DRAFT 2017 State of Texas Consolidated Plan One-Year Action Plan



As presented to the TDHCA Board on November 10, 2016

Prepared by:

Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs

Housing Resource Center PO Box 13941 Austin, TX 78711-3941 Phone: (512) 475-3976

Fax: (512) 475-3746 www.tdhca.state.tx.us

Texas Department of Agriculture

Office of Rural Affairs PO Box 12847 Austin, TX 78711-2847 Phone: (512) 936-6701

Fax: (512) 936-6776 www.texasagriculture.gov

Department of State Health Services

HIV/STD Program 1100 W. 49th St. Austin, TX 78756 Phone: (512) 533-3000

Fax: (512) 371-4672 www.dshs.state.tx.us

Executive Summary

AP-05 Executive Summary - 24 CFR 91.200(c), 91.220(b)

1. Introduction

The 2017 One-Year Action Plan ("OYAP") applies to those actions of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs ("TDHCA"), the Texas Department of Agriculture ("TDA"), and the Texas Department of State Health Services ("DSHS"), relating to the activities of those three state agencies involving the administration of ongoing HUD programs. Those agencies are collectively referred to herein as the "State." The OYAP reports on the intended use of funds received by the State of Texas from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") for Program Year ("PY") 2017. This OYAP is for the HOME Investment Partnerships ("HOME") Program, the Emergency Solutions Grant ("ESG") Program, the Community Development Block Grant ("CDBG") Program, the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS ("HOPWA") Program, and the National Housing Trust Fund ("NHTF"). The 2017 PY for HUD program activity begins on February 1, 2017, and ends on January 31, 2018. The performance report on PY 2015 funds was submitted to HUD in April 2016.

2. Summarize the objectives and outcomes identified in the Plan

This could be a restatement of items or a table listed elsewhere in the plan or a reference to another location. It may also contain any essential items from the housing and homeless needs assessment, the housing market analysis or the strategic plan.

The 2017 OYAP:

- 1. Reports on the intended use of funds received by the State from HUD for PY 2017;
- 2. Explains the State's method for distributing CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA, and NHTF program funds; and
- 3. Provides opportunity for public input on the development of the annual plan.

The State's progress in achieving the goals put forth in the OYAP will be measured according to HUD guidelines (24 CFR §91.520) and outlined in the Annual Performance Report released yearly in May. In accordance with the guidelines from HUD, the State complies with the Community Planning and Development ("CPD") Outcome Performance Measurement System. Program activities are categorized into the objectives and outcomes listed in the CPD Outcome Performance Measurement System table below.

The objectives and outcomes as they apply to each of the four programs are listed below. The estimated performance figures are based on planned performance during the PY (February 1st through January 31st) of contracts committed and projected households to be served based on estimated availability of funds. In contrast, the performance measures reported to the Texas Legislative Budget Board for the

State Fiscal Year ("SFY" - September 1st through August 31st) are based on anticipated units and households at time of award.

OBJECTIVES	OUTCOME 1 Accessibility	OUTCOME 2 Affordability	OUTCOME 3 Sustainability
OBJECTIVE #1	Enhance Suitable Living	Enhance Suitable Living	Enhance Suitable Living
Suitable Living	Environment Through	Environment Through	Environment Through
Environment	Improved/New	Improved/New	Improved/New
Environment	Accessibility (SL-1)	Affordability (SL-2)	Sustainability (SL-3)
OBJECTIVE #2	Create Decent Housing	Create Decent Housing	Create Decent Housing
Decent Housing	with Improved/New	with Improved/New	with Improved/New
Decementousing	Availability (DH-1)	Affordability (DH-2)	Sustainability (DH-3)
OBJECTIVE #3	Provide Economic	Provide Economic	Provide Economic
Economic	Opportunity Through	Opportunity Through	Opportunity Through
Opportunity	Improved/New	Improved/New	Improved/New
Оррогини	Accessibility (EO-1)	Affordability (EO-2)	Sustainability (EO-3)

Table 1a - CPD Outcome Performance Measurement System

Outcomes and Objectives	HOME Performance Indicators	Expected Number	
DH-2	No. of rental units assisted through new construction and	172	
	rehabilitation	1,2	
DH-2	No. of tenant-based rental assistance 363		
DH-2	No. of existing homeowners assisted through owner-	58	
D11-2	occupied assistance	38	
DH-2	No. of homeowners assisted through homebuyer	54	
D11-2	assistance) 4	

Table 2b - HOME Program Performance Measures, PY 2017

Outcomes and Objectives	ESG Performance Indicators	Expected Number
SL-1	Provide funding to support the provision of emergency and/or transitional shelter to homeless persons.	9,062
DH-2	Provide non-residential services including homelessness prevention assistance. 3,936	

Table 3c - ESG Performance Measures, PY 2017

Objectives and Outcomes	CDBG Performance Indicators	Expected Number
SL-1	Infrastructure Improvements	220
SL-2	Infrastructure Improvements	10
SL-3	Infrastructure Improvements	65
SL-1	Residential Rehabilitation	50
DH-3	Residential Rehabilitation	2
DH-2	Homeownership Assistance	0
SL-1	Community Facilities	8
SL-1	Public Service	0
SL-1	Clearance Demolition Activities	5
EO-1	Direct Financial Assistance	32
EO-2	Direct Financial Assistance 5	
EO-3	Infrastructure Improvements to Assist Businesses 30	

Table 4d - CDBG Performance Measures, PY 2017

Outcomes and Objectives	HOPWA Performance Indicators	Expected Number	
DH-2	TBRA housing assistance	467	
DH-2	Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility 406		
DH-2	Supportive Services (restricted to housing case mgt., smoke	881	
DH-Z	detectors, and phone service)	001	
DH-1	Permanent Housing Placement (security deposits, application	22	
DII-T	fees, and credit checks)	22	

Table 5e - HOPWA Performance Measures, PY 2017

Outcomes and Objectives	NHTF Performance Indicators	Expected Number
DH-2	No. of rental units assisted through new construction and rehabilitation	0
DH-2	No. of tenant-based rental assistance	0

Table 6e - NHTF Performance Measures, PY 2017

3. Evaluation of past performance

This is an evaluation of past performance that helped lead the grantee to choose its goals or projects.

The information below is for HOME, ESG, CDBG, and HOPWA for PY 2015 (February 1, 2015 to January 31, 2016). Because NHTF was a new program for PY2016, past performance data is not available.

HOME Evaluation of Past Performance

TDHCA's HOME program committed \$43,353,893 in program funds through seven different types of HOME Program activities in PY 2015, representing assistance to 1,240 households. Details on the amount committed in each activity type are included in the chart below.

ESG Evaluation of Past Performance

ESG is expended by Federal Fiscal Year (10/1-9/30). TDHCA evaluated ESG funds committed versus funds expended by activity for PY 2015, a time period that consists of half of Federal Fiscal Year 2014 (2/1/2014-9/30/2015) and Federal Fiscal Year 2015 (10/1/2015-1/31/2016). Based on TDHCA's ESG analysis, the amounts estimated per activity needed adjustment. The 2015 projections were based on funding planned to be spent on each activity, but projections starting in 2016 are based on funding that was spent on each activity. Rapid re-housing has historically cost almost double the amount per person than homelessness prevention, and almost ten times the amount per person than emergency shelter or street outreach.

CDBG Evaluation of Past Performance

During PY 2015, the Texas CDBG Program committed a total of \$74,528,716 through 259 awarded contracts. For contracts that were awarded in PY 2015, 511,629 persons were anticipated to receive service. The Colonia Self Help centers, overseen by TDHCA's Office of Colonia Initiatives, awarded two contracts in 2015, totaling \$1,700,000 and benefitting 6,669 persons. Distribution of the funds by activity is described in the table below.

HOPWA Evaluation of Past Performance

In PY 2015, the DSHS HOPWA program served 457 households with TBRA (103% of the OYAP goal), 386 households with STRMU assistance (82% of the OYAP goal), and 18 households with Permanent Housing Placement ("PHP") assistance (128% of the OYAP goal) for a total of 817 unduplicated households. Of the total households served, 792 also received HOPWA-funded Supportive Services (87% of the OYAP goal). All HOPWA clients receive housing supportive services at some level, but some costs were leveraged with other funding sources. Client outcome goals for housing stability, reducing homelessness risk, and improving access to care were also achieved. (Subtotaled and/or totaled dollar amounts may not be exact due to all expenses are reported to two decimal points but are rounded to nearest whole dollar for the HOPWA chart.)

HOME Activity	Total Committed
Homebuyer Assistance	\$1,598,283.94
Homeowner Rehabilitation	\$17,715,798.05
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	\$3,147,580
CHDO Rental Development	\$0
CHDO Single Family Development	\$875,816
CHDO Operating Expenses	\$50,000
Rental Housing Development	\$7,050,000

Table 7 - HOME Commitments by Activity, PY 2015

ESG Activity	Total Funds Expended
Street Outreach	\$577,856
Emergency Shelter	\$3,148,346
Homelessness Prevention	\$1,932,660
Rapid Re-Housing	\$2,719,777
Homeless Management Information Systems	\$458,905
Administration	\$274,682
Total	\$9,112,226

Table 8 - ESG Fund Expenditures by Activity, PY 2015

CDBG Fund	Total Obligation
Community Development Fund	\$36,923,015
Texas Capital Fund	\$10,914,830
Colonia Planning and Construction Fund	\$3,948,986
Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program Fund	\$2,034,326
Colonia Self-Help Centers ("SHC")*	\$1,700,000
Planning / Capacity Building	\$689,120
Disaster Relief/ Urgent Need	\$2,446,820
STEP Fund	\$1,866,793
Administration (including TA) 3%	\$1,794,993
Admin - \$100k (in addition to the 3%)	\$100,000
Total	\$59,833,115
*The Colonia Self Help Centers allocated \$1,700,000 in PY2015	

Table 9 - CDBG Funds Committed, PY 2015

HOPWA Activity	Amount	
Expenditures for Housing Information Services	\$0	
Expenditures for Resource Identification	\$0	
penditures for Housing Assistance (equals the sum of all \$2,057,608		
sites and scattered-site Housing Assistance)	\$2,037,608	
Expenditures for Supportive Services	\$411,067	
Grantee Administrative Costs expended	\$87,679	
Project Sponsor(s) Administrative Costs expended	\$144,996	

Table 10 - HOPWA Program Expenditures, PY 2015

4. Summary of Citizen Participation Process and consultation process

Summary from citizen participation section of plan.

The State is committed to outreach to and dialogue with the public in order develop programmatic activities that are responsive to the various affordable housing needs of Texans. The State also solicits and receives input from governmental bodies, nonprofits, and community and faith-based groups. Following the release of the Draft 2017 One Year Action Plan, a 30-day public comment period will be open from November 14, 2016, through December 15, 2016. During this time, a public hearing will be held in Austin. Public comment will be solicited in person at the public hearing, in writing by email, fax, or mail. More information on the citizen participation, consultation, and public comment are included in the Consultation and Participation sections of the Plan.

The 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan, as adopted, substantial amendments, the OYAP, and the Consolidated Plan Annual Performance and Evaluation Report ("CAPER") will be available to the public online at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us and will have materials accessible to persons with disabilities, upon request.

The State recognizes that public participation and consultation are ongoing processes. During the development of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan, comprehensive outreach was conducted to gather input. This outreach continues through the development of each Annual Action Plan within the 5-year consolidated planning process. Following the release of HUD's Final Rule to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing, the State is undertaking to update the Citizen Participation Plan and Language Access Plan, as the State works towards the development of the Assessment of Fair Housing, anticipated to be due to HUD in approximately May 2019.

5. Summary of public comments

This could be a brief narrative summary or reference an attached document from the Citizen Participation section of the Con Plan.

Public comments received after the release of the Draft 2017 OYAP will be summarized here.

6. Summary of comments or views not accepted and the reasons for not accepting them The comments or views not accepted after the conclusion of the public comment period will be included in Attachment A: Public Comment on the 2017 One Year Action Plan and Staff's Reasoned Responses.

7. Summary

The consolidated planning process occurs once every five years, so creating a comprehensive 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan was vital for CDBG, HOME, ESG, HOPWA, and NHTF. Because of the Consolidated Plan's authority to govern these programs, research from multiple sources, including other government plans, peer-reviewed journals, news sources, and fact sheets were used; valuable public input was gathered through roundtable meetings, council/workgroup meetings, public hearings, online surveys, and an online forum; and an expansive public input process was included in the development of the Consolidated Plan. The 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan is now carried out through Annual Action Plans, which provide a concise summary of the actions, activities, and the specific federal and non-federal resources that the State plans to use each year to address the priority needs and specific goals identified by the Consolidated Plan.

PR-05 Lead & Responsible Agencies - 91.300(b)

Agency/entity responsible for preparing/administering the Consolidated Plan

The following are the agencies/entities responsible for preparing the Consolidated Plan and those responsible for administration of each grant program and funding source.

Agency Role	Department/Agency
CDBG Administrator	Texas Department of Agriculture
HOPWA Administrator	Texas Department of State Health Services
HOME Administrator	Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs
ESG Administrator	Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs

Table 11 - Responsible Agencies

Narrative

TDHCA administers the ESG Program, National Housing Trust Fund, and the HOME Program; the TDA administers the CDBG Program; and DSHS administers the HOPWA Program. All of these programs, known collectively as Community Planning and Development ("CPD") Programs, are covered in the 2017 OYAP. TDHCA coordinates among itself, TDA, and DSHS the development of the OYAP.

Key Organizational Events

In 1991, the 72nd Texas Legislature created TDHCA. TDHCA's enabling legislation combined programs from the Texas Housing Agency, the Texas Department of Community Affairs, and the Community Development Block Grant Program from the Texas Department of Commerce. Effective September 1, 2002, in accordance with Senate Bill 322, the Manufactured Housing Division became an independent entity administratively attached to TDHCA.

The CDBG Program was transferred from TDHCA to the newly-created Office of Rural Community Affairs, later called the Texas Department of Rural Affairs, and was then subsequently moved to TDA. As of October 1, 2011, the program is administered by TDA. Through an interagency agreement with TDA, TDHCA administers 2.5% of the CDBG funds which are designated for the Self Help Centers ("SHCs") along the Texas-Mexico border. DSHS administers HOPWA.

With the exception of the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, TDHCA, TDA, and DSHS administer their programs and services through a network of organizations across Texas and do not typically fund individuals directly. Depending on the program, organizations include units of local government, councils of governments, nonprofit organizations, for-profit organizations, Administrative Agencies ("AA"), Public Housing Authorities ("PHAs"), and Community Housing Development Organizations ("CHDOs").

On March 12, 2016, TDHCA was designated by Governor Abbott as the state agency responsible for the administration of funds provided through the National Housing Trust Fund ("NHTF").

Consolidated Plan Public Contact Information

ESG and HOME Contact Information: Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs PO Box 13941, Austin, TX 78711-3941. (800) 525-0657 http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/

CDBG Contact Information:

Texas Department of Agriculture, Office of Rural Affairs PO Box 12847, Austin, TX 78711-2847. (800) 835-5832 http://texasagriculture.gov/Home/ContactUs.aspx

HOPWA Contact Information:

DSHS HIV/STD Prevention and Care Branch, HIV Care Services Group, HOPWA Program, PO Box 149347, Mail Code 1873, Austin, TX 78714-9347. (512) 533-3000. http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/hivstd/hopwa/default.shtm

AP-10 Consultation - 91.110, 91.300(b); 91.315(l)

1. Introduction

In an effort to gather information from diverse audiences, TDHCA uses different forms of technology to communicate efficiently, including online surveys, forums, social media, and email distribution. Online surveys foster an increased response rate of participants as well as facilitating data analysis, as illustrated in the ESG electronic survey, described below. Also, online forums are used in the development of program rules and distribution methods. Online forums are advertised at workgroups and committees as well as on social media. The availability of all these methods is communicated primarily via the TDHCA website, opt-in email distribution lists, and social media.

An online presence allows TDHCA to reach out to encourage participation and consultation. The Policy and Public Affairs Division of TDHCA has implemented a social media presence, specifically through Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, and Flickr. Numerous tweets and posts were sent out during the public input process on the development of the Plan. Furthermore, TDHCA sends out notices via voluntary email lists, where subscribed individuals and entities can receive email updates on TDHCA information, announcements, and trainings. Use of technology allows fast communication to a large audience.

Provide a concise summary of the state's activities to enhance coordination between public and assisted housing providers and private and governmental health, mental health and service agencies (91.215(I)).

The Texas Legislature has created the Housing and Health Services Coordinating Council which meets not less than quarterly and carries out a variety of coordinating, educational, analytical, and training efforts. This council is chaired by TDHCA's executive director and has representation from a wide array of agencies that provide health related services, as well as developers and advocates in different relevant sectors. It is supported administratively by TDHCA staff.

The State works to enhance coordination between public and assisted housing providers, and private and governmental health, mental health, and service agencies. For example, TDHCA staff routinely attends inter- and intra-agency meetings to educate and coordinate housing and services, as described in the following sections of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan: Strategic Plan Section 35, Anticipated Resources, and Action Plan Section 65, Homeless and Other Special Needs. The State is also a subrecipient of Money Follows the Persons funds via the Department of Aging and Disability Services and of Section 811 funds — in both programs intensive coordination and collaboration is occurring relating to the interplay between health services and housing.

DSHS contracts with seven AAs across the State to provide administrative support in implementing the State's HOPWA formula program. AAs work with HIV Planning Councils in major metropolitan areas and with other organizations and stakeholders outside the major metropolitan areas to develop comprehensive HIV Services plans and needs assessments, which are developed through consultation with clients and other stakeholders through interviews, surveys, focus groups, and/or public hearings.

AAs must communicate with stakeholders through disseminating written copies of services plans, posting the plans on the internet, town hall meetings, and advisory groups. Project Sponsors work closely with the local public housing authority offices to identify and establish relationships with other organizations that may have available resources. This ongoing collaboration provides access to organizations and programs, such as the housing choice vouchers; Continuum of Care ("CoC"); community health clinics; churches and private foundations; and Ryan White and HIV Planning Councils. TDHCA launched its new fair housing email list in July 2014. This email list is for persons and organizations who wish to be updated on fair housing-related TDHCA news, event information, and announcements. Because of the time needed to sign up to the email list, other email lists were used to advertise consultations. However, fair housing organizations received notice of the consultations, as evidenced by their participation in the Online Forum and Single Family Roundtables.

TDA consults with local governments both in person and through web-based meetings. As a part of the traditional CDBG planning process, public hearings were held in each of the 24 Council of Government planning regions. Each Regional Review Committee, composed of local elected officials, discussed local funding priorities for the Community Development Fund and adopted scoring criteria to implement those priorities. Additionally, the Texas Rural Health and Economic Development Advisory Council (TRHED) met on September 16, 2015, and May 25, 2016, to discuss rural policy issues, as well as receive updates and proposed program changes for the state CDBG program.

Provide a concise summary of the state's activities to enhance coordination with local jurisdictions serving Colonias and organizations working within Colonias communities.

There are two main methods in which TDHCA coordinates its work with other colonia-serving entities. One relates to the Colonia SHC Program which funds specific Texas-border county governments with four-year contracts. Awards and funding associated with this program are reviewed and recommended by a Colonia Resident Advisory Group ("C-RAC"). The other coordination effort relates to a cross-agency effort organized by the Texas Secretary of State that generates structured communications and data collection in conjunction with other state agencies serving colonias with their respective programs.

On a very frequent basis—weekly or more often—TDHCA provides guidance and oversight to the county governments with which TDHCA has executed SHC contracts. Somewhat less often, TDHCA provides guidance and technical assistance to the housing subgrantees with whom respective counties have contracted to achieve specific deliverables per their individualized SHC subcontracts. Every one to two years, TDHCA organizes and implements a workshop for all eligible counties and their subgrantees to review rules and best practices and to exchange other program updates. Periodically, TDHCA convenes a meeting with the C-RAC, which is a group of colonia residents who live in the specific colonias served by the centers. This grass-roots-style committee approves contracts, evaluates county recommendations and provides TDHCA and the counties with guidance on programming and activities in the colonias. Lastly, approximately every two years, TDHCA updates its SHC Program rules, and initiates this process by first soliciting comment from the public at large for critiques of the current rules and suggestions for changes.

