to the ESG Program.

HOPWA Addresses Affordable Housing

The cost of living continues to rise (increases in rent, utilities, application fees, and security deposits) while clients' income does not change, may decrease, or clients have no income. HOPWA makes housing more affordable for low-income clients so they can maintain housing, adhere to medical treatment, and work towards a healthier outcome. Project Sponsors will address long-term goals with the clients to help them establish a financial plan that can assist them in maintaining their housing. Affordable housing needs are high among PLWH. DSHS will continue to update funding allocations to address the changing needs of local communities and to maximize and target HOPWA funding to HSDAs in greatest need. DSHS will consider a variety of factors including but not exclusive to HIV/AIDS morbidity, poverty level, housing costs and needs, and program waitlists and expenditures. Furthermore, funds are reallocated between HOPWA activities within HSDAs to meet changing needs during the project year.

CDBG Addresses Affordable Housing

Currently, CDBG funds primarily support affordable housing through water and sewer infrastructure for housing. The CDBG funding provides a cost savings for housing when used to install water and sewer yard lines and pay impact and connection fees for qualifying residents.

Housing rehabilitation projects are prioritized in several fund categories, and TDA encourages each region to set aside a percentage of the regional allocation for housing rehabilitation projects.

CDBG helps communities study affordable housing conditions, providing data on affordable housing stock and planning tools for expanding affordable housing. The Colonia SHCs continue to address affordable housing needs in border counties by assisting qualifying colonia residents to improve or maintain a safe, suitable home in suitable areas.

The OCI serves as a liaison to the Colonia SHCs to assist with securing funding and carrying out activities, such as low-interest mortgages, grants for self-help programs, revolving loan funds for septic tanks, and tool lending.

Actions planned to reduce lead-based paint hazards

HOME Addresses Lead-based Paint

The HOME Program requires lead screening in housing built before 1978 for all HOME eligible activities in accordance with 24 CFR §92.355 and 24 CFR Part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, M, and R. Furthermore, single-family and multifamily development activities in HOME increase the access to lead-based-paint-

DRAFT Annual Action Plan

free housing through the construction of new housing or reconstruction of an existing housing unit. There is significant training, technical assistance, and oversight of this requirement on each activity funded under the HOME Program.

ESG Addresses Lead-based Paint

For ESG, TDHCA requires Subrecipients to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards as part of its habitability review. During the annual contract implementation training, TDHCA will provide ESG Subrecipients with information related to lead-based paint regulations and TDHCA's requirements related to such. TDHCA will require ESG-funded Subrecipients to determine if a housing unit was built prior to 1978, for households seeking ESG funded rent or rent deposit assistance whose household has a family member(s) six year of age or younger. If the housing unit is built prior to 1978, the ESG Subrecipient will notify the household of the hazards of lead-based paint.

ESG Subrecipients utilizing ESG funds for renovation, rehabilitation or conversion must comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning and Prevention Act and the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992. Through renovation, rehabilitation or conversion, ESG increases access to shelter without lead-based paint hazards. TDHCA evaluates, tracks, and reduces lead-based hazards for conversion, renovation, leasing or rehabilitation projects.

HOPWA Addresses Lead-Based Paint

HUD requires that Project Sponsors give all HOPWA clients utilizing homes built before 1978 the pamphlet entitled, "Protect Your Family from Lead in Your Home" during the intake process. The client's case record must include documentation that a copy of the pamphlet was given to the client and the case manager must make a certification regarding lead-based paint that includes actions and remedies if a child under age six will reside at the property.

CDBG Addresses Lead-Based Paint

Lead-based paint mitigation is an activity eligible under housing rehabilitation that is funded under the CPF, CFC, and Community Development Funds. Each contract awarded requires the sub-grantee to conform to Section 302 of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. 4831(b)) and procedures established by TDA's CDBG in response to the Act.