As a part of the processes discussed above, TDA met with elected officials from counties serving colonia areas. The local leaders discussed funding priorities for the Community Development Fund, including projects that could serve colonia areas.

On a quarterly basis, TDHCA and TDA convene with several other state agencies that directly serve colonia residents in the areas of utilities infrastructure, transportation infrastructure, water/water water, health services, housing, and consumer issues. This group is called the Colonia Interagency Infrastructure Coordination Work Group and is organized by the Texas Office of the Secretary of State's Colonia Initiatives Program. This group has been meeting regularly since approximately 2007 when Texas passed legislation requiring the systematic identification and classification of Texas colonias, and the tracking of colonia-serving state-funded projects. The overarching goal of the workgroup is to stop the proliferation of colonias and improve the health, safety, and quality of life for colonia residents in the Texas-Mexico border region. By classifying colonias based on their level of infrastructure and access to public health services, various state agencies, and the Texas Legislature are able to prioritize funding and target colonias with critical needs (Texas Office of the Secretary of State, 2010). Besides TDHCA and TDA, other agency members of this work group include the Texas Water Development Board ("TWDB"), the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the Texas Department of Transportation, HHSC, and the DSHS.

Further, to promote greater supply of rental housing for colonia residents, TDHCA has scoring criteria in its Qualified Allocation Plan for properties proposed in colonias.

Describe coordination with the Continuum of Care and efforts to address the needs of homeless persons (particularly chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans, and unaccompanied youth) and persons at risk of homelessness.

ESG funds are released by Notice of Funding Availability ("NOFA") for an amount of available funding within each Continuum of Care ("CoC") region. Applicants within each CoC region either submit an application for ESG funding directly to TDHCA or to their CoC Lead Agency; if submitted to their CoC Lead, it is the CoC Lead that recommends ESG awards to TDHCA for their region. The CoC and ESG Subrecipients are required to interact on a number of levels. For example, the ESG Subrecipient is required to access the CoC's coordinated access which, per 24 CFR §578 is "designed to coordinate program participant intake assessment and provision of referrals." In this way, CoCs and ESG Subrecipients work together to address the needs of persons experiencing or at-risk of homelessness.

In 2016, TDHCA plans to hold a roundtable in the Wichita Falls/Wise, Palo Pinto, Wichita, and Archer Counties' CoC with CoC member agencies and anti-poverty service providers to determine how ESG funding could be used. For 2015 and 2016 ESG application cycles, TDHCA received no applications from this CoC.

Specifically for youth, the CoCs member agencies worked for several months in 2015 and 2016 to count and assess the needs of youth experiencing or at-risk of homelessness. Per the 84(R) Texas Legislative

Session House Bill 679, TDHCA was required to conduct a count of youth experiencing homelessness. TDHCA contracted with the Texas Network of Youth Services ("TNOYS") to engage communities to conduct the counts in conjunction with the HUD-required point in time counts or as a stand-alone youth count. As a result of the outreach, the CoC lead agencies or member agencies in 13 Texas communities focused on determining the number of youth experiencing homelessness or housing instability in their communities. The counts resulted in the submission of 1,007 surveys and were part of the statewide initiative called *Youth Count Texas!*, conducted from October 2015 to March 2016.

The next phase of *Youth Count Texas!* includes the analysis of the data collected by *Youth Count Texas!*, along with Texas Education Agency ("TEA"), and Department of Family Protective Services ("DFPS"). TDHCA has contracted with the University of Houston to analyze the data and develop a strategic plan to address youth homelessness, per House Bill 679. As part of the outreach to develop the strategic plan, the University of Houston participated in sessions at the TNOYS conference in August 2016, and at the Texas Conference on Ending Homelessness ("TCEH") in September 2016. The TCEH has the participation of many CoC member agencies.

Specifically for Veterans TDHCA is conducting a study on Homelessness among Veterans, which is required per 84(R) Texas Legislative Session Senate Bill 1580. The study includes input received from roundtables at the TCEH in 2015 and at the Texas Veterans Commission Summit in 2016, during which over 100 participants gave recommendations on how to address Veteran homelessness.

In addition, the Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless ("TICH") meets at least once a year with CoCs. The TICH was created in 1989 by the Texas Legislature to coordinate the State's homeless resources and services. The TICH created a Youth Workgroup to give input into *Youth Count Texas!* and a Veterans Workgroup to provide input into the Study on Homelessness among Veterans. The TICH Youth Workgroup includes DFPS, TEA, Texas Homeless Education Office, Texas Department of Juvenile Justice, and the Texas Health and Human Services Commission. The TICH Veteran Workgroup includes TDHCA, Texas Veteran Commission, Texas Health and Human Services enterprise agencies, Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation, and Texas Homeless Network. The TICH membership as a whole receives regular updates on *Youth Count Texas!* and the Study on Homelessness among Veterans.

Describe consultation with the Continuum(s) of Care that serves the State in determining how to allocate ESG funds, develop performance standards for and evaluate outcomes of projects and activities assisted by ESG funds, and develop funding, policies and procedures for the operation and administration of HMIS

In October 2016, TDHCA released a draft 2017 ESG NOFA for public comment. TDHCA will also open on online forum to gather comments on the draft NOFA. During the public comment period, TDHCA anticipates input from the CoCs and ESG subrecipients on how to allocate ESG funds and prioritize scoring, develop performance standards and evaluate outcomes, and develop funding, policies and procedures for HMIS.

The draft 2017 ESG NOFA may include additional points for domestic violence and legal service providers that work to integrate their programs into the CoCs coordinated access/centralized entry system. Several CoC member agencies have communicated to TDHCA that their coordinated access systems function through HMIS. Because domestic violence and legal service providers are not permitted to use HMIS per 24 CFR §576.400(f), those service providers could be excluded from the coordinated access system without a workaround of the current system. Additional points may be available for the purpose of integrating the domestic violence and legal service providers in coordinated access, with the understanding that information entered into an HMIS-comparable database must not be entered directly into or provided to an HMIS.

In addition, TDHCA hosted a roundtable to discuss the possibility of instituting sub-regions in the Balance of State CoC region. The Balance of State CoC is the largest CoC with over 200 counties. Through analysis of the last three years of ESG funding cycles, some areas in west and mid Texas have not received State ESG funding as they are competing against all counties in the BoS region. Currently the Balance of State CoC is the most competitive CoC region for ESG applications, with both the largest number of applicants and the highest-scoring applications. The implementation of regions within the Balance of State may encourage local providers in those areas to apply for ESG funding if the competition was limited to the local areas.

TDHCA is also working with the CoCs to revise the ESG scoring metrics in conjunction with the CoCs. TDHCA has received feedback that the CoC Program has certain targets for similar activities that are higher than ESG's targets. ESG Subrecipients that are also CoC members are getting mixed messages by the HUD CoC Program giving different targets of acceptable percentages of goals reached than TDHCA. TDHCA will reevaluate its ESG Subrecipient performance and compare that performance to HUD's CoC targets to determine scoring criteria for the ESG awards.

Last year, TDHCA released a survey seeking program input from the CoCs. TDHCA plans to host an online forum instead of a survey for the 2017 ESG Program. Notice of the online forum will be sent out via email list announcement.

For 2016 ESG funds, TDHCA identified five CoC Lead Agencies that met specific pre-Application criteria and were used to manage a local competition for 2016 ESG funding: Metro Dallas Homeless Alliance for the Dallas City and County CoC; Tarrant County Homeless Coalition for the Fort Worth/Arlington/Tarrant County CoC; El Paso Coalition for the Homeless for the El Paso City and County CoC; the City of Amarillo for Amarillo CoC; and Coalition for the Homeless of Houston/Harris County for City of Houston/Harris County CoC. TDHCA plans to release a Request for Applications for 2017 ESG Coordinators, which are lead agencies at the CoC that will run a local competition on behalf of TDHCA for ESG funds. In this way, the CoC lead agencies have authority to recommend allocations for ESG program funds and evaluate outcomes for ESG.

It should be noted that TDHCA consults with CoCs through involvement in the TICH and through participation in the TCEH. The TICH held a quarterly meeting on September 21, 2016, in conjunction with this conference. At the meeting, TDHCA sought public input from the TICH and CoC members on coordinated planning around homelessness issues.

2. Agencies, groups, organizations and others who participated in the process and consultations

Table 12 – Agencies, groups, organizations who participated

	Agency/Group/Organization	TICH
	Agency/Group/Organization Type	Housing
		Services - Housing
		Services-Children
		Services-Elderly Persons
		Services-Persons with Disabilities
		Services-Victims of Domestic Violence
		Services-homeless
		Services-Health
		Services-Education
		Services-Employment
		Service-Fair Housing
1		Services - Victims
1		Health Agency
		Child Welfare Agency
		Other government - Federal
		Other government - State
		Other government - County
		Other government - Local
	What section of the Plan was addressed by	Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless
	Consultation?	Homeless Needs - Families with children
		Homelessness Needs - Veterans
		Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth
		Homelessness Strategy
		Non-Homeless Special Needs
		Anti-poverty Strategy

	Briefly describe how the	Input on the 2017 OYAP will be sought at the January
	Agency/Group/Organization was	2017 quarterly meeting of the Texas Interagency
	consulted. What are the anticipated	Council for the Homeless (TICH). The function of the
	outcomes of the consultation or areas for	TICH is to coordinate the state's resources and
	improved coordination?	services to address homelessness. TICH serves as an
		advisory committee to TDHCA. Representatives from
		eleven state agencies sit on the council along with
		members appointed by the governor, lieutenant
		governor, and speaker of the house of
		representatives.
	Agency/Group/Organization	Rural Health and Economic Development Advisory
		Council
	Agency/Group/Organization Type	Housing
		Services - Housing
		Services-Health
		Other government - State
		Other government - County
		Other government - Local
		Regional organization
		Planning organization
		Business and Civic Leaders
	What section of the Plan was addressed by	Economic Development
2	Consultation?	Anti-poverty Strategy
2		CDBG Method of Distribution
	Briefly describe how the	Details on the Rural Health and Economic
	Agency/Group/Organization was	Development Advisory Council fall meeting will be
	consulted. What are the anticipated	included in the final 2017 OYAP. Consisting of nine
	outcomes of the consultation or areas for	members, this council is tasked with identifying rural
	improved coordination?	policy priorities and reviewing the effectiveness of
		existing rural programs. The council's Rural Policy plan
		focused on strategic initiatives for economic and
		community development, improvements to existing
		rural health care systems and recommendations for
		the use and allocation of Community Development
		Block Grant funding, which is used to make
		improvements in rural communities across Texas.
		improvements in rural communities across rexas.

Identify any Agency Types not consulted and provide rationale for not consulting

As indicated in the Introduction, during the ongoing consultation and public participation process, Texas seeks input from a wide range of agency types.

Other local/regional/state/federal planning efforts considered when preparing the Plan

Name of Plan	Lead Organization	How do the goals of your Strategic Plan overlap with the goals of each plan?
Continuum of Care	Texas Homeless Network	Texas Homeless Network (THN) is a non-profit membership-based organization helping Texas communities prevent and end homelessness. THN provides training and technical assistance around the state of Texas helping service providers and communities better serve the homeless population with the end goal of preventing and ending homelessness.
Pathways Home	TICH	Pathways Home presents findings which indicate that greater coordination of employment and health service resources with local housing programs would expand the State's capacity to prevent and end episodes of homelessness. In response to the study findings, Pathways Home proposes a framework to help more of the State's most vulnerable citizens to enter and remain in safe housing. A report is generated annually by the TICH that serves as a supplement to Pathways Home.

Table 13 – Other local / regional / federal planning efforts

Narrative

Since the consolidated planning process is an ongoing effort, the State continues to consult with agencies, groups, and organizations through the program year cycles for CDBG, ESG, HOME, and HOPWA.

AP-12 Participation - 91.115, 91.300(c)

1. Summary of citizen participation process/Efforts made to broaden citizen participation Summarize citizen participation process and how it impacted goal-setting

Encouragement of Public Participation

To reach minorities and non-English speaking residents, the Plan outreach follows TDHCA's Language Access Plan. Also, the notices are available in Spanish and English, per Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2105. Translators will be made available at public meetings, if requested.

The State encourages the involvement of individuals of low incomes and persons with disabilities in the allocation of funds and planning process through regular meetings, including community-based institutions, consumer workgroups, and councils (many of these meetings are listed in the Strategic Plan Section 35 of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan). All public hearing locations are accessible to all who choose to attend. Comments can be submitted either at a public hearing or in writing via mail, fax, or email.

The State notifies residents in areas where CDBG funds are proposed for use by distributing information on public hearings through the CDBG email list from TDA. Information related to the Plan and opportunities for feedback are provided through webinars and web discussions that allowed participation by residents of rural areas without requiring travel to a central location. Regional public hearings held as part of the Regional Review Committee process also encouraged participation by CDBG stakeholders.

Public hearings

The Draft 2017 OYAP will be released for a 30-day public comment period from November 14, 2016, to December 15, 2016. A public hearing will be held in Austin on December 6, 2016. Constituents will be encouraged to provide input regarding all programs in writing or at the public hearing.

The public hearing schedule is published in the *Texas Register* and on TDHCA's website at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us, and is advertised by opt-in email distribution and during various workgroups and committee meetings. During the public comment period, printed copies of the draft Plan will be available from TDHCA, and electronic copies may be available for download from TDHCA's website.

Criteria for Amendment to the Consolidated Plan

Substantial amendments will be considered if a new activity is developed for any of the funding sources or there is a change in method of distribution. If a substantial amendment is needed, reasonable notice by publication on TDHCA's website at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us will be given, and comments will be received for no less than 30 days after notice is given. A public hearing will be optional.

Performance Report

The 2017 CAPER will analyze the results of the 2016 OYAP. Due to the short 90-day turnaround time of the end of the State's Program Year (1/31) and the due date of the CAPER, the public will be given reasonable notice by publication on TDHCA's website at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us. Comment will be accepted for a minimum of 15 days. A public hearing will be optional.

One Year Action Plan

If a draft One Year Action Plan ("OYAP") is released for public comment prior to HUD's release of actual annual allocation amounts, the draft OYAP will reflect estimated allocation amounts. Once HUD releases actual annual allocation amounts, proposed activities' budgets will be increased or decreased from the estimated funding levels to match actual allocation amounts, prior to submission to HUD. If actual allocation amounts increase or decrease more than 20% from the estimated allocation amounts, the State will release a revised OYAP public comment period. Reasonable notice by publication on TDHCA's website at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us will be given, and comments will be received for no less than 30 days after notice is given. A public hearing will be optional.

2. Summary citizen participation process and efforts made to broaden citizen participation in Colonias

There are two main methods in which TDHCA coordinates its work with other colonia-serving entities. One relates to the Colonia Self Help Center Program which funds El Paso, Cameron/Willacy, Hidalgo, Starr, Webb, Maverick and Val Verde counties with four-year contracts. Awards and funding associated with this program are reviewed and recommended by a Colonia Resident Advisory Group ("C-RAC"), which is a group of colonia residents who live in the specific service area served by the centers. The other coordination effort relates to a cross-agency effort organized by the Texas Secretary of State that generates structured communications and data collection in conjunction with other state agencies serving colonias with their respective programs.

TDHCA provides guidance, technical assistance and oversight to the units of local government with which TDHCA has executed SHC contracts. Technical assistance includes program administration, guidelines, requirements needed to fufil contractual requirements in serving colonia residents with CDBG funding. Every one to two years, TDHCA organizes and implements a workshop for all eligible counties and their subgrantees to review rules, best practices, and exchange other program updates. Periodically, TDHCA convenes a meeting with C-RAC. This grass-roots-style committee considers contract proposals, approves contracts, evaluates county recommendations, and provides TDHCA and the counties guidance on programming and activities in the colonias. Lastly, approximately every two years, TDHCA updates its SHC Program rules, and initiates this process by first soliciting comment from the public at large for critiques of the current rules and suggestions for changes.

As a part of the process discussed above, TDA met with elected officials from counties serving colonia areas. The local leaders discussed funding priorities for the Community Development Fund, including projects that could serve colonia areas.

On a quarterly basis, TDHCA and TDA convene with several other state agencies that directly serve colonia residents in the areas of utilities infrastructure, transportation infrastructure, water/water water, health services, housing, and consumer issues. This group is called the Colonia Interagency Infrastructure Coordination Work Group and is organized by the Texas Office of the Secretary of State's Colonia Initiatives Program. This group has been meeting regularly since approximately 2007 when Texas passed legislation requiring the systematic identification and classification of Texas colonias, and the tracking of colonia-serving state-funded projects. The overarching goal of the workgroup is to stop the proliferation of colonias and improve the health, safety, and quality of life for colonia residents in the Texas-Mexico border region. By classifying colonias based on their level of infrastructure and access to public health services, various state agencies, and the Texas Legislature are able to prioritize funding and target colonias with critical needs (Texas Office of the Secretary of State, 2010). Besides TDHCA and TDA, other agency members of this work group include the Texas Water Development Board ("TWDB"), the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the Texas Department of Transportation, HHSC, and DSHS.

Citizen Participation Outreach

Sort Order	Mode of Outreach	Target of Outreach	Summary of response/attenda nce	Summary of comments rec eived	Summary of comments not accepted and reasons	URL (If applicable)
1	Public Hearing	Non- targeted/ broad community	Detail will be included following the public comment period.			
2	Internet Outreach	Non- targeted/ broad community	TDHCA has a centralized webpage for public comment on all plans, reports, and program rules.	All public comments and reasoned responses are provided in the Public Comment Attachment.		http://www .tdhca.state .tx.us/public - comment.ht m

Table 14 - Citizen Participation Outreach

Expected Resources

AP-15 Expected Resources – 91.320(c)(1,2)

Introduction

CPD funding is governed by this Consolidated Plan, but the State also works to collaborate, coordinate, and layer non-CPD funding sources in order to reach more Texans and more efficiently use available funds. Programs listed in the anticipated resources narrative sections below could be used to leverage CPD funds. These include:

- 4% Housing Tax Credit ("HTC")/Private Activity Bond ("PAB") Program;
- 9% HTC Program;
- Multifamily Direct Loan Program;
- Homeless and Housing Services Program ("HHSP");
- State Housing Trust Fund Program;
- Texas Mortgage Credit Certificate ("TX MCC") Program;
- First time homebuyer loan programs, including the My First Texas Home Program;
- Neighborhood Stabilization Program Program Income ("NSP PI");
- Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV") Program;
- Section 811 Project Rental Assistance ("PRA") Program; and
- Tax Credit Assistance Program ("TCAP") Loan Repayments.

For the programs above, the expected future funding amounts, to the extent known, are in the planning documents governing those programs. These documents can be found online at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/. The anticipated resources below are focused on CPD Programs.

TDHCA participates in numerous committees, workgroups, and councils which help TDHCA stay apprised of other potential resources to address affordable housing needs. Relationships with other federal and state agencies and local governments are extremely valuable, helping Texas agencies to coordinate housing and services and serve all Texans efficiently and effectively. TDHCA's involvement in these committees promotes identifying opportunities to proactively pursue federal funding opportunities. TDHCA actively seeks engagement and input from community advocates, funding recipients, potential applicants for funding, and others to obtain input regarding the development of effective policies, programs and rules. Changes to funding plans are made periodically based on feedback received through these avenues.

TDHCA is the lead agency for the following workgroups:

C-RAC: C-RAC is a committee of colonia residents appointed by the TDHCA Governing Board. It advises TDHCA regarding the needs of colonia residents and the types of programs and activities which should be undertaken by the Colonia SHCs. The Colonia SHCs funds are provided to seven specific predetermined counties.

Disability Advisory Workgroup ("DAW"): The DAW augments TDHCA's formal public comment process, affording staff the opportunity to interact more informally and in greater detail with various stakeholders and to get feedback on designing more successful programs, with a specific focus on gaining insight on issues impacting persons with disabilities.

Housing and Health Services Coordination Council ("HHSCC"): HHSCC is established by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.1091. Its duties include promoting coordination of efforts to offer Service-Enriched Housing and focusing on other cross-agency efforts.

Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless ("TICH"): The TICH was statutorily created in 1989 to coordinate the State's homeless resources and services. The TICH consists of representatives from eleven state agencies. TDHCA, as the primary source for state homelessness funding, provides administrative and planning support to the TICH.

Weatherization Assistance Program Planning Advisory Committee ("WAP PAC"): The WAP PAC is comprised of weatherization subrecipient agencies and provides balance and background related to the weatherization and energy conservation programs during the annual planning process of weatherization funds at TDHCA.

The descriptions of the collaborations for DSHS and TDA are in the Discussion question of this section below.

Anticipated Resources

			E>	pected Amoun	t Available Year 1	1	Expected Amount	
Program	Source of Funds	Uses of Funds	Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total: \$	Available Reminder of ConPlan \$	Narrative Description
CDBG	public - federal	Acquisition Admin and Planning Economic Development Housing Public Improvements Public Services	53,357,295	2,100,000	10,283,931	65,741,226	134,190,630	TDA's CDBG Program funds community and economic development, including program income collected by the state, and program income retained by local subgrantees, excluding the colonia setaside. Communities may also coordinate CDBG funding with U.S. Department of Agriculture's ("USDA") Rural Development funds or Texas Water Development Board's ("TWDB") State Revolving Fund.
CDBG Colonias Set- aside	public - federal	Acquisition Admin and Planning Homebuyer assistance Homeowner rehab Public Improvements Public Services	7,622,471	0	0	7,622,471	14,671,618	The Colonia Set-Aside is used both by TDA and TDHCA for goals described in the Strategic Plan Section 45. The Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program ("CEDAP") Legislative Set - Aside leverages funding from the TWDB's Economically Distressed Areas Program. TDHCA's Office of Colonia Initiatives ("OCI") administers a portion of the CDBG Colonia Set-Aside through its Colonia SHCs.

			Ex	xpected Amoun	t Available Year 1		Expected Amount	
Program	Source of Funds	Uses of Funds	Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total: \$	Available Reminder of ConPlan \$	Narrative Description
НОМЕ	public - federal	Acquisition Homebuyer assistance Homeowner rehab Multifamily rental new construction Multifamily rental rehab New construction for ownership TBRA	23,248,302	10,000,000	O Annual Act DRAFT 2		66,496,604	TDHCA's HOME Program goals are described in the Strategic Plan Section 45 for multifamily and single family activities. Single family HOME homebuyer activity may be coordinated with TDHCA's My First Texas Home Program, which can supplement down payment assistance, and the MCC Program, which provides a yearly tax credit of up to \$2,000 annually that reduced the homebuyers' federal income tax liability. HOME Multifamily Development funds can be layered with 4% HTCs and 9% HTCs. In addition, TDHCA's Section 811 PRA, a project-based supportive housing program for persons with disabilities, and TDHCA's Section 8 HCV may be used within HOME developments. Starting in 2015, TDHCA's TCAP loan repayments and NSP PI may be used to supplement or support multifamily and single-family HOME. TDHCA develops rules that govern all HOME activities, including the Uniform Multifamily Rules, Single Family Umbrella Rule, Single Family Umbrella Rule, Single Family Umbrella Rule, and other rules that are administrative in nature found under 10 Texas Administrative Code.

			E	pected Amoun	t Available Year 1		Expected Amount	
Program	Source of Funds	Uses of Funds	Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total: \$	Available Reminder of ConPlan \$	Narrative Description
HOPWA	public - federal	Permanent housing in facilities Permanent housing placement Short term or transitional housing facilities STRMU Supportive services TBRA	3,032,825	0	5,980,087	9,012,912	5,723398	DSHS' HOPWA state formula funds the following activities: TBRA; STRMU; PHP; and Supportive Services. Project Sponsors leverage available funds from Ryan White and State Services grants to assist clients with housing needs, medical and non-medical case management, emergency utility assistance, mental health, transportation, and nutritional services to address the needs of eligible clients.

			Ex	pected Amoun	t Available Year 1		Expected Amount		
Program	Source of Funds	Uses of Funds	Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total: \$	Available Reminder of ConPlan \$	Narrative Description	
ESG	public - federal	Conversion and rehab for transitional housing Financial Assistance Overnight shelter Rapid re- housing (rental assistance) Rental Assistance Services Transitional housing	8,817,205	0	0	8,817,205	26,451,615	TDHCA's ESG funds are awarded via contract to Subrecipient agencies that provide emergency shelter, homelessness prevention, rapid rehousing, and Homeless Management Information Systems ("HMIS") activities. HHSP is Texas state general revenue funding for the largest cities to provide flexibility to undertake activities that complement ESG activities. Note that not all ESG direct recipients in Texas are HHSP grantees. Use of funds also includes Administration.	

			Ex	Expected Amount Available Year 1					
Program	Source of Funds	Uses of Funds	Annual Allocation: \$	Program Income: \$	Prior Year Resources: \$	Total: \$	Available Reminder of ConPlan \$	Narrative Description	
NHTF	public - federal	Acquisition Multifamily rental new construction Multifamily rental rehab	4,\$5,590,686	0	0	\$5,590,686	22,362,744	TDHCA's NHTF Program goals are described in the Strategic Plan Section 45 for multifamily and single family activities. NHTF Multifamily Development Funds can be layered with 4% HTCs and 9% HTCs, and TDHCA Multifamily Direct Loan funds, including HOME, HOME-CHDO, and TCAP Loan Repayment. In addition, TDHCA's Section 811 PRA, a project-based supportive housing program for persons with disabilities, and TDHCA's Section 8 HCV may be used within NHTF developments. In addition, TDHCA also develops rules that govern all multifamily programs, including the HOME Multifamily Direct Loan Program, known as the Uniform Multifamily Rules.	

Table 15 - Expected Resources – Priority Table

Explain how federal funds will leverage those additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements will be satisfied

HOME

HOME multifamily development is often used to leverage with the HTC Program, which authorizes 9% low-income housing tax credits of \$2.35 per capita for each state, and 4% HTC in amounts linked to the usage of the state's cap for issuance of tax exempt PABs to finance affordable housing development. In Texas, this equates to approximately \$61,400,000 in 9% tax credits available to be awarded annually. These credits may be claimed each year for ten years and represents potential tax credit value on the magnitude of \$610,000,000. The credits are syndicated to limited partner investors to yield cash for use in eligible development activities. Currently typical syndication rates range between 92% and 95%. TDHCA's Qualified Allocation Plan ("QAP") identifies the criteria used for selection of eligible developments to provide housing for low-income tenants. HOME provides increased leverage, allowing property owners to utilize fewer tax credits and less private debt and local funding, thus providing more efficient use of resources. Other leveraging sources may include United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") operating subsidies and loans, and conventional and FHA-insured loans. Match requirements for the HOME Multifamily Direct Loan Program will in part be met through Rules that establish awardees' minimum amount of match as 5% of the award amount. TDHCA increased match requirements for single family activities to more effectively use limited funding. TDHCA has also requested for HUD to approve a waiver that its state-funded Bootstrap program be eligible as match and is responding to HUD requests for additional detail.

ESG

To meet the ESG match requirement, TDHCA includes the provision of evidence of proposed match as part of the ESG application process. Subrecipients are required to provide 100% match according to budget categories for which the Subrecipient was funded. A Subrecipient that is unable to match the award is eligible to apply to TDHCA for a match waiver up to \$100,000. However, TDHCA has rarely received a waiver request for matching funds during the application process. Should TDHCA receive a request, staff will consider size of the community, funds currently held by the agency, losses of essential services from other funding sources, and other factors to determine which organization(s) will benefit from the match waiver. In 2011, the Texas Legislature created the HHSP statute and funded it with General Revenue funds. Through HHSP, the State allocates funds to cities in Texas with a population of 285,500 or greater to support services to homeless individuals and families. These funds are sometimes used as match for either State or local ESG funding.

HOPWA

Texas HOPWA does not have program income but leverages funds whenever possible. Project Sponsors leverage available funds from Ryan White and State Services grants, private funding sources, foundations, and local assistance to help clients. AAs do not receive administrative funds from DSHS, so those costs are leveraged from other funding sources. Texas is not required to match the HOPWA formula award.

CDBG

Nearly 80% of TX CDBG grants include local match fund commitments. Matching funds are required for certain grants, while other grants award points to encourage local match; a sliding scale allows smaller communities to contribute less match funding than larger communities. Match funds may be provided by the applicant, or by a water or sewer utility benefiting from the project. Economic development (ED) projects benefiting private business require 1-for-1 match commitment, with the business most often providing this substantial match. Recent updates to the Colonia SHC Program rules have capped program assistance at \$50,000 per household for reconstruction and new construction, and \$40,000 per household for rehabilitation. These limits encourage administrators to leverage funds with other resources.

Due to IDIS character limits, NHTF Leveraging is described in the question below.

If appropriate, describe publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that may be used to address the needs identified in the plan

NHTF Program Leverages

NHTF multifamily development may be used to leverage with the HTC Program, which was created by the Tax Reform Act of 1986 and authorizes 9% low-income housing tax credits in the amount of \$2.35 per capita for each state, and 4% low-income housing tax credits in amounts linked to the usage of the state's cap for issuance of tax exempt bond to finance affordable housing development. In Texas, this equates to approximately \$61,400,000 in 9% tax credits available to be awarded by TDHCA annually. These credits may be claimed each year for ten years and this represents potential tax credit value on the magnitude of \$610,000,000. The tax credits are syndicated to limited partner investors to yield cash for use in eligible development activities. Currently typical syndication rates range between 92% and 95%. TDHCA must develop a Qualified Allocation Plan ("QAP") for the selection of eligible developments to provide housing for the low-income tenants. NHTF provides increased leverage, allowing the property owners to utilize fewer tax credits and less private debt and local funding, therefore providing more efficient use of resources.

The Texas General Land Office manages state owned lands and mineral rights totaling approximately 13 million acres. Much of this is leased for the benefit of the Permanent School Fund, an endowment fund established in 1876 for the benefit of Texas public school education. There is currently no plan to use state owned land for affordable housing or community development goals; however, local jurisdictions occasionally donate land or property in support of activities designed to address the needs identified in the plan as part of their contribution to locally administered programs.

Discussion

HOPWA: Continuing with the discussion of collaboration begun in the Introduction of this section, DSHS is the lead for several HIV-related councils and workgroups which provide opportunities for collaboration and resource sharing across agencies, providers, and other pertinent stakeholders to assist PLWH in Texas. Some of the initiatives are Inter-Agency Council on HIV & Hepatitis, the Texas Black

Women's Initiative, the Test Texas Coalition, and the Texas HIV Syndicate. The Texas HIV Syndicate is an integrated HIV prevention and care planning body made up of roughly 100 organizational leaders representing the full continuum of HIV engagement. The Texas HIV Syndicate uses the Texas HIV Plan as a framework to develop strategies that enhance and expand on prevention and care activities across the State. Texas HIV Syndicate members develop policy recommendations, best practice models, coordination strategies, and promote innovation in HIV prevention and treatment. DSHS also holds a biennial HIV/Sexually Transmitted Disease ("STD") conference, attended by all DSHS contractors and subrecipients in addition to community leaders, health and HIV professionals, and many other essential stakeholders. Many of the DSHS contractors are also HOPWA providers. The next conference will be held in 2018. The goal of the Texas HIV/STD Conference is to enhance the responsiveness of people and systems supporting the spectrum of HIV/STD prevention and treatment services in Texas, including: Awareness; Targeted Prevention; Diagnosis; Linkage to Care; Maintenance in Care; and Suppression of Disease.

DSHS' Epidemiology and Surveillance Branch is responsible for reporting HIV/AIDS, STD, and tuberculosis ("TB") surveillance and epidemiologic data for the State of Texas, which includes data submission to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC"). This data is subsequently used by HUD to determine HOPWA formula allocations. This data is also leveraged to provide support to planning, development, implementation, and evaluation of HIV/AIDS, STD, and TB prevention and services programs, including HOPWA.

Finally, TDA participates in the following workgroups:

Texas Water Infrastructure Coordination Committee ("TWICC"): TWICC is a voluntary organization of federal and state funding agencies and technical assistance providers that address water and wastewater needs throughout the State. TDA participates in TWICC to coordinate efforts to leverage funds.

Secretary of State's Colonia Workgroup: The Colonia Workgroup consists of federal and state funding agencies and the Texas Secretary of State's colonia ombudsmen. The group addresses current and future infrastructure improvements in colonias, focusing on coordination of resources and information. TDHCA is also a member of this workgroup.

Drought Preparedness Council: The Council was authorized and established by the 76th Texas Legislature in 1999, and is responsible for assessment and public reporting of drought monitoring and water supply conditions, along with other duties.

These workgroups, committees, and councils help to strengthen communication between state agencies as well as provide opportunities to layer or combine funding sources.

With the block grants and the layering resources listed above, there are also CDBG Disaster Recovery ("DR") funds for Hurricanes Rita, Dolly, and Ike, and Wildfires. Hurricane Rita Disaster Recovery for

housing and non-housing recovery is in 29 counties. Ike Disaster Recovery for housing and non-housing recovery is in 62 counties. Wildfire Recovery non-housing recovery is in 65 counties. More details can be found at http://www.glo.texas.gov/GLO/disaster-recovery/actionplans

Annual Goals and Objectives

AP-20 Annual Goals and Objectives – 91.320(c)(3)&(e)

Goals Summary Information

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
1	Homeless Goals	2015	2016	Homeless	State of Texas	Emergency shelter and transitional housing Rapid Re-housing Homelessness Prevention	ESG: \$8,817,205	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing: 749 Households Assisted Homeless Person Overnight Shelter: 8,314 Persons Assisted Homelessness Prevention: 3,936 Persons Assisted
2	Construction of single family housing	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Production of new units	HOME: \$0	Homeowner Housing Added: 0 Household Housing Unit
3	Rehabilitation of single family housing	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Rehabilitation of housing	HOME: \$5,916,734	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated: 70 Household Housing Unit
4	Homebuyer assistance with possible rehabilitation	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Rehabilitation of housing Acquisition of existing units	HOME: \$3,476,783	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers: 58 Households Assisted
5	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance with HOME funding	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Rental Assistance	HOME: \$4,812,569	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing: 438 Households Assisted

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
6	Households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Production of new units Rehabilitation of housing	HOME: \$15,713,359	Rental units constructed: 110 Household Housing Unit Rental units rehabilitated: 47 Household Housing Unit
7	HOPWA Tenant- Based Rental Assistance	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Supportive Services for Persons with HIV/AIDS	HOPWA: \$1,877,526	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing: 467 Households Assisted
8	HOPWA Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, & Utilities Asst	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Supportive Services for Persons with HIV/AIDS	HOPWA: \$393,904	Homelessness Prevention: 406 Persons Assisted
9	HOPWA Permanent Housing Placement Assistance	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Supportive Services for Persons with HIV/AIDS	HOPWA: \$8,050	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 22 Persons Assisted
10	HOPWA-Funded Supportive Services	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Supportive Services for Persons with HIV/AIDS	HOPWA: \$490,298	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 881 Persons Assisted
11	CDBG Other Construction	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development	State of Texas	Public facilities Public Improvements and Infrastructure Public services	CDBG: \$39,533,182	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 227843 Persons Assisted

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
12	CDBG Economic Development	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	State of Texas	Public facilities Public Improvements and Infrastructure Public services Economic development	CDBG: \$8,848,164	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 14122 Persons Assisted
13	CDBG Planning / Capacity Building	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development	State of Texas	Public facilities Public Improvements and Infrastructure Public services	CDBG: \$548,818	Other: 37412 Other
14	CDBG Disaster Relief / Urgent Need	2015	2019	Non-Housing Community Development	State of Texas	Public facilities Public Improvements and Infrastructure	CDBG: \$2,497,738	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 132248 Persons Assisted

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator	
15	CDBG Colonia Set- Aside	2015	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	State of Texas	Production of new units Rehabilitation of housing Acquisition of existing units Public facilities Public Improvements and Infrastructure Public services	CDBG Colonias Set- aside: \$6,097,977	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 3348 Persons Assisted	
16	CDBG Colonia Self- Help Centers	2015	2019	Self-Help Centers	State of Texas	Public services	CDBG: \$1,524,494	Other: 14491	
17	CDBG Administration	2015	2015	Administration/Technical Assistance	State of Texas	Rehabilitation of housing Public facilities Public Improvements and Infrastructure Public services Economic development	CDBG: \$1,929,393	Other: 0 Other	

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
18	HOME Administration	2015	2015	HOME Administration	State of Texas	Rental Assistance Production of new units Rehabilitation of housing Acquisition of existing units	HOME: \$3,328,857	Other: 0 Other
19	NHTF households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units	2016	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Production of new units Rehabilitation of housing	Housing Trust Fund: \$5,031,618	Rental units constructed: 0 Household Housing Unit Rental units rehabilitated: 0 Household Housing Unit
20	NHTF Administration	2016	2019	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	State of Texas	Acquisition of existing units Production of new units Rehabilitation of housing	Housing Trust Fund: \$559,068	Other: 0 Other

Table 16 – Goals Summary

Goal Descriptions

	Goal	Homeless Goals
	Name	
	Goal Descrip tion	To determine the percentage for each activity, first the award amount is reduced by 3.75% for the Department's administrative funds (at least) and reduced by up to .25% administrative funds for CoCs that will be running a local competition for ESG funds on the Department's behalf (e.g., ESG Coordinators). The remaining funding are anticipated to be allocated roughly as follows: 3% administrative funds for ESG Subrecipients, 36% for rapid re-housing; 14% for homelessness prevention; 34% for emergency shelters, 7% for street outreach, and 6% for the Homeless Management Information System ("HMIS") activities, however the ultimate distribution of funding and the persons served will depend on the applications received for 2017 ESG funding and scoring criteria.
1		Note that the emergency shelter projection will most likely vary from what is reported in the 2017 Consolidated Annual Evaluation and Performance Reports. The projection takes into account all persons served at a day or night emergency shelter, the measurement in the OYAP is persons who spent the night at an overnight shelter, not a day shelter. For the 2016 ESG contracts, a new measure to track persons who spent the night at an overnight shelter has been added for ESG Subrecipient reporting. TDHCA's anticipated funding amounts are still under the federal requirement to spend equal or less than 60% of its funding on emergency shelter and street outreach activities.
		The 2016 projections of persons or households served are based on funding spent per person or household per activity with ESG funds from 2015
		The funding targets and numbers served may fluctuate depending on the amount in the HUD award letter. The amounts targeted for each ESG activity will be dependent on the final HUD allocation and the percentages (as limited by federal rules) will depend on local CoC or Subrecipient decisions.

	Goal	Construction of single family housing
	Name	
	Goal	TDHCA does not plan to have a 2017 HOME Program goal for single family development activities performed by a Community Housing
	Descrip	Development Organization ("CHDO") for the construction of new single family housing. However, it should be noted that TDHCA may use program
2	tion	income, deobligated funding, or other available HOME funding for such an activity if the Department identifies increased demand. The original 2015
		goal of providing assistance to a minimum of 7 eligible households was reduced based on HUD's final allocation amounts. PY 2017 CHDO set aside
		funding is initially targeted for multifamily development activities as reflected under the Households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units strategic
		plan goal, but may be revised to program some funding for Single Family Development activities if TDHCA identifies future interest in the program.
		Single family development activities will remain an eligible activity that may be funded in the event future CHDO funding becomes available.
	Goal	Rehabilitation of single family housing
	Name	
3	Goal	The 2017 goal for HOME Program rehabilitation and reconstruction activities is to provide assistance to a minimum of 70 households through a
	Descrip	statewide network of units of general local governments, and non-profit organizations. These entities qualify applicants to receive assistance for
	tion	the repairs and reconstruction necessary to make their homes decent, safe, sanitary, and accessible.
	Goal	Homebuyer assistance with possible rehabilitation
	Name	
4	Goal	The 2017 goals for HOME Program acquisition activities is to provide assistance to a minimum of 58 households with downpayment and closing
	Descrip	costs assistance, Contract for Deed assistance to promote the conversion of contract for deed arrangements to traditional mortgages, as well as
	tion	downpayment with possible rehabilitation assistance for households with a member with a disability.
	Goal	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance with HOME funding
	Name	
5	Goal	The 2017 goal for HOME Program TBRA activity is to provide rental assistance to approximately 438 households through a statewide network of
	Descrip	units of general local governments, public housing agencies, Local Mental Health Authorities ("LMHAs"), and other non-profit organizations. These
	tion	entities qualify applicants to receive assistance and may extend assistance if the household continues to meet eligibility requirements.