Actions planned to reduce the number of poverty-level families

HOME Addresses Poverty-Level Households

Through the HOME TBRA Program, TDHCA assists households with rental subsidy and security and utility deposit assistance for an initial term not to exceed 24 months. As a condition to receiving rental assistance, households must participate in a self-sufficiency program, which can include job training,

DRAFT Annual Action Plan

102

General Education Development ("GED") classes, or drug dependency classes. The HOME Program enables households to receive rental assistance while participating in programs that will enable them to improve employment options and increase their economic independence and self-sufficiency. Additionally, TDHCA allocates funding toward the rehabilitation and construction of affordable housing, incentivizing units to assist very low-income households, and assists very low-income households along the international border of Texas and Mexico by promoting the conversion of contract for deed arrangements to traditional mortgages.

ESG Addresses Poverty-Level Households

The ESG Program funds activities that provide shelter and essential services for homeless persons, as well as intervention services for persons threatened with homelessness. Essential services for homeless persons include medical and psychological counseling, employment counseling, substance abuse treatment, transportation, and other services. While TDHCA supports the use of ESG funds to help ESG clients lift themselves above the poverty line, it is not a specific initiative for which TDHCA earmarks ESG funding or that TDHCA monitors for the ESG Program.

For individuals threatened with homelessness, homelessness prevention funds can be used for short-term subsidies to defray rent and utility arrearages for households receiving late notices, and security deposits.

HOPWA Addresses Poverty-Level Households

The DSHS HOPWA Program serves households in which at least one person is living with HIV based on income eligibility criteria of no more than 80% of AMI with adjustments for family and household size, as determined by HUD income limits. With varying poverty levels and housing needs in each HSDA across the State, funds are allocated and reallocated throughout the program year to maximize and target HOPWA resources to those with the most need. While many HOPWA households assisted may be at poverty-level, this is not a requirement under 24 CFR §574.3.

CDBG Addresses Poverty-Level Households

A substantial majority of TDA's CDBG funds, over 95% in 2013, are awarded to ¿principally benefit low and moderate income persons. In addition, the formula used to distribute CD funds among regions includes a variable for poverty to target funding to the greatest need. CDBG economic development funds create and retain jobs through assistance to businesses. LMI persons access these jobs, which may include training, fringe benefits, opportunities for promotion, and services such as child care.

Actions planned to develop institutional structure

HOME Addresses Institutional Structure

DRAFT Annual Action Plan 2016 The HOME Program encourages partnerships in order to improve the provision of affordable housing. Organizations receiving Homebuyer Assistance funds are required to provide homebuyer education classes to households directly, or coordinate with a local organization that will provide the education. In addition, organizations receiving TBRA funds must provide self-sufficiency services directly, or coordinate with a local organization that will provide the services. Finally, partnerships with CHDOs and nonprofit and private-sector organizations facilitate the development of quality rental housing developments and assist in the rehabilitation or reconstruction of owner-occupied housing.

ESG Addresses Institutional Structure

TDHCA encourages ESG subrecipients to coordinate services with housing and other service agencies. Likewise, the CoCs funded with ESG funds are required to coordinate services and their local funded organizations to provide services as part of the local CoC. While ESG believes its system of funding applications that apply to a statewide NOFA is an effective system, ESG also believes that its move to fund the CoCs directly advances program goals of local coordination and cooperation within CoCs. TDHCA reviews ESG subrecipients' coordination efforts during on-site and desk monitoring. A map of local CoCs can be found online at: http://www.thn.org/continuums/.

HOPWA Addresses Institutional Structure

DSHS contracts with seven AAs, which contract directly with Project Sponsors serving all 26 HSDAs in the State to administer the HOPWA program under DSHS oversight. AAs also administer the delivery of other HIV health and social services, including the Ryan White and State Services HIV funds. This structure ensures the coordination of all agencies serving PLWH, avoids duplication, saves dollars, and provides the comprehensive supportive services for PLWH in each local community.