	Goal	Households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units
	Name	
6	Goal	The 2017 goal for HOME Multifamily Program is creating/rehabilitating over 157 multifamily rental units. TDHCA's HOME Multifamily Development
6	Descrip	Programs awards HOME funds as low-interest loans to CHDOs, for-profit, and nonprofit developers. These loans leverage other public and private
	tion	financing including housing tax credits, United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") operating subsidies and loans, and conventional and
		Federal Housing Administration-insured loans. The end result is safe, decent, and affordable multifamily rental housing.
	Goal	HOPWA Tenant-Based Rental Assistance
	Name	
7	Goal	HOPWA TBRA provides tenant-based rental assistance to eligible households until they are able to secure other affordable and stable housing. The
	Descrip	annual goal includes 467 households assisted. The estimated funding and number of individuals served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final
	tion	allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.
	Goal	HOPWA Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, & Utilities Asst
	Name	
8	Goal	STRMU provides short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to eligible households for a maximum of 21 weeks of assistance in a 52-week
	Descrip	period. The annual goal is to assist 406 persons. The estimated funding and number of individuals served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final
	tion	allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.
	Goal	HOPWA Permanent Housing Placement Assistance
	Name	
9	Goal	PHP provides assistance for housing placement costs which may include application fees, related credit checks, and reasonable security deposits
	Descrip	necessary to move persons into permanent housing. The annual goal is to assist 22 persons. The estimated funding and number of persons served
	tion	may fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.
	Goal	HOPWA-Funded Supportive Services
	Name	
10	Goal	Supportive Services include case management, basic telephone service and assistance to purchase smoke detectors to eligible households. The
	Descrip	annual goal is to assist 881 persons. The estimated funding and number of households served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation
	tion	amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.

	Goal	CDBG Other Construction
	Name	
	Goal	The Texas CDBG encourages the use of funds not only to improve existing locations but to provide facilities in other areas to accommodate
	Descrip	residential opportunities that will benefit low and moderate income persons. Applicants are encouraged to provide for infrastructure and housing
11	tion	activities that will improve opportunities for low and moderate income persons. When considering projects and designing projects, applicants must
		continue to consider affirmatively furthering fair housing, which includes providing basic infrastructure, such as water, sewer, and roads that
		benefit residential housing and other housing activities. Funding allocated includes annual allocation in addition to previously deobligated funds.
		The annual goal includes 227,843 persons assisted. The estimated funding and number of persons served may fluctuate depending on HUD's final
		allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.
	Goal	CDBG Economic Development
	Name	
12	Goal	This economic development funding is used for projects that will create or retain permanent employment opportunities, primarily for low to
12	Descrip	moderate income persons and for county economic and management development activities. Funding allocated includes annual allocation in
	tion	addition to previously deobligated funds. The annual goal is to assist 14,122 persons. The estimated funding and number of persons served may
		fluctuate depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.
	Goal	CDBG Planning / Capacity Building
	Name	
	Goal	This fund is available to assist eligible cities and counties in conducting planning activities that assess local needs, develop strategies to address local
13	Descrip	needs, build or improve local capacity, or that include other needed planning elements (including telecommunications and broadband needs).
	tion	Funding allocated includes annual allocation in addition to previously deobligated funds. The annual goal is 37,412 persons benefiting from
		community planning projects (this may show as "other" in the chart above"). The estimated funding and number of persons served may fluctuate
		depending on HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.

	Goal	CDBG Disaster Relief / Urgent Need
	Name	CDBG Disaster Nelicity Organic Need
		Disease Delief (IIDDII) escistance is excitable through this final exceeded for elicible estimation in relief of disease citables through the state of the state
	Goal	Disaster Relief ("DR") assistance is available through this fund as needed for eligible activities in relief of disaster situations where either the
14	Descrip	governor has proclaimed a state disaster declaration, drought disaster declaration, or the president has issued a federal disaster declaration. CDBG
	tion	may prioritize throughout the program year the use of DR assistance funds based on the type of assistance or activity under consideration and may
		allocate funding throughout the program year based on assistance categories. Funding allocated includes annual allocation in addition to previously
		deobligated funds. The annual goal is to assist 132,248 persons. The estimated funding and number of persons served may fluctuate depending on
		HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages indentified in Action Plan Section 25.
	Goal	CDBG Colonia Set-Aside
	Name	
	Goal	This fund is available to eligible county applicants for projects in severely distressed unincorporated areas which meet the definition of a "colonia"
15	Descrip	under this fund. Funding allocated includes annual allocation in addition to previously deobligated funds. The annual goal is to assist 3,348
	tion	benefiting from public facility or infrastructure activities (other than low/moderate income housing benefit) and 14,491 "other", which equates to
		the number of colonia residents receiving direct assistance. The estimated funding and number of persons served may fluctuate depending on
		HUD's final allocation amounts and based on the target percentages identified in Action Plan Section 25.
	Goal	CDBG Colonia Self-Help Centers
	Name	
16	Goal	Colonia residents receiving direct assistance through Self-Help centers.
	Descrip	
	tion	
	Goal	CDBG Administration
	Name	
17	Goal	CDBG Administrative costs including Technical Assistance
	Descrip	
	tion	

	Goal	HOME Administration
	Name	
18	Goal	HOME Administrative expenses based on HOME allocation and projected program income.
	Descrip	
	tion	
	Goal	NHTF households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units
	Name	
19	Goal	The 2017 goal for Housing Trust Fund is creating/rehabilitating 29 multifamily rental units based on the performance period of February 1, 2017
	Descrip	through January 31, 2018.
	tion	
	Goal	NHTF Administration
	Name	
20	Goal	NHTF Administrative funds for PY 2016.
	Descrip	
	tion	

Table 17 – Goal Descriptions

AP-25 Allocation Priorities – 91.320(d)

Introduction

The CPD Programs serve special needs populations and meet the 13 Priority Needs found in Strategic Plan 25 of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan. These Needs in Strategic Plan 25 are correlated with Goals in Action Plan 20 to show which activities will serve which priority needs. The goals from Action Plan 20 are listed below with allocation percentages. Percentages in the chart below are estimated and may change depending on funding received from HUD, legislative priorities, and funding requests from administrators or subrecipients. Due to software restrictions, allocations are rounded to the nearest whole number and do not reflect precise percentages.

Also, for the other programs listed in the anticipated resources (Action Plan 15) that could be used to leverage funds, including 4% HTC, 9% HTC, Multifamily Direct Loan Program, HHSP, State Housing Trust Fund, TX MCC, and My First Texas Home Program, NSP PI, Section 8 HCV programs, Section 811 PRA, and TCAP Loan Repayments, goals are tailored to each program in the planning documents governing those programs. These documents can be found at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us. In addition to meeting the priority needs, the CPD Program works to serve special needs populations as described in this section. HOME and ESG's special needs populations are discussed in the introduction, and HOPWA and CDBG are included in the discussion below.

HOME Serves Special Needs

TDHCA has determined that programs may target assistance to the following special needs populations: persons with disabilities, persons with alcohol or other drug addiction, persons living with HIV/AIDS ("PLWH"), persons with Violence Against Woman Act ("VAWA") protections, colonia residents, farmworkers, homeless populations, veterans, wounded warriors (as defined by the Caring for Wounded Warriors Act of 2008), and public housing residents. Preferences may also include programs designed to assist single parents, persons transitioning out of incarceration, and persons transitioning out of foster homes and nursing facilities.

For Administrators who have programs that are designed to limit assistance to certain populations, TDHCA will only approve program designs that limit assistance to households that include a member within the following populations if necessary to provide as effective housing, aid, benefit, or services as those provided to others in accordance with 24 CFR §8.4(b)(1)(iv): PLWH, mental illness, alcohol or other drug addiction, or households that would qualify under the TDHCA's Project Access program as defined in 10 TAC §5.801. Otherwise, Administrators may only give preference to populations described in the special needs section.

For HOME or NHTF rental housing, TDHCA will allow development of housing that meets requirements under the Housing for Older Persons Act. TDHCA may also consider permitting rental housing owners to give a preference or limitation as indicated in this section and may allow a preference or limitation that is not described in this section to encourage leveraging of federal or state funding, provided that

Annual Action Plan

another federal or state funding source for the rental housing requires a limitation or preference. TDHCA may put further guidelines on development of specific types of rental housing by rule or NOFA.

ESG Serves Special Needs

ESG does not have funding allocation priorities for special needs populations. However, the 2016 ESG NOFA includes points for applicants that propose to serve persons with higher barriers to housing, including persons with serious mental illness, persons recently released from institutions, persons with substance abuse disorders, veterans, survivors of domestic violence, youth aging out of foster care, and persons transitioning out of incarceration.

As indicated in AP-10, the draft 2017 ESG NOFA may have additional points for applicants that will integrate the domestic violence and legal service providers in coordinated access, with the understanding that information entered into an HMIS-comparable database must not be entered directly into or provided to an HMIS.

Funding Allocation Priorities

	Homeless Goals (%)	Construction of single family housing (%)	Rehabilitation of single family housing (%)	Homebuyer assistance with possible rehabilitation (%)	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance with HOME funding (%)	Households in new/rehabilitated multifamily units (%)	HOPWA Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (%)	HOPWA Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, & Utilities Asst (%)	HOPWA Permanent Housing Placement Assistance (%)	HOPWA-Funded Supportive Services (%)	CDBG Other Construction (%)	CDBG Economic Development (%)	CDBG Planning / Capacity Building (%)	CDBG Disaster Relief / Urgent Need (%)	CDBG Colonia Set-Aside (%)	CDBG Colonia Self-Help Centers (%)	CDBG Administration (%)	HOME Administration (%)	Colonias Set-Aside (%)	Total (%)
CDBG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	15	1	4	10	2	3	0	0	100
CDBG Colonias Set-aside	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
HOME	0	0	20	12	16	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
HOPWA	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	13	1	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
ESG	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
NHTF	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

Table 18 – Funding Allocation Priorities

Reason for Allocation Priorities

HOME Allocation Priorities

TDHCA prioritizes HOME funding for multifamily, single-family, and Set-Aside activities. Multifamily activities were historically allocated a higher percent of funds to address the priority needs of Rental Assistance and Production of New Units, promote tax credit leveraging, and because they account for a large portion of HOME's program income. However, TDHCA now has access to TCAP Loan Repayments as a source of multifamily financing, so these priorities will continue to have funds directed toward them, while likely reducing the allocation of HOME funds directed towards multifamily activities.

Although the 2015 HOME allocation to TDHCA was reduced from 2014 funding levels, funding for single family activities actually increased overall as TDHCA began to access TCAP loan repayments for multifamily activities and by directing deobligated funding and program income resources to single family activities. Funding for single family activities from the 2017 annual allocation is anticipated to be awarded based on TDHCA's Regional Allocation Formula, with residual funding available through the Reservation System, allowing local administrators to prioritize single family activities on a household-by-household basis for:

- Homebuyer Assistance, (including contract-for-deed conversions) which addresses Acquisition
 of Existing Units and Rehabilitation of Existing Units priority needs;
- Homeowner Rehabilitation Assistance, which addresses Rehabilitation of Existing Units priority need; and
- TBRA, which addresses Rental Assistance priority need.

These priorities are a result of the consolidated planning process and significant public input.

ESG Allocation Priorities

ESG does not have specific allocations for priority needs. ESG funds can be used for all eligible purposes within limitations set by ESG regulations and guided by local Continuum of Care ("CoC") direction, including:

- Homeless outreach;
- Emergency shelter;
- Rapid re-housing; and
- Homelessness prevention.

Persons experiencing homelessness and resources for persons experiencing homelessness are often concentrated in urban areas. While the need in urban areas for resources is great, there are large areas of Texas without access to receive ESG funds directly from HUD. The 2017 ESG NOFA is anticipated to establish a system of scoring in which applicants receive more points for clients they serve in rural areas. In addition, TDHCA is having discussions with the Balance of State CoC for the possible inclusion of regions for ESG allocations during the NOFA process, as stated in AP-10.

HOPWA Allocation Priorities

HOPWA provides the following activities in line with priority needs:

- TBRA, which addresses Rental Assistance priority needs;
- STRMU, which addresses Homelessness Prevention priority needs;
- Supportive Services Program, which addresses Supportive Services for PLWH priority needs; and
- PHP, which addresses Homelessness Prevention priority needs.

CDBG Allocation Priorities

The CDBG Program offers the following activities, which relate to the corresponding priority needs. The majority of CDBG funds are used to meet basic human needs. These projects, in addition to being among the most critical needs in the state, are prioritized locally by regional review committees and local communities. Colonia funding allocation is reflected in "Colonias Set-Aside" column.

- The majority of funds are awarded to address basic human needs, including improvements to water and sewer systems and roads for low and moderate income ("LMI") communities.
- Economic development activities are funded to create and retain jobs primarily for LMI persons.
- Public facilities such as community centers and public safety facilities are less common activities, but are very valuable to LMI communities.
- Colonias SHC activities provide public services and housing funds for colonia residents living in the designated colonias of El Paso, Hidalgo, Cameron/Willacy, Webb, Starr, Maverick and Val Verde counties.

NHTF Allocation Priorities

The NHTF Program activities for PY 2017 will be limited to acquisition, construction or rehabilitation of multifamily housing to address the priority needs of Rental Assistance and Production of New Units, promote leveraging of other fund sources. Particularly as this is a new fund source and a new program, the administrative burden of implementation is mitigated by using the funds within the well established multifamily finance structure.

How will the proposed distribution of funds will address the priority needs and specific objectives described in the Consolidated Plan?

The special needs populations for HOME and ESG are described in the Introduction. HOPWA and CDBG discuss special needs populations below.

HOPWA Serves Special Needs

Texas HOPWA serves PLWH and their household members, all of whom are at or below 80% of the AMI, and most of whom fall into the extremely-low-income category. As previously noted, allocations generally mirror the Ryan White Program allocation formula, which takes into account population of PLWH, HIV incidence, number of PLWH accessing Ryan White services, percent of PLWH eligible for Medicaid and other considerations. The allocations are then adjusted based on unmet need, prior performance and expenditures, geographic-specific data provided by Project Sponsors, and any other

relevant factors. After allocations to each HIV Service Delivery Area (HSDA) are determined, it is then up to the Project Sponsor to allocate between activities of TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Supportive Services, and administrative expenses (not to exceed 7% of their allocation) and submit those to their Administrative Agents ("AAs") and the Department of State Health Services ("DSHS") for approval. Project Sponsors base allocations on many factors, including but not limited to, number of clients projected to continue into the next year, area unmet need, rental costs, prior number of clients served, average expenditures per client, and changes in HIV population living in poverty, etc. Funds are also reallocated during the year within HSDAs under each AA as needed.

CDBG Serves Special Needs

CDBG provides over 90% of available funds for projects that primarily benefit low-to moderate-income persons through basic infrastructure, housing, job creation and other activities as identified at the local level. Among those projects, CDBG sets aside 12.5% of funds to specifically benefit colonia residents through planning activities, infrastructure and housing construction, self-help center services, construction activities, and public services. Funding for community development projects in colonias and other LMI communities is a critical element in the well-being of these communities.

In 1996, in an effort to place more emphasis on addressing the needs of colonias, the OCI at TDCHA was created and charged with the responsibility of coordinating all TDHCA's and legislative initiatives involving border and colonia issues and managing a portion of TDHCA's existing programs targeted at colonias. The fundamental goal of the OCI is to improve the living conditions and lives of border and colonia residents and to educate the public regarding the services that the Department has to offer. As part of its plan to improve the living conditions in colonias, the OCI offers Border Field Offices. The three OCI Border Field Offices are located in Pharr, Laredo, and El Paso to provide technical assistance to border counties, Colonia SHCs, and Bootstrap Program participants.

AP-30 Methods of Distribution – 91.320(d)&(k)

Introduction

Given that Texas is the second largest state in the nation by total area, the method of distribution of its funds has to take into account a very large area. To serve this large area it is necessary for the State to use subrecipients to administer the programs funded under CPD. The selection processes for these entities are generally described below.

Distribution Methods

Table 19 - Distribution Methods by State Program

		Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia Economically Distressed Areas						
1	State Program Name:	Program Legislative Set-Aside						
•	Funding Sources:	CDBG						
		CDBG Colonias Set-aside						
		Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program ("CEDAP") Legislative Set-Aside fund						
	Describe the state	provides funding to eligible cities and counties to assist colonia residents that cannot						
	program addressed by the	afford the cost of service lines, service connections, and plumbing improvements						
	Method of Distribution.	associated with being connected to a TWDB Economically Distressed Area Program						
		or similar water or sewer system improvement project.						
	Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.	 The TDA will evaluate the following factors prior to awarding CEDAP funds: The proposed use of the CDBG funds including the eligibility of the proposed activities and the effective use of the funds to provide water or sewer connections/yard lines to water/sewer systems funded through Economically Distressed Area Program or similar program; The ability of the applicant to utilize the grant funds in a timely manner; The availability of funds to the applicant for project financing from other sources; The applicant's past performance on previously awarded CDBG contracts; Cost per beneficiary; and Proximity of project site to entitlement cities or metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs"). 						
	If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application manuals or other state publications describing the application criteria? (CDBG only)	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.						

	Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories.	The State CDBG allocation 3.4% (approximately) is allocated to the CEDAP Legislative Set-Aside.							
	Describe threshold factors and grant size limits.	Maximum \$1,000,000/Minimum \$75,000							
	What are the outcome								
	measures expected as a	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons							
	result of the method of	Activities benefiting Livii Fersons							
	distribution?								
2	State Program Name:	Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia Planning and Construction Funds							
	Funding Sources:	CDBG							
	Tunung Sources.	CDBG Colonias Set-aside							
	Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution.	The Colonia Planning Fund ("CPF") funds planning activities that either targets a specific colonia(s) (Colonia Area Planning) or that provides a countywide comprehensive plan (Colonia Comprehensive Planning). In order to qualify for the Colonia Area Planning activities, the county applicant must have completed a Colonia Comprehensive Plan that prioritizes problems and colonias for future action. The targeted colonia must be included in the Colonia Comprehensive Plan. The goal of the Colonia Fund Construction ("CFC") fund is to develop viable communities by providing decent housing, viable public infrastructure, and a suitable living environment, principally for persons residing within a community or area that meets the definition of a colonia. An eligible county applicant may submit an application for the following eligible construction activities: Assessments for Public Improvements - The payment of assessments (including any charge made as a condition of obtaining access) levied against properties owned and occupied by persons of low and moderate income to recover the capital cost for a public improvement. Other Improvements - Other activities eligible under 42 USC Section 5305 designed							
		occupied by persons of low and moderate income to recover the capital cost for a public improvement.							