CDBG Addresses Institutional Structure

Each CDBG applicant must invite local housing organizations to provide input into the project selection process. TDA coordinates with state and federal agencies, regional Councils of Governments, and other partners to further its mission in community and economic development.

TDA also uses conference calls and webinars to provide training and technical assistance throughout the state. On-site project reviews may be conducted based on risk and other factors.

Actions planned to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies

TDHCA has staff members that participate in several State advisory workgroups and committees. The workgroups and committees which TDHCA leads are listed in Action Plan Section 15. The groups in which TDHCA participates include, but are not limited to the Community Resource Coordination Groups, led by the Health and Human Services Commission ("HHSC"); the Council for Advising and Planning for

DRAFT Annual Action Plan

the Prevention and Treatment of Mental and Substance Use Disorders, led by DSHS; Reentry Task Force, led by Texas Department of Criminal Justice; Interagency Workgroup on Border Issues, led by Secretary of State; Texas Foreclosure Prevention Task force, led by Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation; Money Follows the Person Demonstration Project, led by DADS; Promoting Independence Advisory Committee, led by HHSC; and Texas State Independent Living Council, lead by the Texas Department of Assistive and Rehabilitative Services ("DARS").

TDHCA¿s participation in HUD¿s Section 811 PRA Program requires linkages between housing and services through a partnership with TDHCA, and the State Medicaid Agency (i.e., HHSC). Because the program is designed so that an individual can access both affordable housing and services in the community, TDHCA staff and HHSC staff meet regularly to ensure both housing and services are coordinated for the program. TDHCA and HHSC have responsibilities to execute the program. TDHCA will use units for the program in multifamily housing financed by TDHCA and the services will be provided by a network of local service providers coordinated by the HHSC enterprise agencies.

HHSCC, established by Texas Government Code §2306.1091, seeks to improve interagency understanding and increase the number of staff in state housing and health services agencies that are conversant in both housing and services. HHSCC supports agencies in their efforts to secure funding for: expansion of Housing Navigators to all Aging and Disability Resource Centers ("ADRCs") with TDHCA assisting in training; expansion of the Program for All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly ("PACE"); implementation of the Delivery System Redesign Incentive Payment (¿DSRIP¿) behavioral health projects; implementation of the Balancing Incentives Payment ("BIP") initiative; and DSHS' expansion of Oxford Houses for people with Substance Use Disorders. (Other coordination efforts for HHSCC involving people leaving institutions are in Action Plan Section 65.)

Further cooperation was directed by Senate Bill 7 passed during the 83rd Legislative session. Texas Government Code §533.03551 directs the commissioner of HHSC to work in cooperation with TDHCA, TDA, Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation ("TSAHC"), and other federal, state, and local housing entities to develop housing supports for people with disabilities, including individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

Finally, DADS provides Money Follows the Person Demonstration funds to TDHCA for the equivalent of two full-time employees to increase affordable housing options for individuals with disabilities who currently reside in institutions and choose to relocate into the community; and to increase the amount of affordable housing for persons with disabilities, along with other TDHCA programs that will assist in preventing institutionalization. These enhanced coordination efforts further the implementation of many programs included in the Consolidated Plan, including the Section 811 PRA Program, Section 8 Project Access, and HOME Single Family activities.

Discussion

In addition to the program actions mentioned above, TDHCA strives to meet underserved needs by

DRAFT Annual Action Plan

105

closely monitoring affordable housing trends and issues as well as conducting its own research. TDHCA also makes adjustments to address community input gathered through roundtable discussions, webbased discussion forums and public hearings held throughout the State.

To foster and maintain affordable housing, TDHCA, TDA, and DSHS provide funds for nonprofit and forprofit organizations and public organizations to develop and maintain affordable housing. Funding sources include grants, low-interest loans, housing tax credits, and mortgage loans.