Colonia Fund: Construction. The selection criteria for the Colonia Fund: Construction will focus upon the following factors: community distress; percentage of people living in poverty; per capita income; percentage of housing units without complete plumbing; unemployment rate; benefit to LMI persons; project priorities; project design; matching funds; and past performance. Colonia Fund: Planning (Area). The selection criteria for the Colonia Fund: Planning will focus upon the following factors: community distress; percentage of people living in poverty; per capita income; percentage of housing units without complete plumbing; unemployment rate; project design; the severity of need within the colonia area(s) and how clearly the proposed planning effort will remove barriers to the provision of public facilities to the colonia area(s) and result in the development of an implementable strategy to resolve the identified needs; the planning activities proposed in the application; whether each proposed planning activity will be conducted on a colonia-wide basis; the extent to which any previous planning efforts Describe all of the criteria for colonia area(s) have been accomplished; the CDBG cost per LMI beneficiary; the that will be used to select availability of funds to the applicant for project financing from other sources; the applications and the applicant's past performance on previously awarded CDBG contracts; benefit to LMI relative importance of persons; and matching funds. these criteria. Colonia Fund: Planning (Comprehensive). The selection criteria for the Colonia Fund: Planning will focus upon the following factors: community distress; percentage of people living in poverty; per capita income; percentage of housing units without complete plumbing; unemployment rate; project design; the severity of need for the comprehensive colonia planning effort and how effectively the proposed comprehensive planning effort will result in a useful assessment of colonia populations, locations, infrastructure conditions, housing conditions, and the development of short-term and long term strategies to resolve the identified needs; the extent to which any previous planning efforts for colonia area(s) have been accomplished; whether the applicant has provided any local matching funds for the planning or preliminary engineering activities; the applicant's past performance on previously awarded CDBG contracts; and award history (an applicant that has previously received a CDBG comprehensive planning award would receive lower priority for funding). If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on manuals or other TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov. state publications

describing the application

criteria? (CDBG only)

	Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories. Describe threshold factors and grant size limits.	The State CDBG allocation 6.75% (approximately) is allocated to the Colonia Fund. Of the yearly CDBG allocation to the Colonia Construction and Planning Fund, 97.5% (approximately) of those funds are to award grants through the CFC and 2.5% (approximately) are to award grants through the CFP. Subsequent to awarding funds, any portion of the CFC allocation that is unable to be awarded (i.e., fund an application in the minimum amount of \$75,000, etc.) may be used to fund additional eligible CFP applications, and conversely, any portion of the CFP allocation that is unable to be awarded may be used to fund additional eligible CFC applications. CFP Maximum \$100,000/Minimum \$0 CFC Maximum \$500,000/Minimum \$75,000
	What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of distribution?	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
3	State Program Name:	Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia SHC Legislative Set-Aside (administered by TDHCA)
	Funding Sources:	CDBG CDBG Colonias Set-aside
	Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution.	Administered by TDHCA and funded through CDBG, the Colonia SHC Program serves colonias along the Texas-Mexico border. Colonia SHCs provide concentrated on-site technical assistance to low- and very low-income individuals and families in a variety of ways including housing, community development activities, infrastructure improvements, outreach and education. Key services include: housing rehabilitation; new construction; surveying and platting; construction skills training; tool library access for self-help construction; housing finance; credit and debt counseling; grant writing; infrastructure construction and access; contract-for-deed conversions; and capital access for mortgages.
	Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.	Approximately 42,000 residents live in the targeted colonias served by the colonia SHC Program. The SHCs process applications from income eligible households on a first come, first served basis. Eligible households must reside in one of the targeted colonias, which have been preselected by each recipient and county and confirmed by C-RAC. Households must earn less than 80% of AMI.
	If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application manuals or other state publications describing the application criteria? (CDBG only)	Colonia SHCs are statutorily required to establish SHCs in Cameron/Willacy, El Paso, Hidalgo, Starr and Webb counties. Statute allows for additional SHCs to be established if any other county if TDHCA deems it necessary and appropriate and if the county is designated an economically distressed area under statute. In 2001, TDHCA established additional SHCs in Maverick and Val Verde counties. Each SHC must serve five targeted colonias within the county it serves. The SHCs and TDHCA's Border Field Offices both conduct outreach activities throughout the contract period to inform colonia residents of program benefits and eligibility criteria and to provide application assistance.

		Of the State CDBG allocation, 2.5% (approximately) is allocated to this fund. Counties
		that are statutorily designated to participate in the Colonia SHC Program propose
		which target colonias should receive concentrated attention and through what scope
	Describe how resources	of program activities and funding. Each SHC designs a proposal unique to the needs
	will be allocated among	of a specific community and based on a needs assessment. After a C-RAC, composed
	funding categories.	of residents from previously participating colonias, reviews and approves the
		proposals from the counties, the proposals are then reviewed and approved by the
		TDHCA's Board of Directors for implementation. Resources are allocated based on
		analysis and input from each community.
		Maximum \$1,000,000/Minimum \$500,000
	Baradha tha ahada ta farta a	For the colonia SHC, program rules limit the assistance to up to \$1,000,000 per
	Describe threshold factors	colonia SHC per contract period. Each program activity, such as new construction,
	and grant size limits.	rehabilitation, and small repairs for housing, for example, are limited to specific
		dollar amounts.
	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	For the Colonia SHC Program, outcomes include: colonia residents assisted, housing
	result of the method of	units assisted or created, instances of technical assistance provided, and instances of
	distribution?	information delivered. In general, this is Activities Benefiting LMI Persons.
•		
4	State Program Name:	Colonias Set-Aside: Colonias to Cities Initiative Program
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	<u> </u>	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
		The Colonia to Cities Initiative ("CCIP")provides funding for basic infrastructure
		considered necessary for a colonia area to be annexed by an adjoining city. Priority is
	Describe the state	given to colonias that have received prior CDBG funding. Both the county and city
	program addressed by the	must submit a multi-jurisdictional pre-application for the project that includes a
	Method of Distribution.	resolution from each jurisdiction. The city's resolution must include a firm
		commitment to annex the colonia upon completion of the project. Failure to annex
		the colonia may result in a requirement to repay the CDBG funding to TDA.
		The TDA will evaluate the following factors prior to awarding CCIP funds:
		• the proposed use of the TxCDBG funds including the eligibility of the proposed
		activities;
	Describe all of the criteria	• the ability of the community to utilize the grant funds in a timely manner;
	that will be used to select	• the availability of funds to the community for project financing from other sources;
	applications and the	• the community's past performance on previously awarded TxCDBG contracts, if
	relative importance of	applicable;
	<u>-</u>	cost per beneficiary; and
	these criteria.	commitment by the city to annex the colonia area within one year of project
		completion.
		If applications exceed the available funding, the Department may use the scoring
		factors established for the Colonia Fund-Construction component.

	If only summary criteria	
	-	
	were described, how can	
	potential applicants	
	access application	Eligible applicants will be notified if funds become available.
	manuals or other	Ligible applicants will be notified it failed become available.
	state publications	
	describing the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how resources	
	will be allocated among	If there are an insufficient number of projects ready for CEDAP funding, the CEDAP
	funding categories.	funds may be transferred to the Colonias to Cities Initiative.
	Describe threshold factors	Minimum \$100,000/Maximum \$1,000,000
	and grant size limits.	
	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	Activities Benefiting Low and Moderate Income ("LMI") Persons
	result of the method of	Activities benefiting Low and Moderate income (Livii) Persons
	distribution?	
5	State Program Name:	Community Development Fund
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
		The Community Development ("CD") Fund is available on a biennial basis through a
		competition in each of the State's 24 planning regions. The goal of the CD Fund is to
		develop viable communities by providing decent housing, viable public
		infrastructure, and a suitable living environment, principally for persons of low to
	Describe the state	moderate income.
	program addressed by the	Applicants are encouraged to provide for infrastructure and housing activities that
	Method of Distribution.	will improve opportunities for LMI persons. When considering and designing
		projects, applicants must continue to consider project activities that will
		affirmatively further fair housing, which includes project activities that provide basic
		infrastructure (such as water, sewer, and roads) that will benefit residential housing
		and other housing activities.

Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of	CD applicants are scored using a shared system with 90% of the scoring criteria established by Regional Review Committees ("RRC") and 10% established by the state's scoring criteria. There is a Regional Review Committee in each of the 24 State planning regions. Each RRC will be comprised of 12 members appointed at the pleasure of the Texas Commissioner of Agriculture. A quorum of seven members is required for all public hearings. Each RRC is responsible for determining local project priorities and objective scoring criteria for its region for the CD Fund in accordance with the requirements in this Action Plan. Additionally, the RRC shall establish the numerical value of the points assigned to each scoring factor and determine the total combined points for all RRC scoring criteria. The Regional Review Committees are responsible for convening public hearings to discuss and select the objective scoring criteria that will be used to score and rank applications at the regional level. The public must be given an opportunity to comment on the priorities and the scoring criteria considered. The final selection of the scoring criteria is the responsibility of each RRC and must be consistent with the requirements in this Action Plan. The RRC may not adopt scoring factors that directly negate or offset the State's scoring
these criteria.	factors. Each RRC shall develop a RRC Guidebook, in the format provided by TDA, to notify eligible applicants of the objective scoring criteria and other RRC procedures for the region. The Guidebook must be submitted to TDA and approved at least ninety days prior to the application deadline. The state scoring will be based on the following: 1. Past Performance- 12 points. 2. All project activities within the application would provide basic infrastructure or housing activities - 8 points. (Basic infrastructure - the basic physical shared facilities serving a community's population consisting of water, sewage, roads and flood drainage. Housing activities - as defined in 24 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") Part 570.)
If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application manuals or other state publications describing the application criteria? (CDBG only)	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories.	64.83% (approximately) of the State CDBG allocation is allocated to this fund.

	Describe threshold factors	Minimum C7F 000/Maximum C900 000 regions may establish additional grant
	and grant size limits.	Minimum \$75,000/Maximum \$800,000, regions may establish additional grant amount limits.
	What are the outcome	amount mines.
	measures expected as a	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	result of the method of	
	distribution?	
6	State Program Name:	Community Enhancement Fund
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
		The Community Enhancement ("CE") Fund provides a source of funds (when
	Describe the state	available) not available through other CDBG programs to stimulate a community's
	program addressed by the	economic development efforts and improve self-sufficiency. The project must have
	Method of Distribution.	the potential to benefit all citizens within a jurisdiction. The community project must
		provide a benefit that will enhance the overall quality of life in the rural community.
	Barrella ella Cibra ella da	The selection criteria for the Community Enhancement Fund will focus on the
	Describe all of the criteria	following factors:
	that will be used to select	a. LMI percentage of the applicant;
	applications and the	b. Partnerships;
	relative importance of	c. Multi-Purpose Facility or Public Safety Equipment;
	these criteria.	d. Sustainability; and
	10 1 11	e. Match.
	If only summary criteria	
	were described, how can	
	potential applicants	
	access application	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	manuals or other	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	state publications	
	describing the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how resources	
	will be allocated among	\$0 will be made available for the CE Fund on the first day of the program year.
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold factors	
	and grant size limits.	Minimum \$50,000/Maximum \$500,000
	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	
	result of the method of	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	distribution?	
	5.551100010111	

State Program Name:	Disaster Relief Funds
Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Disaster Relief ("DR") Fund assistance is available as needed for eligible activities in
	relief of disaster situations where either a state or federal disaster declaration has
	been issued.
	Declaration other than Drought: Priority for the use of these funds is for repair and
Describe the state	restoration activities that meet basic human needs (such as water and sewer
program addressed by the	facilities, housing, and roads), and may not include funding to construct public
Method of Distribution.	facilities that did not exist prior to the occurrence of the disaster.
	Declaration for Drought: Funding in response to a Governor's drought disaster
	declaration covering the area that would benefit from project activities must include
	new facilities to improve water supply, subject to the conditions set forth in Title 4,
	Part 1, Chapter 30, Subchapter A of the Texas Administrative Code.
	To qualify for the DR Fund:
	a. The situation addressed by the applicant must be both unanticipated and beyond
	the control of the local government.
	b. The problem being addressed must be of recent origin. For DR Fund assistance,
	this means that the application for assistance must be submitted no later than 12
	months from the date of the state or federal disaster declaration.
	c. Funds will not be provided under Federal Emergency Management Agency's
Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the	satisfactory evidence that the property to be purchased was not constructed or
relative importance of	d. Each applicant must demonstrate that adequate local funds are not available, i.e.,
these criteria.	the entity has less than six months of unencumbered general operations funds available in its balance as evidenced by the last available audit required by state statute, or funds from other state or federal sources are not available to completely address the problem.
	e. TDA may consider whether funds under an existing CDBG contract are available to
	be reallocated to address the situation.
	f. The distribution of these funds will be coordinated with other state agencies.

	If only summary criteria	
	were described, how can	
	potential applicants	
	access application	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	manuals or other	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	state publications	
	describing the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories.	4.10% (approximately) of the State CDBG allocation is allocated to the DR Fund. Deobligated funds up to \$1,000,000 are made available for the DR Fund on the first day of a program year, and additional deobligated funds may be allocated to the DR Fund according to the procedures described in the Additional Detail on Method of Distribution section following this table. The amount for this fund category may be adjusted during the program year as needed.
	Describe threshold factors	Maximum \$350,000/Minimum \$50,000
	and grant size limits.	
	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	Meet other community development needs of particular urgency which represent an
	result of the method of	immediate threat to the health and safety of residents of the community.
	distribution?	
8	State Program Name:	General HOME Funds for Single-Family Activities
	Funding Sources:	HOME
	Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution.	TDHCA awards single-family activity funds as grants and loans through a network of local administrators for Homeowner Rehabilitation, Homebuyer Assistance, and TBRA. Assistance length and term depends on the type of activity. The funds are initially being made available competitively on a regional basis, then later remaining funds are made available statewide on a first-come, first-served Reservation System, a contract-based system or some combination of these two methods. The method will be described in NOFAs and is informed by needs analysis, oversubscription for the activities, and public input.

Applicants must comply with requirements stated in NOFAs, the Single-Family Programs Umbrella Rule, and State HOME Program Rules in effect at the time they receive their award.

Review of Applications

All programs will be operated through direct administration by TDHCA, reallocation of deobligated funding and program income, or through the release of Notices of Funding Availability ("NOFAs") with an emphasis on geographic dispersion of funds, particularly in rural areas of the state, using a Regional Allocation Formula ("RAF") which uses objective measures to determine rural housing needs such as poverty and substandard housing. For NOFAs, applicants must submit a complete application to be considered for funding, along with an application fee determined by TDHCA. Applications received by TDHCA will be reviewed for applicable threshold, eligibility and/or scoring criteria in accordance with the Department's rules and application review procedures published in the NOFA and/or application materials. Information related to NOFAs, application requirements and fees, and application review procedures and materials is available at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/home-division/index.htm.

Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.

Selection Process

Qualifying applications are recommended for funding to TDHCA's Board based on the Department's rules and any additional requirements established in the NOFA. Applications submitted for development activities will also receive a review for financial feasibility, underwriting and compliance under the HOME Final Rule as well as the Department's existing previous participation review process.

The state may select subrecipients or state recipients as described in program rules and NOFAs, or may conduct a portion of HOME activities directly in accordance with §92.201.

Deobligated or Program Income HOME Program Funds

When administrators have not successfully expended the HOME funds within their contract period, TDHCA de-obligates the funds and pools the dollars for redistribution according to TDHCA's Deobligated Funds Policy at 10 TAC §1.5, and consistent with the reservation system and any open NOFAs. TDHCA may also reallocate these funds through a competitive NOFA process resulting in an award of funds.

		TDHCA announces the annual allocation of HOME Single-Family funds through a
		NOFA and specifies that the funds will initially be made available using a Regional
		Allocation Formula ("RAF") which divides funds among 26 sub-regions as required by
		state statute. The allocation method is developed based on a formula which
		considers need and funding availability. After a period of several months, regional
	Describe how resources	allocations collapse. Following the release of the annual allocation through the RAF,
	will be allocated among	TDHCA periodically adds HOME program income and deobligated funds to the funds
	funding categories.	available via the Reservation System and either allocates a specific amount of funds
		per activity based on funding priorities or may allow HOME administrator's requests
		for funding through the system to determine how the funds are finally allocated
		among fund categories. TDHCA may specify the maximum amount of funds that will
		be released for each activity type and may allocate funds via a first come, first served
		Reservation System or alternate method based on public comment.
	Describe threshold factors	Applicants must comply with requirements stated in the HOME NOFA and State
		HOME Program Rules in effect the year they receive their award. These sources
	and grant size limits.	provide threshold limits and grant size limits per activity type.
	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	
	result of the method of	Assistance to LMI households.
	distribution?	
9	State Program Name:	HOME Multifamily Development
	Funding Sources:	HOME
		The HOME Multifamily Direct Loan Program awards loans to for-profit and nonprofit
	Describe the state	multifamily developers to construct and rehabilitate affordable rental housing. These
	program addressed by the	loans typically carry a 0% to 5% interest rate and have terms ranging from 15 years
	Method of Distribution.	to 40 years. The vast majority of the loans are made in conjunction with awards of
		4% or 9% HTCs.
		TDHCA's Texas Administrative Code Chapters 10 and 13 set forth a minimum set of
		requirements that document a project owner's readiness to proceed with the
		development as evidenced by site control, notification of local officials, the
	Describe all of the criteria	availability of permanent financing, appropriate zoning for the site, and a market and
	that will be used to select	environmental study. Additionally, the development must be near certain
	applications and the	community assets. HOME Multifamily Direct Loan Program funds are typically
	relative importance of	awarded on a first-come, first-served basis, as long as the criteria above are met. For
	these criteria.	HOME Multifamily Development applications layered with 9% HTCs, the highest
	these differial	scoring applications in the 9% cycle that also request HOME funds take priority over
		lower scoring HOME Multifamily Development applications that may have been
1		received earlier.
		i received earlier.

	Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories.	Typically, of the HOME Multifamily Funds, 85% is available for general activities and 15% for Community Housing Development Organizations ("CHDOs"). However, the HOME Multifamily Direct Loan Program may make funds available annually or through a special purpose NOFA under the General, Supportive Housing/Soft Repayment, and CHDO Set-Asides, or may choose to have a preference or limitation for Persons with Disabilities.
	Describe threshold factors and grant size limits.	TDHCA's Uniform Multifamily Rules set forth a minimum set of requirements that document a project owner's readiness to proceed with the development as evidenced by site control, notification of local officials, the availability of permanent financing, experience of the developer, appropriate zoning for the site, and a market and environmental study. Additionally, the development must be near certain community assets such as a bank, pharmacy, or medical office and have certain unit amenities and common amenities. Awards of HOME Multifamily Direct Loan Program funds range from approximately \$300,000 to \$3 million per application in the form of a loan.
	What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of distribution?	Assistance to LMI households.
10	State Program Name:	Local Revolving Loan Funds
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution.	TxCDBG allows communities that received Texas Capital Fund awards to support job creation or retention, and that created a local revolving loan fund, prior to implementation of the interim rule published November 12, 2015, to retain the program income generated by the economic development activities and to reinvest the funds to support job creation/retention activities.
	Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.	Criteria are established by local subrecipients, with guidance from the TxCDBG Revolving Loan Fund Information Guide provided by TDA.
	If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application manuals or other state publications describing the application criteria? (CDBG only)	The TxCDBG Revolving Loan Fund Information Guide is provided directly to subrecipients that have established revolving loan funds.