For lead-based paint hazard mitigation, DSHS has been charged with oversight of the Texas Environmental Lead Reduction Rules ("TELRR"). TELRR cover areas of lead-based paint activities in target housing (housing constructed prior to 1978) and child-occupied facilities, including the training and certification of persons conducting lead inspections, risk assessments, abatements, and project design. For all projects receiving over \$25,000 in federal assistance, contractors need to follow inspections and abatements standards overseen by DSHS. By following these standards, the State is increasing the access to housing without lead-based paint hazards. The adherence to inspection and abatement standards is related to the extent of lead-based paint in that a majority of the housing in need of rehabilitation is likely housing built before 1978.

Furthermore, TDHCA, DSHS, and TDA's programs are aimed at reducing the number of Texans living in poverty, thereby providing a better quality of life for all Texans. The departments provide long-term solutions to the problems facing people in poverty and focus resources to those with the greatest need.

Regarding institutional structure, TDHCA, DSHS, and TDA are primarily pass-through funding agencies and distribute federal funds to local entities that in turn provide assistance to households. Because of this, the agencies work with many partners, including consumer groups, community based organizations, neighborhood associations, community development corporations, councils of governments, community housing development organizations, community action agencies, real estate developers, social service providers, local lenders, investor-owned electric utilities, local government, nonprofits, faith-based organizations, property managers, state and local elected officials, and other state and federal agencies. Because the agencies do not fund individuals directly, coordination with outside entities is essential to the success of their programs. By structuring its operations this way, the State shares its risk and commits funds in correlation with local needs, local partners are able to concentrate specifically on their area of expertise and gradually expand to offering a further array of programs.

Finally, to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies, State agencies chief function is to distribute program funds to local providers that include units of local government, nonprofit and for-profit organizations, community-based organizations, private sector organizations, real estate developers and local lenders. The private housing and social service funds available for priority needs may include loans or grant programs through private banks, for-profit or nonprofit organizations; this source of funding varies from year to year.

Program Specific Requirements

AP-90 Program Specific Requirements – 91.320(k)(1,2,3)

Introduction

Program specific requirements as referenced in 24 CFR 91.320 (k)(1,2,3) are described below for the CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs.

For the CDBG Program, it is expected that the total amount of program income that will have been received before the start of PY 2015 and that has not yet been reprogrammed will be \$2,500,000. The amount of CDBG urgent need activities is estimated to be \$5,100,000. The 85% of CDBG funds to benefit persons of low to moderate income includes PY 14-16.

Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

Reference 24 CFR 91.320(k)(1)

Projects planned with all CDBG funds expected to be available during the year are identified in the Projects Table. The following identifies program income that is available for use that is included in projects to be carried out.

1. The total amount of program income that will have been received before the start of the		
next program year and that has not yet been reprogrammed	2,500,000	
2. The amount of proceeds from section 108 loan guarantees that will be used during the		
year to address the priority needs and specific objectives identified in the grantee's strategic		
plan.	0	
3. The amount of surplus funds from urban renewal settlements	0	
4. The amount of any grant funds returned to the line of credit for which the planned use		
has not been included in a prior statement or plan	0	
5. The amount of income from float-funded activities	0	
Total Program Income:		

Other CDBG Requirements

1. The amount of urgent need activities

5,100,000

2. The estimated percentage of CDBG funds that will be used for activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income. Overall Benefit - A consecutive period of one, two or three years may be used to determine that a minimum overall benefit of 70% of CDBG funds is used to benefit persons of low and moderate income. Specify the years covered that include this Annual Action Plan.

85.00%

HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME)

Reference 24 CFR 91.320(k)(2)

1. A description of other forms of investment being used beyond those identified in Section 92.205 is as follows:

The State is not proposing to use any form of investment in its HOME Program that is not already listed as eligible for investment in 24 CFR 92.205(b).

2. A description of the guidelines that will be used for resale or recapture of HOME funds when used for homebuyer activities as required in 92.254, is as follows:

If the participating jurisdiction intends to use HOME funds for homebuyers, the guidelines for resale or recapture must be described as required in 24 CFR §92.254(a)(5). Recapture provisions are not applicable for HOME-assisted multifamily rental projects; in the case of default, sale, short sale, and/or foreclosure, the entire HOME investment must be repaid.

TDHCA has elected to utilize the recapture provision under 24 CFR §92.254(a)(5)(ii) as its primary method of recapturing HOME funds under any program the State administers that is subject to this provision. The following methods of recapture would be acceptable to TDHCA and will be identified in the note prior to closing.

A. Recapture the amount of the HOME investment reduced on a pro rata share based on the time the homeowner has owned and occupied the unit measured against the required affordability period. The recapture amount is subject to available shared net proceeds in the event of sale or foreclosure of the housing unit.

B. In the event of sale or foreclosure of the housing unit, if the shared net proceeds (i.e., the sales price minus closing costs; any other necessary transaction costs; and loan repayment, other than HOME funds) are in excess of the amount of the HOME investment that is subject to recapture, then the net proceeds may be divided proportionately between TDHCA and the homeowner as set forth in the following mathematical formulas. If there are no Net Proceeds from the sale, no repayment will be required of the homebuyer and the balance of the loan shall be forgiven:

(HOME investment / (HOME investment + homeowner investment)) X net proceeds = HOME

DRAFT Annual Action Plan

2016

amount to be recaptured

(Homeowner investment / (HOME investment + homeowner investment)) X net proceeds = amount to homeowner

- C. The household can sell the unit to any willing buyer at any price.
- D. In the event that the assisted property is rented or leased, or otherwise ceases to be the principal residence of the initial household, the entire HOME investment is subject to recapture.
- E. In the event of sale to a subsequent low-income purchaser of a HOME-assisted homeownership unit, the low-income purchaser may assume the existing HOME loan and recapture obligation entered into by the original buyer if no additional HOME assistance is provided to the subsequent homebuyer. In cases in which the subsequent homebuyer needs HOME assistance in excess of the balance of the original HOME loan, the HOME subsidy (the direct subsidy as described in §92.254) to the original homebuyer must be recaptured. A separate HOME subsidy must be provided to the new homebuyer, and a new affordability period must be established based on that assistance to the buyer.
- 3. A description of the guidelines for resale or recapture that ensures the affordability of units acquired with HOME funds? See 24 CFR 92.254(a)(4) are as follows:

In certain limited instances, TDHCA may choose to utilize the resale provision at 24 CFR §92.254(a)(5)(i) under any program the State administers that is subject to this provision. The following method of resale would be acceptable to TDHCA and will be identified in the note prior to closing:

- A. Resale is defined as the continuation of the affordability period upon the sale or transfer, rental or lease, refinancing, or if the initial Household is not longer occupying the property as their Principal Residence.
- B. Resale requirements must ensure that, if the housing does not continue to be the principal residence of the family for the duration of the period of affordability, the housing is made available for subsequent purchase at an affordable price to a reasonable range of low- or very low-income homebuyers that will use the property as their principal residence. Affordable to a reasonable range of low-income buyers is defined as targeting Households that have income between 70 and 80 percent of the area median family income and meet all program requirements.
- C. The resale requirement must ensure that the price at resale provides the original HOME-assisted owner a fair return on investment. Fair return on investment is defined as the sum of down payment and closing costs paid from the initial seller's cash at purchase, closing costs paid by the seller at sale, the principal payments only made by the initial homebuyer in excess of the amount

required by the loan, and any documented capital improvements in excess of \$500. Fair return on investment is paid to the seller at sale once first mortgage debt is paid and all other conditions of the initial written agreement are met. In the event there are no funds for fair return, then fair return does not exist. In the event there are partial funds for fair return, then fair return shall remain in force.

D. The initial homebuyer's investment of down payment and closing costs divided by TDHCA's HOME investment equals the percentage of appreciated value that shall be paid to the initial homebuyer. The balance of appreciated value shall be paid to TDHCA. If appreciated value is zero, or less than zero, then no appreciated value exists. The HOME loan balance will be transferred to the subsequent buyer and the affordability period will remain in effect. The period of affordability is based on the total amount of HOME funds invested in the housing.