	Describe how resources	Program Income generated by a local RLF is retained by that community or returned
	will be allocated among	to TDA for distribution according to the Action Plan. See "Grantee Unique
	funding categories.	Appendices" for table of local revolving loan funds.
	Describe threshold factors	Parameters for minimum or maximum loan amounts may be established by the
	and grant size limits.	subrecipient.
	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	Addition Book State of IAM Book and the control of Both attended
	result of the method of	Activities Benefitting LMI Persons through Job Creation/Retention
	distribution?	
l1	State Program Name:	Planning/Capacity Building Fund
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state	The Planning/Capacity Building ("PCB") Fund is available to assist eligible cities and
		counties in conducting planning activities that assess local needs, develop strategies
	program addressed by the	to address local needs, build or improve local capacity, or that include other needed
	Method of Distribution.	planning elements (including telecommunications and broadband needs).
		The selection criteria for the PCB Fund will focus upon the following factors:
		a. Community Distress;
		a. Percentage of persons living in poverty;
	Describe all of the criteria	b. Per capita income;
	that will be used to select	c. Unemployment rate;
	applications and the	b. Benefit to LMI Persons;
	relative importance of	c. Project Design;
	these criteria.	d. Program Priority;
		e. Base Match;
		f. Area-wide Proposals; and
		g. Planning Strategy and Products.
	If only summary criteria	
	were described, how can	
	potential applicants	
	access application	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	manuals or other	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	state publications	
	describing the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how resources	
	will be allocated among	1.0% (approximately) of the State CDBG allocation is allocated to this fund.
	funding categories.	
	1	

	Describe threshold factors and grant size limits.	Minimum \$0/Maximum \$55,000
	What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of distribution?	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
12	State Program Name:	State Mandated Contract for Deed Set-Aside
	Funding Sources:	HOME
	Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution.	The 81st Texas Legislature passed Appropriations Rider 6 to TDHCA's appropriation pattern, which requires TDHCA to spend no less than \$4 million for the biennium on contract for deed for families that reside in a colonia and earn 60% or less of the applicable Area Median Income ("AMI"). Furthermore, as directed by the Rider, TDHCA is targeted to convert no less than 200 contracts for deed into traditional notes and deeds of trust by August 31, 2016. The intent of this program is to help colonia residents become property owners by converting their contracts for deed into traditional mortgages. Households served under this initiative must not earn more than 60% of the Area Median Family Income ("AMFI") and the home converted must be their primary residence.
	Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.	Administrators must meet HOME Program threshold requirements to access funding. Funding is made available to contract for deed administrators on a first-come, first-served basis, in addition to threshold requirements outlined in the State HOME Program Rule, through the Reservation System.
	Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories.	TDHCA sets aside \$2,000,000 for Contract for Deed activities annually and releases the funds through the reservation system as a method of distribution.
	Describe threshold factors and grant size limits.	Applicants must meet the thresholds provided in the NOFA and State HOME Program Rules in effect the year in which they receive their award. Administrators are not awarded a grant following a successful application. Rather funds are awarded on a household by household basis.
	What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of distribution?	Assistance to households with incomes at or below 60% AMFI.
13	State Program Name:	TCF Main Street Program
	Funding Sources:	CDBG

	Describe the state	The Texas Capital Fund ("TCF") Main Street Program provides eligible Texas Main
	program addressed by the	Street communities with grants to expand or enhance public infrastructure in
	Method of Distribution.	historic main street areas.
	Method of Distribution.	
	Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.	The selection criteria for the TCF Main Street Program will focus upon the following factors: a. Applicant Need criteria, including poverty rate, median income, unemployment rate, and community need; b. Project criteria, including leverage, economic development consideration, sidewalks projects and Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") compliance, broadbased public support, emphasis on benefit to LMI persons, and grant application training; and c. Main Street program criteria, including National Main Street program recognition, Main Street program participation, historic preservation ethic impact.
	If only summary criteria	
	were described, how can	
	potential applicants	
	access application	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	manuals or other	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	state publications	
	describing the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how resources	6% of the total TCF allocation up to a maximum amount of \$600,000; program
	will be allocated among	income funds may be transferred to this category on August 1 if such funds have not
	funding categories.	been utilized by other TCF programs (if available).
	Describe threshold factors and grant size limits.	Maximum \$250,000/Minimum \$50,000
	What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of	Eliminate or prevent slum and blight conditions.
1.0	distribution?	TOT Deal False and Infrastructure Dealers 12
14	State Program Name:	TCF Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Programs
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution.	The Texas Capital Fund ("TCF") Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Programs provides grants and/or loans for Real Estate and Infrastructure Development to create or retain permanent jobs in primarily rural communities and counties.

	The selection criteria for the TCF Real Estate and Infrastructure Development will
Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of	focus upon the following factors:
	a. Job creation criteria:
	i. Cost-per-job,
	ii. Job impact,
	iii. Wage impact, and
	iv. Primary jobs created/retained;
	b. Unemployment rate; and
	c. Return on Investment.
these criteria.	Once applications are evaluated and determined to be in the funding range the
these tifteria.	projects will be reviewed upon the following additional factors:
	a. History of the applicant community in the program;
	b. Strength of the business or marketing plan;
	c. Evaluation of the business and the business' principal owners credit;
	d. Evaluation of community and business need; and
	e. Justification of minimum necessary improvements to serve the project.
If only summary criteria	
were described, how can	
potential applicants	
access application	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
manuals or other	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	TENTS WEBSITE at WWW.tenasagireartare.gov.
state publications	
describing the application	
criteria? (CDBG only)	
	14.51% of the State CDBG allocation is allocated to the Real Estate and Infrastructu
	Development Programs minus the lesser of 18% or \$1,800,000 of the total TCF
	allocation. In addition, program income funds generated by TCF projects and not
	otherwise allocated are made available for the Real Estate and Infrastructure
	Development Programs on the first day of a program year.
Describe how resources	In accordance with 24 CFR 570.479(e)(ii), the State has determined that program
will be allocated among funding categories.	income generated by TCF during PY 2016 must be returned to the State for
	redistribution to new economic development activities. TCF awards are made for a
	specific project, based on the minimum necessary work to support the creation or
	retention of specific jobs, which must be completed prior to close out of the TCF
	contract. Therefore the community is unlikely to continue funding the same activity
	in the near future as described in the new regulation.
Describe threshold factors	
and grant size limits.	Maximum \$1,500,000/Minimum \$150,000
anu grant size illillts.	

	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	result of the method of	receiving Livin cisons
	distribution?	
15	State Program Name:	TCF Small and Micro Enterprise Revolving Fund
	Funding Sources:	
	Describe the state	The Texas Capital Fund ("TCF") Small and Micro Enterprise Revolving Fund provides
	program addressed by the	grants to local partnerships of communities and non-profit organizations to establish
	Method of Distribution.	a local revolving loan fund, providing loans to local small businesses that commit to
		create or retain permanent jobs.
	Describe all of the criteria	The selection criteria for the Small and Micro Enterprise Revolving Fund will focus on
	that will be used to select	the following factors:
	applications and the	a. Community Need;
	relative importance of	b. Non-Profit Loan Capacity; and
	these criteria.	c. Multi-jurisdictional applications.
	If only summary criteria	
	were described, how can	
	potential applicants	
	access application	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	manuals or other	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	state publications	
	describing the application	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how resources	
	will be allocated among	\$0 will be made available for the SMRF Fund on the first day of the program year.
	funding categories.	
	Describe threshold factors	\$100,000 per award
	and grant size limits.	\$100,000 per award
	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons
	result of the method of	Activities benefiting Livii Fersons
	distribution?	
16	State Program Name:	Texas Capital Fund Downtown Revitalization Program
	Funding Sources:	CDBG
	Describe the state	The Texas Capital Fund ("TCF") Downtown Revitalization Program awards grant
	program addressed by the	funds for public infrastructure to foster and stimulate economic development in
	Method of Distribution.	rural downtown areas.

	Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.	The selection criteria for the TCF Downtown Revitalization Program will focus upon the following factors: a. Applicant Need criteria, including poverty rate, median income, unemployment rate, and community need; b. Project criteria, including leverage, economic development consideration, sidewalks projects, and ADA compliance, broad-based public support, emphasis on benefit to LMI persons, and grant application training; and c. Past Performance.
	If and a company suit a via	
	If only summary criteria	
	were described, how can	
	potential applicants	
	access application	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on
	manuals or other	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.
	state publications	
	describing the application	
	•	
	criteria? (CDBG only)	
	Describe how resources	12% of the total TCF allocation up to a maximum of amount \$1,200,000; program
	will be allocated among	income funds may be transferred to this category on August 1 if such funds have not
	funding categories.	been utilized by other TCF programs (if available).
	Describe threshold factors	Maximum \$250,000/Minimum \$50,000
	and grant size limits.	
	What are the outcome	
	measures expected as a	
	result of the method of	Eliminate or prevent slum and blight conditions.
	distribution?	
17	State Program Name:	Texas ESG Program
	Funding Sources:	ESG
		The ESG Program is currently a competitive grant that awards funds to private
	Describe the state	nonprofit organizations, cities, and counties in the State of Texas to provide the
		services necessary to help persons who are experiencing or at-risk of homelessness
		quickly regain stability in permanent housing. In 2016, five CoC lead agencies ran a
		local competition on TDHCA's behalf for ESG funds. TDHCA anticipates using the
		same method of distribution in certain CoC regions in 2017. In the fall of 2016,
	program addressed by the	TDHCA will release a Request for Application for CoC lead agencies to run a local ESG
	Method of Distribution.	competition in their areas. Applicants in the CoC regions in which the lead agency is
		running a local competition will apply directly to the CoC lead agency for TDHCA ESG
		funding. Applicants in the CoC regions in which the lead agency is not running a local
		competition will apply directly to the TDHCA for ESG funding. Ultimate award
		authority for all ESG funds remains with TDHCA's Board.
		authority for all E3G futius retifatits with FDHCA'S Bodfu.

Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.

In the competitive process with TDHCA, applications are selected based on:

 Program Description and Capacity; Proposed Performance; Proposed Budget and Match; CoC Participation and Coordination; and Contract History of Subrecipients in ESG Expenditure and Reporting (negative scores only). The allocation amounts available in each CoC region are established by formula by CoC region. Any funds returned to the Department from prior ESG awards before 2017 ESG awards are made, will be redistributed in accordance with the 2016 NOFA. For the competitive process, TDHCA plans to release a NOFA in the winter of 2017 in anticipation of the State's receipt of ESG funding. For 2017, Applications will be accepted for approximately a 60-day period. Applications are scored and ranked within their CoC regions.

TDHCA is considering offering a NOFA for a two-year award cycle; TDHCA would release a NOFA in winter of 2017 for an award of ESG 2017 and 2018 funds. A two-year award cycle would allow Subrecipients to offer up to 24 months of assistance for medium-term rental assistance (currently Subrecipients are limited to the number of months within their contracts, which is 12 months or fewer). TDHCA will state in the 2017 NOFA whether it retain its one-year award cycle, or move to a two-year award cycle.

Describe the process for awarding funds to state recipients and how the state will make its allocation available to units of general local government, and non-profit organizations, including community and faith-based organizations. (ESG only)

TDHCA encourages collaboration among homeless service providers by allowing the submission of a Collaborative Application. A Collaborative Application is an application that has a lead applicant and partner agencies. The lead applicant is an organization that submits the ESG application and, if awarded, will have a contract with TDHCA. The partner agencies will have a legal relationship with the lead applicant to receive ESG funding in return for performance and reporting, as established during the application process. Collaborative Applications are often more competitive than single applicants because the lead applicant's and partner organizations' performance, which is part of the application which has the highest potential for points, is combined into one application.

Eligible applicant organizations are Units of General Purpose Local Government, including cities, counties and metropolitan cities; urban counties that receive ESG funds directly from HUD; and organizations as described in a NOFA. Other instrumentalities of a city or county, like a Local Mental Health Authority, may be eligible and should seek guidance from TDHCA to determine if they can apply. Governmental organizations such as Public Housing Authorities ("PHAs") and housing finance agencies are not eligible and cannot apply directly for ESG funds; however PHAs may serve as a partner in a collaborative Application, but may not be the lead applicant. These same criteria will apply to those applicants awarded directly by the CoCs as well.

Eligible applicants also include private nonprofit organizations that are secular or religious organizations described in section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, are exempt from taxation under subtitle A of the Code, have an acceptable accounting system and a voluntary board, and practice non-discrimination in the provision of assistance. Faith-based organizations receiving ESG funds, like all organizations receiving HUD funds, must serve all eligible beneficiaries without regard to religion.

DSHS selects seven AAs across the state through a combination of competitive Requests for Proposal ("RFP") and intergovernmental agency contracts. The AAs act Describe the state as an administrative arm for DSHS by administering the HOPWA program locally. The program addressed by the AAs do not receive any HOPWA administrative funds from DSHS; all AA administrative costs are leveraged from other funding sources. The AAs, in turn, Method of Distribution. select HOPWA Project Sponsors to cover all 26 HSDAs through local competitive processes. Information on grant applications, available funding opportunities, application criteria, etc. can be found on the DSHS website: http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/fic/default.shtm. Contracting information and resources (i.e., General Provisions, contract requirements, etc.) are located on the DSHS Describe all of the criteria website: http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/contracts/default.shtm. that will be used to select Contracting services for DSHS and other Health agencies are consolidated under the applications and the Health and Human Services Commission's Procurement and Contracting Services (PCS) Division. This division handles the solicitation, contract development, contract relative importance of execution, and office of record for DSHS's contracting needs. these criteria. Evaluation Criteria as noted in the most recent RFP process for AAs for Ryan White/State Services and HOPWA programs were: Respondent Background = 30%; Assessment Narrative = 15%; Performance Measures = 10%; Work Plan = 35%; and Budget = 10%. Identify the method of selecting project sponsors The AAs select HOPWA Project Sponsors to cover all 26 HSDAs through local (including providing full competitive processes. Community-based organizations, minority organizations, access to grassroots faithminority providers, grassroots and faith-based organizations are encouraged to based and other apply. Historically, many of the agencies that have provided services to TDHCA's community-based client population are grassroots, community-based, and minority organizations. organizations). (HOPWA

only)

	Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories.	Texas HOPWA funding allocations are geographically distributed across the state to the 26 HSDAs based on factors such as population of PLWH and unmet need. Texas HOPWA serves PLWH and their household members, all of whom are at or below 80% of AMI, and most fall into the extremely low-income category. Allocations generally mirror the Ryan White Program allocation formula, which takes into account population of PLWH, HIV incidence, number of PLWH accessing Ryan White services, percent of PLWH eligible for Medicaid, and other considerations. The allocations are then adjusted based on unmet need, prior performance and expenditures, geographic-specific data provided by Project Sponsors, and any other relevant factors. After allocations to each HSDA are determined, it is then up to the Project Sponsor to allocate between activities of TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Supportive Services, and administrative expenses (not to exceed 7% of their allocation) and submit those to their AA and DSHS for approval. Project Sponsors base allocations on many factors, including but not limited to, number of clients projected to continue into the next year, area unmet need, rental costs, prior number of clients served, average expenditures per client, and changes in HIV population living in poverty, etc. Funds are also reallocated during the year within HSDAs under each AA as needed when needs change.
	Describe threshold factors	Texas HOPWA serves PLWH and their household members, all of whom are at or
		below 80% of AMI. The majority of HORWA clients are classified as extremely low income, which is
	and grant size limits.	The majority of HOPWA clients are classified as extremely low income, which is between 0% and 30% of AMI.
	What are the outcome	TBRA, STRMU, and Supportive Service activities each have their own outcome
	measures expected as a	measures. TBRA measures housing stability by assessing a household's destination at the end of the service. STRMU measures housing stability by assessing a household's
	result of the method of	housing status at the end of the service. Supportive Services measures access to
	distribution?	health care and supportive services outcomes at the end of the service.
19	State Program Name:	Texas Small Towns Environment Program Fund
	Funding Sources:	CDBG

	Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution.	The Texas Small Towns Environment Program ("STEP") Fund provides funds to cities and counties that recognize the need and potential to solve water and sewer problems through self-help techniques via local volunteers. By utilizing the resources of the community (human, material, and financial), the necessary construction, engineering, and administration costs can be reduced significantly from the cost for the installation of the same improvements through conventional construction methods. The self-help response to water and sewer needs may not be appropriate in every community. In most cases, the decision by a community to utilize self-help to obtain needed water and sewer facilities is based on the realization of the community that it cannot afford even a basic water or sewer system based on the initial construction costs and the operations/maintenance costs (including debt service costs) for water or sewer facilities installed through conventional financing and construction methods.	
Ī	D 11 11 (11 11 11	STEP assistance is available as needed. The following are the criteria to be used by	
	Describe all of the criteria	CDBG staff for assessments and applications under the Texas STEP Fund:	
	that will be used to select	a. Project Impact	
	applications and the	b. STEP Characteristics, Merits of the Project, and Local Effort	
	relative importance of	c. Past Participation and Performance	
	these criteria.	d. Percentage of Savings off of the retail price e. Benefit to Low/Moderate-Income Persons	
•	If only summary criteria	C. Bellett to Low/ Woderate Treasure	
	were described, how can		
	potential applicants		
	access application	Guidelines and additional program documentation can be found on TDA's website at	
	manuals or other	www.texasagriculture.gov.	
	state publications		
	describing the application		
	criteria? (CDBG only)		
-	Describe how resources		
	will be allocated among	Deobligated funds up to \$1,000,000 are made available for the STEP Fund on the first	
	funding categories.	day of the program year.	
-	Describe threshold factors		
	and grant size limits.	Maximum \$350,000/Minimum \$0	
	What are the outcome		
	measures expected as a		
	result of the method of	Activities Benefiting LMI Persons	
	distribution?		
\dashv	State Program Name:	Urgent Need Fund	
)	State Flugiaili Ivaille.	Orgenic Need Fullu	

Funding Sources:	CDBG	
	Urgent Need ("UN") Fund assistance is available for activities that will restore water	
	and/or sewer infrastructure whose sudden failure has resulted in death, illness,	
	injury, or poses an imminent threat to life or health within the affected applicant's	
	jurisdiction. The infrastructure failure must not be the result of a lack of	
	maintenance and must be unforeseeable. An application for UN Fund assistance will	
Describe the state	not be accepted until discussions between the potential applicant and	
program addressed by the	program addressed by the representatives of TDA, TWDB, and the Texas Commission on Environmental Qual	
Method of Distribution.	("TCEQ") have taken place. Through these discussions, a determination shall be	
	made whether the situation meets eligibility requirements and if a potential	
	applicant should be invited to submit an application for the UN Fund.	
	Construction on an UN Fund project must begin within ninety (90) days from the	
	start date of the CDBG contract. TDA may de-obligate the funds under an UN Fund	
	contract if the grantee fails to meet this requirement.	

To qualify for the UN Fund:

- 1. The situation addressed by the applicant must not be related to a proclaimed state or federal disaster declaration.
- 2. The situation addressed by the applicant must be both unanticipated and beyond the control of the local government (e.g., not for facilities or equipment beyond their normal, useful life span).
- 3. The problem being addressed must be of recent origin. For UN assistance, this means that the situation first occurred or was first discovered no more than 30 days prior to the date that the potential applicant provides a written request to the TDA for UN assistance. UN funds cannot fund projects to address a situation that has been known for more than 30 days or should have been known would occur based on the applicant's existing system facilities.
- 4. Each applicant for these funds must demonstrate that local funds or funds from other state or federal sources are not available to completely address the problem.
- 5. The applicant must provide documentation from an engineer or other qualified professional that the infrastructure failure cannot have resulted from a lack of maintenance or been caused by operator error.
- 6. UN funds cannot be used to restore infrastructure that has been cited previously for failure to meet minimum state standards.
- 7. The infrastructure requested by the applicant cannot include back-up or redundant systems.
- 8. The UN Fund will not finance temporary solutions to the problem or circumstance.
- 9. TDA may consider whether funds under an existing CDBG contract are available to be reallocated to address the situation, if eligible.
- 10. The distribution of these funds will be coordinated with other state agencies. Each applicant for UN Funds must provide matching funds. If the applicant's most recent Census population is equal to or fewer than 1,500 persons, the applicant must provide matching funds equal to 10 percent of the CDBG funds requested. If the applicant's most recent Census population is over 1,500 persons, the applicant must provide matching funds equal to 20 percent of the CDBG funds requested. For county applications where the beneficiaries of the water or sewer improvements are located in unincorporated areas, the population category for matching funds is based on the number of project beneficiaries.

Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.

	If only summary criteria		
	were described, how can		
	potential applicants		
	access application	Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on	
	manuals or other	TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.	
	state publications		
	describing the application		
	criteria? (CDBG only)		
	Describe how resources	No finade will be allocated on the finat day of the Ducardon Vegru become the consent	
	will be allocated among	No funds will be allocated on the first day of the Program Year; however, the amount for this funding category may be adjusted during the 2017 PY as needed.	
	funding categories.	Tor this fullding category may be adjusted during the 2017 FT as needed.	
	Describe threshold factors	Maximum \$250,000/Minimum \$25,000	
	and grant size limits.		
	What are the outcome		
	measures expected as a	Meet other community development needs of particular urgency which represent an	
	result of the method of	immediate threat to the health and safety of residents of the community.	
	distribution?		
21	State Program Name:	NHTF ¹	
	Funding Sources:	NHTF	
		It is planned that the NHTF Program will award loans to for-profit and nonprofit multifamily developers to construct and rehabilitate multifamily affordable housing.	
	Describe the state program	Because the NHTF is required to benefit ELI households at 30% of AMI or less, the	
	addressed by the Method of	units will likely not be able to service a debt payment. The constraints on NHTF	
	Distribution.	dictate that the funds be available as 0% interest, deferred payment loan, or as 0%	
		interest cash flow loans, if required, to leverage with tax credits or other financing mechanisms.	

¹ Based on October 27, 2016 letter from HUD, changes to NHTF Allocation Plan may, and are continuing to, be made after submission of 2017 OYAP.

Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.	TDHCA's Texas Administrative Code Chapters 10 and 13 set forth a minimum set of requirements that document a project owner's readiness to proceed with the development as evidenced by site control, notification of local officials, the availability of permanent financing, appropriate zoning for the site, and market and environmental studies. Additionally, the development must be near certain community assets. TDHCA Multifamily Direct Loan Program funds are typically awarded on a first-come, first-served basis, as long as the criteria above are met. Fo NHTF Multifamily Development applications layered with 9% HTCs, the highest scoring applications in the 9% cycle that also request NHTF funds take priority over
	lower scoring NHTF Multifamily Development applications that may have been received earlier. Applications that will create new ELI units without preexisting vouchers or other rental subsidy will be prioritized, and additional criteria may be imposed for applications not layered with tax credits.
Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories.	NHTF will not be allocated among funding categories. The requirement to serve ELI households already meets a set aside category in TDHCA Multifamily programs.
Describe threshold factors and grant size limits.	TDHCA's Uniform Texas Administrative Code Chapters 10 and 13 set forth a minimum set of requirements that document a project owner's readiness to proceed with the development as evidenced by site control, notification of local officials, the availability of permanent financing, experience of the developer, appropriate zoning for the site, and a market and environmental study. Additionally, the development must be near certain community assets such as a bank, pharmacy, or medical office and have certain unit amenities and common amenities. Awards of NHTF will be integrated into the TDHCA Multifamily Direct Loan Program. Awards funds may range from approximately \$300,000 to \$3 million per application in the form of a loan for this program.
What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of distribution?	Assistance to ELI households.

CDBG - ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION 2016 ACTION PLAN - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND

Funds for projects under the CD Fund are allocated among the 24 State planning regions based on the following:

The original CD formula is used to allocate 40% of the annual State CDBG allocation.

- Original CD formula (40%) factors:
 - a. Non-Entitlement Population 30%
 - b. Number of Persons in Poverty 25%
 - c. Percentage of Poverty Persons 25%
 - d. Number of Unemployed Persons 10%
 - e. Percentage of Unemployed Persons 10%
- To the extent possible, the information used to calculate the regional allocations through these factors will be based on the eligible non-entitlement applicants within each region. The population and poverty information used is from the current available decennial census data. The unemployment information used is the current available annual average information. TDA does not provide priorities for allocation of funds geographically to areas of minority concentration as described in Section 91.320(f).

The HUD formula is used to allocate 21.71% of the annual State CDBG allocation.

- The formula is the same methodology that HUD uses to allocate CDBG funds among the States for use in non-entitlement areas. The HUD factors, percentages, and methodology are specified in 42 USC. §5306(d). TDA will use available data to calculate the allocations to each region.
- Using the HUD methodology, the allocation for each region shall be the greater of an amount that bears the same ratio to the allocation for all 24 regions available as either:

(A) the average of the ratios between:

- o the population of the non-entitlement areas in that region and the population of the nonentitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted one time 25% weight);
- o the extent of poverty in the non-entitlement areas in that region and the extent of poverty in the non-entitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted two times 50% weight); and
- o the extent of housing overcrowding in the non-entitlement areas in that region and the extent of housing overcrowding in the non-entitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted one time 25% weight); OR

(B) the average of the ratios between:

- o the age of housing in the non-entitlement areas in that region and the age of housing in the nonentitlement areas in all 24 regions (counted two and one half times 50% weight);
- o the extent of poverty in the non-entitlement areas in that region and the extent of poverty in the non-entitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted one and one half times 30% weight); and

o the population of the non-entitlement areas in that region and the population of the nonentitlement areas of all 24 regions (counted one time - 20% weight).

CDBG - ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION 2016 ACTION PLAN - DEOBLIGATED FUNDS

Deobligated Funds

On the first day of the program year, deobligated funds will be made available to the fund categories as described in Table 4. Any unallocated deobligated funds and other available program income (not derived from TCF real estate projects) will be allocated as follows:

- 1. 20% shall be allocated to the DR Fund;
- 2. 80% shall be allocated to those fund categories that do not have allocations prescribed by federal or state law.

The allocation shall be based on the pro-rata share of the percentages specified in Section AP-30 of this Action Plan. Allocations to the CD Fund will be distributed to each of the 24 Planning Regions based upon the methodology used in calculating the annual regional allocation. Allocations to regions that either (a) have no eligible applications, or (b) cannot fully fund the next highest ranking applications will be made available to the CD Fund (to other regions with eligible applications) to be allocated in a manner to maximize the number of fully funded applications or to the DR Fund.

If the total funds available to the DR Fund on August 1 is less than \$1,000,000, TDA may make available to the DR Fund the greater of \$700,000 or 20% of the funds deobligated since the beginning of the program year.

CDBG - ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION 2016 ACTION PLAN - UNOBLIGATED FUNDS

Unobligated Funds

For an award that is withdrawn from an applicant, the TDA follows different procedures for the use of those recaptured funds depending on the fund category in which the award is withdrawn.

- 1. The CD Fund funds from the withdrawal of an award shall be offered to the next highest ranked applicant from that region that was not recommended to receive an award due to depletion of the region's allocation. A marginal amount may be offered to the next highest ranked applicant as long as the amount of funds still available exceeds the minimum CD Fund grant amount. Any funds remaining from a regional allocation that are not accepted by an applicant, that are not offered to an applicant, or remain due to lack of additional, unfunded applications, may be allocated among regions with eligible, unfunded applications. If unallocated to another region, they are then subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.
- 2. The PCB Fund funds from the withdrawal of a PCB award are offered to the next highest ranked applicant that was not recommended to receive an award due to depletion of the fund's annual

allocation. A marginal amount may be offered to the next highest ranked applicant as long as the amount of funds still available exceeds the minimum grant amount. Any funds remaining from the allocation that are not accepted by an applicant from the statewide competition or that are not offered to an applicant from the statewide competition may be used for other CDBG fund categories and, if unallocated to another fund, are then subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.

- 3. The Colonia Funds funds from the withdrawal of any Colonia Fund award remain available to potential Colonia Fund applicants during that program year. If unallocated within the Colonia Fund, funds then may be used for other CDBG fund categories to fund eligible projects or activities that assist colonia residents. Remaining unallocated funds are then subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.
- 4. DR/UN Funds funds from the withdrawal of a DR/UN award remain available to potential DR/UN Fund applicants during that program year. If unallocated within the DR/UN Fund, the funds are subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.
- 5. The STEP Fund funds from the withdrawal of a STEP award will remain available to potential STEP applicants. If there are no unfunded STEP applicants, then the funds would be available for other CDBG fund categories. Any unallocated STEP funds are subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.
- 6. The TCF funds from the withdrawal of a Main Street, Downtown Revitalization or Small and Micro Enterprise Revolving Fund award shall be offered to the next highest ranked application that was not recommended to receive an award due to depletion the program's allocation. Funds from the withdrawal of a Real Estate and Infrastructure award shall be made available in the next monthly round of competition. Any unallocated TCF funds are then subject to the procedures used to allocate Deobligated Funds.

CDBG - ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION 2017 ACTION PLAN - PROGRAM INCOME Program Income

Program income is defined as gross income received by a state, a unit of general local government, or a subrecipient of a unit of general local government that was generated from the use of CDBG funds. When program income is generated by an activity that is only partially funded with CDBG funds, the income shall be prorated to reflect the percentage of CDBG funds used. Any remaining program income must be returned to the State.

The State may use up to the maximum allowable percentage of the amount recaptured and reportable to HUD each year for administrative expenses under the CDBG Program. This amount will be matched by the State on a dollar-for-dollar basis.

TCF and Revolving Loan Fund ("RLF") Program Income

Funds retained in any existing local RLF must be committed within three years of the original CDBG contract programmatic close date. At least one eligible loan/award from the local RLF must be made every three years. Every award from the RLF must be used to fund the same type of activity from which such income was derived. A local RLF may retain a cash balance not greater than 33% of its total cash and outstanding loan balance. All activities funded with RLF funds must comply with CDBG regulations and rules and guidelines. If a local government does not comply with the RLF requirements, all program income retained in the local RLF and any future program income received from the proceeds of the RLF must be returned to the State.

To the extent there are eligible applications, program income derived from the TCF real estate projects will be used to fund awards under the TCF. Other available program income shall be allocated based on the methodology used to allocate Deobligated Funds.

Discussion

The distribution process for 4% HTC Program, 9% HTC Program, HHSP, Housing Trust Fund Program, MCC Program, My First Texas Home Program, NSP PI Program, Section 8 HCV Program, Section 811 PRA Program, and TCAP Loan Repayments can be found in the documents that govern these programs, all available at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/. The CDBG Colonia Set-Aside Methods of Distribution will be included in Action Plan Section 48, which is specifically about colonias.

Along with selecting appropriate entities to administer funding, the State must ensure that the funding is appropriately spent. For example, in addition to an output measure of the number of clients/households supported with HOPWA housing subsidies assistance, AAs routinely monitor Project Sponsors for compliance and performance. DSHS monitors the AAs and annually compiles AAs' and Project Sponsors program progress reports and reviews cumulative data for number of households assisted compared to goals, expenditures, and stability outcomes of households served. More information on CPD Programs monitoring efforts are described in Strategic Plan Section 80, Monitoring. Additional detail on the Method of Distribution for CDBG funds is included as an attachment

AP-35 Projects – (Optional)

Introduction

At the time of submission of the State of Texas 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan, project information will not have been entered in the Annual Action Plan-35 Projects table. Per Consolidated Plan Guidance Released on February 2014, project-level detail for states is not required because the State does not initiate specific projects or activities. This guidance continues for the 2017 OYAP.

#	Project Name

Table 20 - Project Information

Describe the reasons for allocation priorities and any obstacles to addressing underserved needs

Because no projects have been entered in this section, this section is not applicable. Allocation priorities are discussed in Action Plan Section 25, which also includes meeting special needs. Actions to meeting underserved needs are found in Action Plan Section 85.

CDBG-DR allocation priorities can be found in the CDBG-DR Action Plan at: http://www.glo.texas.gov/GLO/disaster-recovery/index.html

AP-40 Section 108 Loan Guarantee – 91.320(k)(1)(ii)

Will the state help non-entitlement units of general local government to apply for Section 108 loan funds?

No

Available Grant Amounts

Not applicable.

Acceptance process of applications

Not applicable.

AP-45 Community Revitalization Strategies – 91.320(k)(1)(ii)

Will the state allow units of general local government to carry out community revitalization strategies?

Yes

State's Process and Criteria for approving local government revitalization strategies

TDA's CDBG program operates four programs that stimulate job creation/retention activities that primarily benefit LMI persons, prevent/eliminate slum and blight conditions, and support community planning efforts.

The **TCF Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Programs** provides grants and/or loans for Real Estate and Infrastructure Development to create or retain permanent jobs in primarily rural communities and counties.

The **Downtown Revitalization Program** is intended to stimulate economic growth through the funding of public infrastructure improvements to aid in the elimination of slum and blight conditions in the historic downtown areas of rural communities. The program is only available to "non-entitlement" city governments. Non-entitlement cities do not receive direct funding from HUD and typically include cities with a population of less than 50,000. Awarded cities receive funds to make public infrastructure improvements in the designated historic, downtown business district. Projects must meet the national objective of aiding in the elimination of slum and/or blighted conditions identified by city resolution. The improvements must directly support the revitalization of the city's designated downtown area.

The Main Street Development Program is intended to stimulate economic growth through the funding of public infrastructure improvements to aid in the elimination of slum and blight conditions in the historic downtown areas of rural communities identified by the Texas Historical Commission as a Main Street Community. The program is only available to "non-entitlement" city governments that are also designated as an official Texas Main Street City by the Texas Historical Commission. Non-entitlement cities do not receive direct funding from HUD and typically include cities with a population of less than 50,000. Awarded cities receive funds to make public infrastructure improvements in the designated Main Street business district. Projects must meet the national objective of aiding in the elimination of slum and/or blighted conditions identified by city resolution. The improvements must directly support the revitalization of the city's designated main street area.

The **Planning and Capacity Building Fund** is a competitive grant program for local public facility and housing planning activities. Localities apply for financial assistance to prepare a "comprehensive plan" or any of its components. Typical activities regard topics such as: Base Mapping, Land Use, Housing, Population, Economic Development and/or Tourism, Central Business District, Street Conditions, Thoroughfares, Parks and Recreation, Water Distribution and Supply, Wastewater Collection and Treatment, Drainage (streets & flood hazard areas), Gas or Electric Systems (if owned by the locality),

Community Facilities, Capital Improvements Program, Zoning Ordinance, Subdivision Regulation. Section 105(a) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, outlines all the generally eligible activities.

AP-48 Method of Distribution for Colonias Set-aside – 91.320(d)&(k) Introduction

Distribution Methods

State Program Name	Funding Sources
Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program	CDBG
Legislative Set-Aside	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia Planning and Construction Funds	CDBG
Colonias Set-Aside. Colonia Fianning and Constituction Funds	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
Colonias Set-Aside: Colonia SHC Legislative Set-Aside (administered by	CDBG
TDHCA)	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
Colonias Set-Aside: Colonias to Cities Initiative Program	CDBG
Colonias Set-Aside. Colonias to Cities initiative Program	CDBG Colonias Set-aside
Community Development Fund	CDBG
Community Enhancement Fund	CDBG
Disaster Relief Funds	CDBG
General HOME Funds for Single-Family Activities	HOME
HOME Multifamily Development	HOME
Planning/Capacity Building Fund	CDBG
State Mandated Contract for Deed Conversion Set-Aside	HOME
TCF Main Street Program	CDBG
TCF Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Programs	CDBG
Texas Capital Fund Downtown Revitalization Program	CDBG
Texas ESG Program	ESG
Texas HOPWA Program	HOPWA
Texas Small Towns Environment Program Fund	CDBG
Urgent Need Fund	CDBG
Local Revolving Loan Funds	CDBG

Table 21 - Distribution Methods by State Program for Colonias Set-aside

State Programs Addressed

Colonia Economically Distressed Areas Program ("CEDAP") Legislative Set-Aside fund provides funding to eligible cities and counties to assist colonia residents that cannot afford the cost of service lines, service connections, and plumbing improvements associated with being connected to a TWDB Economically Distressed Area Program or similar water or sewer system improvement project.

Criteria and their importance

The TDA will evaluate the following factors prior to awarding CEDAP funds:

- The proposed use of the CDBG funds including the eligibility of the proposed activities and the
 effective use of the funds to provide water or sewer connections/yard lines to water/sewer
 systems funded through Economically Distressed Area Program or similar program;
- The ability of the applicant to utilize the grant funds in a timely manner;
- The availability of funds to the applicant for project financing from other sources;
- The applicant's past performance on previously awarded CDBG contracts;
- Cost per beneficiary; and
- Proximity of project site to entitlement cities or metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs").

CDBG only: Access of application manuals

Guidelines, applications and additional program documentation can be found on TDA's website at www.texasagriculture.gov.

Resource Allocation among Funding Categories

The allocation is distributed on an as-needed basis.

Threshold Factors and Grant Size Limits

Maximum \$1,000,000/Minimum \$75,000

Outcome Measures expected as results of Distribution Method

Activities Benefiting LMI Persons

Discussion

Texas has the largest number of colonias and the largest colonia population of all the border states. The method of distribution for funds set aside to serve colonias relies on subgrantees along the Texas-Mexico border as well as interagency cooperation between TDHCA, TDA, TWDB, the Office of the Attorney General, and others. The majority of the funding that assists colonias is through infrastructure development, but funds are also available to address housing, community planning, economic revitalization and disaster relief. TDHCA's role in administering colonia funding is limited to the Colonia SHCs (2.5% set-aside of all Texas' CDBG funds) and HOME colonia set-aside. TDHCA has strategically placed Border Field Offices along the Texas-Mexico Border that supports SHC staff with problem solving and training. The Border Field Offices exist to provide local technical assistance directly to both colonia residents and the organizations that serve colonia residents. TDHCA also works in concert with other state agencies on a regular basis—namely TDA and the Texas Secretary of State—to coordinate efforts and exchange information in order enhance service delivery.

The majority of the funding that assists colonias is through the CDBG Program. However, HOME has a specific set-aside for colonias. In addition, ESG and HOPWA may also provide funding in that area, as described in Action Plan Section 30.

AP-50 Geographic Distribution – 91.320(f)

Description of the geographic areas of the state (including areas of low-income and minority concentration) where assistance will be directed

HOME Addresses Geographic Areas for Assistance

TDHCA does not provide priorities for allocation of investment geographically to areas of minority concentration; however, the geographic distribution of HOME funds to minority populations is analyzed annually. TDHCA is statutorily required by the Texas Government Code to provide a comprehensive statement of its activities through the State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report. Part of this document describes the ethnic and racial composition of families and individuals receiving assistance from each housing program.

HOME funds used for multifamily development are typically paired with tax-exempt bond and/or HTC. TDHCA rules that govern the HTC Program include incentives for developments utilizing the competitive 9% HTC in high opportunity areas which are defined as high-income, low-poverty areas and are not typically minority-concentrated, but it also provides incentive to develop in colonias or economically distressed areas. Developments using tax-exempt bond financing and 4% HTCs are more frequently located in qualified census tracts due to federal guidelines that cause these to be more financially viable.

ESG Addresses Geographic Areas for Assistance

Assistance provided by ESG funds will be directed statewide, according to the 11 HUD-designated CoC regions. TDHCA does not provide priorities for allocating investment geographically to areas of minority concentration as described in Section 91.320(d).

HOPWA Addresses Geographic Areas for Assistance

The Texas HOPWA funding allocations are geographically distributed according to the 26 HIV HSDAs. Allocations are based on several factors, including past performance of Project Sponsors and unmet need, with the majority of Texas HOPWA clients (83% in 2015) classified as extremely low and low income. Allocations generally mirror the Ryan White Program allocation formula, which takes into account population of PLWH, HIV incidence, number of PLWH accessing Ryan White services, percent of PLWH eligible for Medicaid, and other considerations. The allocations are then adjusted based on unmet need, prior performance and expenditures, geographic-specific data provided by Project Sponsors, and any other relevant factors. Many of these individuals reside in areas of minority concentration and most PLWH are racial and ethnic minorities, so the program allocates funding to meet the needs of PLWH in Texas.

CDBG Addresses Geographic Areas for Assistance

TDA does not provide priorities for allocation of funds geographically to areas of minority concentration as described in Section 91.320(f). CDBG funds are allocated across the state in three ways.

1. The CD Fund assigns a percentage of the annual allocation to each of the 24 Regional COGs, ensuring that each region of the state receives a portion of the funds.

- 2. The Colonia Fund directs funding to communities within 150 miles of the Texas-Mexico border. All remaining funds are distributed through state-wide competitions without geographic priorities.
- 3. For the Colonia SHCs, centers are established along the Texas-Mexico border in Cameron/Willacy, Hidalgo, Starr, Webb, Maverick, Val Verde, and El Paso counties. The SHC Program serves approximately 35 colonias in seven border counties, which are comprised of primarily Hispanic households and have concentrations of very low-income households.

NHTF Geographic Priorities description is added to Discussion section text below.

Geographic Distribution

Target Area	Percentage of Funds
State of Texas	100

Table 22 - Geographic Distribution

Rationale for the priorities for allocating investments geographically

HOME Addresses Geographic Investments

HOME funds are allocated geographically using a regional allocation formula ("RAF"), as described in Strategic Plan Section 10. This process directs funds to areas of the State that demonstrate high need. In addition, HOME funds other than HOME funds serving PWDs administered by TDHCA are primarily used in areas that are not Participating Jurisdictions ("PJs") per statute. This results in more HOME funds in smaller communities than in the larger Metropolitan Statistical Areas ("MSAs") that receive HOME funds directly from HUD. The current RAF is online at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/housing-center/pubs-plans.htm.

ESG Addresses Geographic Investments

TDHCA plans to take the allocation formula out for public comment with the draft NOFA in late Fall 2016. CoC regions have funding made available for competition according to the combination of the region's proportionate share of the state's total of a number of factors. Factors used in the 2016 ESG distribution were homeless population, based on the most recent Point-in-Time count submitted to HUD by the CoCs and the region's proportionate share of people living in poverty, based on the most recent 5-year American Community Survey poverty data published by the Census Bureau. Factors to be considered in the 2017 ESG distribution will be homeless population, people living in poverty, cost burden of renters, and other relevant factors. For the purposes of distributing funds in 2016, the percentage of statewide homeless population is weighted at 75% while the percentage of statewide population in poverty is weighted at 25%. The relation of factors under consideration in 2017 will be based on percentages for each region.