E. In the event that the assisted property is sold during the affordability period, rented or leased, or otherwise ceases to be the principal residence of the initial household, the entire HOME investment will become immediately due and payable if the property does not continue to meet the affordability requirements for the remainder of the affordability period.

4. Plans for using HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is rehabilitated with HOME funds along with a description of the refinancing guidelines required that will be used under 24 CFR 92.206(b), are as follows:

TDHCA may use HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is being rehabilitated with HOME funds as described in 24 CFR §92.206(b). TDHCA shall use its underwriting and evaluation standards, site and development requirements, and application and submission requirements found in 10 TAC, Chapter 10, for refinanced properties in accordance with its administrative rules. At a minimum, these rules require the following:

- that rehabilitation is the primary eligible activity for developments involving refinancing of existing debt;
- that a minimum funding level is set for rehabilitation on a per unit basis;
- that a review of management practices is required to demonstrate that disinvestments in the property has not occurred;
- that long-term needs of the project can be met;
- that the financial feasibility of the development will be maintained over an extended affordability period;
- that whether new investment is being made to maintain current affordable units and/or creates additional affordable units is stated;
- that the required period of affordability is specified;
- that the HOME funds may be used throughout the entire jurisdiction (except as TDHCA may be limited by the Texas Government Code) is specified; and
- that HOME funds cannot be used to refinance multifamily loans made or insured by any Federal DRAFT Annual Action Plan

program, including CDBG, is stated.

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Reference 24 CFR 91.320(k)(3)

1. Include written standards for providing ESG assistance (may include as attachment)

TDHCA requires that its subrecipients establish and implement written standards for providing ESG assistance. The minimum requirements regarding these standards are set forth in 24 CFR 576.400(e)(2) and (e)(3) and TDHCA reviews the standards to insure they meet these requirements.

- A. Being a unit of general local government (or a combination of such units of local government approved by HUD) or private nonprofit organization.
- B. Documenting that the proposed project, if a shelter, has the approval of the municipality in which the project will operate.
- C. Assuring that ESG Subrecipients that are units of local general government obligate funds within 180 days from the date that TDHCA received the award letter from HUD.
- D. Documentation of fiscal accountability.
- E. Proposing to undertake only eligible activities.
- F. Demonstrating need.
- G. Assuring ability to provide matching funds in the funding categories awarded in their ESG contract. (The State may grant an exception to the match requirement of up to a total of \$100,000 each fiscal year.)
- H. Demonstrating effectiveness in serving the homeless, including the ability to establish, maintain, and/or improve the self-sufficiency of homeless individuals.
- I. Assuring that homeless individuals will be involved in the provision of services funded through ESG, to the maximum extent feasible, through employment, volunteerism, renovating, maintaining or operating facilities, and/or providing direct services to occupants of facilities assisted with ESG funds.
- J. Assuring the operation of an adequate, sanitary, and safe homeless facility and good-faith administration of a policy designed to ensure that the homeless facility is free from the illegal use, possession, or distribution of drugs or alcohol by its beneficiaries.
- K. Assuring that it will develop and implement procedures to ensure the confidentiality of records

of any individual receiving assistance as a result of family violence.

L. Assuring that all activities it undertakes with assistance under ESG are consistent with the State of Texas Consolidated Plan, the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, and all other assurances and certifications.

- M. Assuring the participation in the development and implementation, to the maximum extent practicable and where appropriate, policies and protocols for the discharge of persons from publicly-funded institutions and systems of care to prevent such discharge from immediately resulting in homelessness for such persons. ESG funds are not to be used to assist such persons in place of State and local resources.
- N. Assuring that it will meet HUD's standards for participation in a local Homeless Management Information System and the collection and reporting of client-level information.
- O. Any renovation carried out with ESG assistance shall be sufficient to ensure that the building involved is safe and sanitary, and the renovation will assist homeless individuals in obtaining (1) appropriate supportive services, including permanent housing and other services essential for achieving independent living; and (2) other federal, state, local, and private assistance available for such individuals.
- 2. If the Continuum of Care has established centralized or coordinated assessment system that meets HUD requirements, describe that centralized or coordinated assessment system.
 - TDHCA has contracted with a provider to promote the CoCs readiness for this requirement. To date, more of Texas' 11 CoCs have established centralized or coordinated assessment system in adherence with HUD's requirements and standards as published in the CoC program rule.
- 3. Identify the process for making sub-awards and describe how the ESG allocation available to private nonprofit organizations (including community and faith-based organizations).

For the purposes of distributing funds, the percentage of statewide homeless population is weighted at 75% while the percentage of the statewide population in poverty is weighted at 25%. Texas is moving toward noncompetitively funding the COC network. For its competitive awards, Texas releases a NOFA each spring in anticipation of receiving ESG funding. Applications are accepted for generally a 30-day period. Applications are scored and ranked within their CoC regions.

For competitive awards, eligible applicant organizations are units of general purpose local government (and combinations of units of general purpose local government recognized by HUD), including cities, counties and metropolitan cities and urban counties that receive ESG funds directly from HUD. Governmental organizations such as LMHAs, and PHAs are not eligible and cannot apply directly for ESG funds; however COGs, LMHAs, and PHAs may serve as a partner in a collaborative

application but may not be the lead entity. For competitive awards, eligible applicants may be limited by NOFA.

Eligible Applicant organizations also include private nonprofit organizations that are secular or religious organizations described in section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, are exempt from taxation under subtitle A of the Code, have an acceptable accounting system and a voluntary board, and practice non-discrimination in the provision of assistance. Faith-based organizations receiving ESG funds, like all organizations receiving HUD funds, must serve all eligible beneficiaries without regard to religion.

4. If the jurisdiction is unable to meet the homeless participation requirement in 24 CFR 576.405(a), the jurisdiction must specify its plan for reaching out to and consulting with homeless or formerly homeless individuals in considering policies and funding decisions regarding facilities and services funded under ESG.

With the change in the rules so that homeless participation is not required on the boards of Subrecipient agencies, TDHCA will consult with CoC leaders and Subrecipient agencies to design a way to receive input from homeless or formerly homeless persons in considering policies and funding decisions regarding facilities and services funded under ESG.

5. Describe performance standards for evaluating ESG.

Organizations providing street outreach will be required to meet contractual performance targets for the number of persons to be assisted, the number of persons to be provided with case management, and the number of persons who will be placed in temporary, transitional or permanent housing.

Organizations providing emergency shelter and transitional shelter will be required to meet contractual performance targets for the number of persons to be assisted, the number of persons to be provided with case management, and the number of persons who will exit to temporary, transitional housing destinations or permanent housing destinations.

Organizations providing homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing assistance will be required to meet contractual performance targets for the number of persons to be assisted, the number of persons to be provided with housing stability case management services, the number of persons who will increase their non-cash benefits, the number of persons who will have an increase in income at program exit, and, for rapid re-housing, the number of persons who will exit to permanent housing destinations.

Discussion

For HOME, the State is not proposing to use any form of investment in its HOME Program that is not already listed as an eligible for investment in 24 CFR 92.205(b). As described above, TDHCA may use HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is being rehabilitated with HOME funds as described in 24 CFR §92.206(b). TDHCA shall use its underwriting and evaluation standards, site and development requirements, and application and submission requirements found in 10 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 10, for refinanced properties in accordance with its administrative rules.

For ESG, performance standards for evaluation are separated by the following activities: street outreach; emergency shelter and transitional shelter; and homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing assistance. These standards are included in each ESG Subrecipients annual contractual agreement with TDHCA.