HOPWA Addresses Geographic Investments

At the end of 2014, approximately 80,073 people in Texas were known to be living with HIV and it is estimated that an additional 17,000 people in Texas are living with HIV but are currently unaware of their status. The number of Texans living with HIV increases each year and in order to meet the needs of low-income PLWH in Texas, many of whom live in areas of minority concentration, the HOPWA funding allocations are geographically distributed across the State and are allocated based on several factors, including unmet need.

Six cities in Texas have a population of over 500,000 (Austin, Dallas, Fort Worth, El Paso, Houston, and San Antonio), which are in MSAs funded directly from HUD for HOPWA. Although the Texas HOPWA program can operate in any area of the State, the State program serves all counties not covered under the MSAs' jurisdictions, with some overlap of counties between the State and the MSAs. As a result, Texas HOPWA covers all of the rural areas of the State, where many low-income HOPWA clients reside, and funding prioritization is based on areas with greater unmet need for PLWH.

CDBG Addresses Geographic Investments

Texas CDBG Funds for projects under the CD Fund are allocated by formula to 24 regions based on the

methodology that HUD uses to allocate CDBG funds to the non-entitlement state programs (21.71% of annual allocation), along with a state formula based on poverty and unemployment (40% of annual allocation). In addition, 12.5% of the annual allocation is allocated to projects under the Colonia Fund categories, which must be expended within 150 miles of the Texas-Mexico border.

For the Colonia SHCs, state legislative mandate designates five centers along the Texas-Mexico border in Cameron/Willacy, Hidalgo, Starr, Webb and El Paso counties to address the long history of poverty and lack of institutional resources. In 2001, TDHCA added two additional SHCs in Maverick and Val Verde counties. These two counties collectively have approximately 42,000 colonia residents who may qualify to access center services.

NHTF Addresses Geographic Investments

NHTF funds are allocated geographically using a Regional Allocation Formula, as described in Strategic Plan Section 10. Acknowledging that all regions of the State have a need to create housing for ELI households, the formula provides opportunity for access to NHTF. This process directs funds to areas of the State that demonstrate high need, but the very small amount of the PY 2016 allocation makes it difficult to fully differentiate.

Discussion

Many of the Target Areas available in the Integrated Disbursement and Information System ("IDIS"), HUD's electronic system in which this Plan has been entered, were too detailed for use at the macrolevel; therefore, the State entered the "State of Texas" as a Target Area in Strategic Plan Section 10. Within Texas, each program relies on a formula to distribute funds geographically.

NHTF Geographic Priorities

The Texas NHTF will distribute NHTF funds through a competitive NOFA process. The funds will initially be available geographically, based on the proportion of Extremely Low Income Renter households to the total population of Renter Households in each of thirteen State Service Regions. A minimum will be calculated for each region as a ratio of the available allocation divided by thirteen, and available competitively within each region prior to collapse into a statewide competition.

Affordable Housing

AP-55 Affordable Housing – 24 CFR 91.320(g)

Introduction

Affordable Housing goals for PY 2017 are indicated in the table below for the number of homeless, non-homeless, and special needs households, and for the number of affordable housing units that will be provided by program type, including rental assistance, production of new units, rehabilitation of existing units, utility connections for existing units, or acquisition of existing units. Note that goals entered for ESG are only for Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-housing. The HOME goals include multifamily and single family activities.

One Year Goals for the Number of Households to be Supported	
Homeless	8,314
Non-Homeless	749
Special-Needs	1,776
Total	10,839

Table 23 - One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Requirement

One Year Goals for the Number of Households Supported Through	
Rental Assistance	4,374
The Production of New Units	110
Rehab of Existing Units	70
Acquisition of Existing Units	47
Total	4,601

Table 24 - One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Type

Discussion

The one year goals for TDHCA's HOME Program include homebuyer assistance with possible rehabilitation for accessibility, TBRA, homeowner rehabilitation assistance, rehabilitation of multifamily units, and construction of single-family and multifamily units.

The one year goals for TDHCA's NHTF Program include rehabilitation of multifamily units, and construction of new multifamily units.

TDHCA's ESG Program provides Rapid Re-housing assistance to help homeless individuals and households quickly regain stability in housing. Homelessness Prevention and Emergency Shelter outcome indicators are counted as persons, not households, so is not added into the chart above. ESG also provides street outreach, but as this does not directly equate to affordable housing, it is not counted above.

DSHS' HOPWA Program provides TBRA, STRMU, PHP, and Supportive Services to assist low-income HIV-positive clients and their households to establish or maintain affordable, stable housing, reduce the risk

of homelessness, and improve access to health care and other services. HOPWA serves households with 80% or less of area median income, but a majority of Texas HOPWA households are under 30% AMI and lack of affordable housing is an ongoing issue. DSHS estimates that the HOPWA program will assist 873 unduplicated, income-eligible households with housing subsidy assistance.

Currently, Texas CDBG funds primarily support affordable housing through water and sewer infrastructure for housing. The CDBG funding provides a cost savings for housing when used to install water and sewer yard lines and pay impact and connection fees for qualifying residents. Housing rehabilitation projects are prioritized in several fund categories. CDBG funds also help communities study affordable housing conditions, providing data on affordable housing stock and planning tools for expanding affordable housing. CDBG provides approximately 250 utility connections per year, which are not reflected in the chart above, but could prove essential to obtaining or maintaining housing.

Colonia residents are considered "Special Needs" households who are supported through the production, rehab or acquisition of units. The Colonia SHCs continue to address affordable housing needs in border counties by assisting qualifying colonia residents to improve or maintain a safe, suitable home in suitable areas, with the contribution of the residents' sweat-equity which is required in all housing activities at the SHC. In addition, the Colonia SHCs provide other development opportunities that support the creation of affordable housing for beneficiaries, such as tool lending, and training in home construction and repair, financial literacy, and homeownership skills.

AP-60 Public Housing - 24 CFR 91.320(j)

Introduction

TDHCA believes that the future success of PHAs will center on ingenuity in program design, emphasis on resident participation towards economic self-sufficiency, and partnerships with other organizations to address the needs of this population. While TDHCA does not have any direct or indirect jurisdiction over the management or operations of PHAs, it is important to maintain a relationship with these service providers.

Actions planned during the next year to address the needs to public housing

TDHCA, as a small PHA itself, works with other PHAs around the State to port vouchers when necessary. This is especially true for Project Access, a TDHCA program that uses Section 8 vouchers to serve people with disabilities living in certain institutions by transitioning them into residences in the community, described fully in Action Plan Section 65. For the Project Access Program, an applicant is issued a voucher from TDHCA. To port the voucher, TDHCA works with the Receiving Public Housing Authority ("RPHA") to transfer the documents and the voucher. The voucher holder is briefed and given an introduction on the RPHAs program rules. At this time, the RPHA can decide to absorb the voucher or bill the Initial PHA ("IPHA"). If the RPHA absorbs the voucher, the RPHA will send notice to the IPHA for documentation. This allows TDHCA to use another HCV for another applicant on the Project Access waiting list. If the RPHA bills the IPHA, the RPHA is required to submit a billing notice within an allotted time to the IPHA so payment can be received. In this way, TDHCA and local PHAs work closely together.

HOME Addresses PHA Needs

TDHCA provides notices of funding availability under the HOME Program to interested parties around the State, including PHAs. Furthermore, staff of PHAs, especially those receiving HOME funds and those with Section 8 Homeownership programs, are targeted by TDHCA's Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program for training to provide homebuyer education opportunities and self-sufficiency tools for PHA residents. In addition, PHAs may also administer HOME TBRA funds, enabling them to provide households with rental assistance and services to increase self-sufficiency.

Regarding HOME Multifamily Development that is also financed with the HTC Program, PHAs are incentivized in the QAP to either provide leverage in developments that they own or to provide financing as evidence of support from Local Political Subdivisions for developments which they do not own.

ESG Addresses PHA Needs

PHA residents are eligible to receive assistance and services from ESG Subrecipients, as long as the assistance does not violate Section 576.105(d) of HUD's ESG rules regarding use of funds with other subsidies. Fostering public housing resident initiatives is not an initiative for which TDHCA provides funding or that TDHCA tracks for the ESG Program.

HOPWA Addresses PHA Needs

The HOPWA program administered by DSHS does not provide public housing assistance. However, Project Sponsors coordinate closely with local housing authorities for client referrals and to address local housing issues. HOPWA clients who move into public housing are no longer eligible to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance but are offered HOPWA Supportive Services as needed for transition and if eligible, may continue to receive services through the Ryan White/State Services program.

CDBG Addresses PHA Needs

The Texas CDBG Program serves public housing areas through various funding categories as residents of PHAs qualify as low- to moderate-income beneficiaries for CDBG projects.

CDBG grant recipients must also comply with local Section 3 policies, including outreach to public housing residents and other qualified Section 3 persons in any new employment, training, or contracting opportunities created during the expenditure of CDBG funding.

Actions to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

HOME, ESG, HOPWA, and CDBG are subject to 24 CFR Part 135 which requires that HUD funds invested in housing and community development construction contribute to employment opportunities for low-income persons living in or near the HUD-funded project. These requirements, called Section 3 requirements, are covered at trainings for Subrecipients; persons who may benefit from employment opportunities include PHA residents.

HOME Addresses Public Housing Resident Initiatives

PHAs are eligible to apply to administer HOME funds to provide homebuyer assistance in their areas. PHAs also provide services to increase self-sufficiency, which may include homebuyer counseling services. In addition, TDHCA targets its Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program to PHAs, among other groups, which provide homebuyer education training opportunities and self-sufficiency tools for PHA residents.

ESG Addresses Public Housing Resident Initiatives

PHA residents are eligible to receive assistance and services from ESG Subrecipients, as long as the assistance does not violate Section 576.105(d) of the ESG rules regarding use of funds with other subsidies.

HOPWA Addresses Public Housing Resident Initiatives

The HOPWA program administered by DSHS does not provide public housing assistance. However, Project Sponsors coordinate closely with local PHAs for client referrals and to address local housing issues.

CDBG Addresses Public Housing Resident Initiatives

The CDBG Program serves public housing areas through various funding categories as residents of PHAs qualify as low- to moderate-income beneficiaries for CDBG projects.

If the PHA is designated as troubled, describe the manner in which financial assistance will be provided or other assistance

TDHCA has worked to promote programs that will rehabilitate and bring substandard housing into compliant condition and will develop additional affordable housing units. For example, most of the PHA applications for HTCs are for rehabilitation and the applications for new construction usually include a demolition of the existing units. TDHCA also offers a variety of funding sources for assistance. Most PHAs that apply are usually from larger Metropolitan Statistical Areas, which are PJs and not eligible to receive HOME funding through TDHCA. Consistent with fair housing objectives, TDHCA seeks ways to accomplish these activities in a manner that seeks to place PHA units in areas of greater opportunity and areas that do not involve unacceptable site and area features.

TDHCA has a history of assisting troubled housing authorities and has absorbed vouchers from several PHAs which were having difficulties. HUD identified, in two separate instances, public housing authorities that it thought might be well-advised to have its voucher programs absorbed by TDHCA. The Navasota Housing Authority and the Alamo Area Council of Governments (which was operating as a PHA) each contacted TDHCA to discuss the possibilities of absorbing their housing choice voucher programs. During a series of meetings with HUD staff and the PHAs, discussion resulted in multiple onsite visits. Ultimately, the Navasota Housing Authority and the Alamo Area Council of Governments transferred their voucher programs to TDHCA and HUD reassigned the files' PHA codes.

To expand its work with PHAs, TDHCA has developed a relationship with the Texas Housing Association and the Texas chapter of the National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials ("NAHRO"), which serve the PHAs of Texas. Whenever possible, the State will communicate to PHAs the importance of serving special needs populations.

Discussion

To address PHA needs, TDHCA has designated PHAs as eligible participants in some of its programs, such as the HTC Program, and HOME Program. PHAs have successfully administered HTC funds to rehabilitate or develop affordable rental housing. The PHA needs to submit an application and be awarded in order to access funding.

There are also federal sources available for PHAs that can be paired with HOME. Also through HUDs Rental Assistance Demonstration ("RAD") Program, PHAs can use public housing operating subsidies along with HTC Program once the older PHA units are demolished and replaced with new housing. Because most PHAs using RAD are located in PJs, TDHCA does not anticipate using its HOME funds in conjunction with RAD consistent with its restrictions on HOME fund use in participating jurisdictions, but it is an allowable activity for units in non PJs.

AP-65 Homeless and Other Special Needs Activities – 91.320(h) Introduction

TDHCA will address requirements in 24 CFR §91.320 by using funds to reduce and end homelessness. Each ESG Applicant is required to coordinate with the lead agency of the CoC, which provides services and follows a centralized or coordinated assessment process; has written policies and procedures in place as described by §578.7(a)(8) and (9); and follows a written standard to provide street outreach, emergency shelter, rapid re-housing, and homelessness prevention assistance. To assist low-income individuals and families to avoid becoming homeless, TDHCA requires each ESG Subrecipient to set performance targets that are part of its scoring criteria for the NOFA and part of its contract. For Collaborative Applications, the performance targets to serve certain numbers of persons or households not only applies to the ESG Subrecipient, but is extended to the agreement the ESG Subrecipient had during the application process with its collaborative partners. A Subrecipient must address the housing and supportive service needs of individuals assisted with ESG funds in a plan to move the client toward housing stability.

ESG is one of several programs that work to help transition persons out of institutions, such as the HOPWA Program, Section 811 PRA Program, Project Access Program, Money Follows the Person Program, and the Home and Community-Based Services - Adult Mental Health Program. The HHSCC also works to enhance coordination between housing and service agencies to assist persons transitioning from institutions into community-based settings.

Describe the jurisdictions one-year goals and actions for reducing and ending homelessness including reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The Texas ESG Program provides funds to service providers for outreach to unsheltered homeless persons in order to connect them to emergency shelter, housing, or critical services; and to provide urgent, non-facility-based care to unsheltered homeless people who are unwilling or unable to access emergency shelter, housing, or other appropriate facilities. Of critical importance is assisting the unsheltered homeless with emergency shelter or other placement. Subrecipients serving clients through street outreach will be measured against their targets to help persons experiencing homelessness move into temporary, transitional or permanent housing. Subrecipients conducting street outreach may provide case management, such as assessing housing and service needs; arranging, coordinating, and monitoring the delivery of services; and planning a path to permanent housing stability.

An example of a benefit of a local competition for ESG funds in 2016 was one CoC lead agency's work in Dallas to ensure that the Dallas community had street outreach providers in several parts of the city. The result was three street outreach providers in Dallas in 2016 as compared to one street outreach provider in 2015. The increase in funding for street outreach went from \$18,375 in 2015 to \$157,604 in 2016. An example of a 2016 street outreach provider in Dallas is City House, which focuses on helping homeless, runaway and street youth find stable housing and services. In its street outreach activity, City House includes street-based education and outreach, access to emergency shelter, survival aid,

individual assessments, trauma-informed treatment and counseling, prevention and education activities, information and referrals, crisis intervention and follow-up support.

For clients receiving emergency shelter, rapid re-housing, or homelessness prevention, clients will be required to meet with a case manager not less than once per month with exceptions pursuant to the VAWA and the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act ("FVPSA"). Subrecipients are required to develop a plan to assist program participants to retain permanent housing after the ESG assistance ends.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The ESG Program provides support to organizations that provide emergency services and shelter to homeless persons and households.

If assisting persons experiencing homelessness that are in an emergency shelter, Subrecipients will be measured against their annual targets to help persons experiencing homelessness move into temporary, transitional or permanent housing, or gaining more non-cash benefits at program exit than at program entry. If assisting persons with rapid re-housing or homelessness prevention, Subrecipients will be measured against their annual targets to help persons experiencing or at-risk of homelessness maintain housing for 3 months or more, exit to a permanent housing destination, gain a higher income, or increase their non-cash benefits upon program exit.

An example of a Subrecipient in 2016 which addresses the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of clients is Project Vida in El Paso. Project Vida provided a Collaborative Application with La Posada Home, YWCA Transitional Living Center, El Paso County, and El Paso Alliance. TDHCA has one ESG contract with Project Vida, who then has legal agreements with its partners to provide ESG services. Project Vida provides emergency shelter, case management and recovery services to families with children in its six apartment facilities. La Posada Home provides shelter as well as workshops and support groups, with classes on parenting, healthy relationships, financial management, and conflict resolution. The YWCA Transitional Living Center's shelter offers programs addressing domestic violence, sexual assault and other trauma. El Paso County provides a resource center for youth aging out of foster care with services that focus on developing personal development and competencies. El Paso Alliance runs a peer-developed and peer-run residential alcohol and drug abuse recovery center called Casa Vida de Salud. Services include clinical detox, and residential services for 30-45 days along with intensive outpatient treatment and long term engagement. TDHCA plans to encourage wide array of emergency shelter services for the 2017 ESG competition through its emphasis on performance.

In addition, the State considers transitional housing as having characteristics associated with instability and an increased risk of homelessness, which may allow clients living in transitional housing to access Homelessness Prevention services.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to

permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Per 24 CFR §576.106, ESG funds can be used for short-term and medium-term rental assistance. Per 24 CFR §576.105, ESG funds can be used for a variety of housing relocation and stabilization services such as rental application fees, security deposits, utility deposits, utility payments, and moving costs for homeless individuals or persons at risk of homelessness. Funds can also be used for housing service costs related to housing search and placement, housing stability case management, mediation, legal services, and credit repair. ESG funds can also be used to pay for essential service costs including case management, child care, education services, employment assistance and job training, outpatient health services, legal services, life skills training, mental health services, substance abuse treatment services, transportation, and costs related to serving special populations. It should be noted that, while the assistance listed above are eligible under ESG, an ESG Subrecipient may choose to not provide all the assistance listed. ESG Subrecipients specify in their written standards which services they will provide.

An example of a 2016 ESG Subrecipient that runs a rapid re-housing and homelessness prevention program is Salvation Army of Waco. Its rapid re-housing clients receive case management, including goal setting; assistance with housing search and relocation services; deposits and rent from 1-12 months depending on their need. Case management support continues during the time the rent is provided and follow-up is conducted at 30, 90 days, and at one year.

TDHCA acknowledges the change in the definition of chronically homeless, which was published in the Federal Register on December 4, 2015, and effective January 15, 2016. The new definition applies to clients of TDHCA's 2015 ESG Subrecipients assisted on or after the effective date, and TDHCA's ESG Subrecipients for future awards, per the revision to 24 CFR §91.5.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); or, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

ESG funds actively promote coordination with community providers and integration with mainstream services to marshal available resources. One performance measure for Subrecipients may be their ability to help increase non-cash benefits for program participants; the Subrecipients would help program participants obtain non-ESG resources, such as veterans benefits or food stamps. For 2016 ESG, one new performance measure for rapid re-housing clients is residence prior to entry, which measures the number of clients that exited a public institution or system of care, such as a health-care facility, a mental health facility, foster care or other youth facility, or correction program or institution, and

entered the rapid re-housing program. By tracking residence prior to entry, TDHCA will better be able to target assistance.

Individuals eligible for the State's HOPWA Program who are exiting from an institution receive a comprehensive housing plan and linkage and referrals to health professionals from a case manager. The State HOPWA Program provides TBRA, which can be used to transition persons from institutions into stable housing. Some project sponsors also provide rental deposits and application fees.

Other programs included in this Plan also address persons transitioning from institutions. For example, TDHCA has received awards totaling more than \$24 million for the Section 811 PRA Program. The program will help extremely low-income individuals with disabilities and their families by providing more than 600 new integrated supportive housing units in eight areas of the state. Members of the target population include individuals transitioning out of institutions; people with severe mental illness; and youth with disabilities transitioning out of the state's foster care system. Individuals in the Section 811 PRA Target Population are eligible for assistance from the Texas Health and Human Services agencies, are Medicaid-eligible, and could be at-risk of housing instability and/or homelessness.

Coordination between housing and the Health and Human Services ("HHS") agencies is exemplified by the Project Access and Money Follows the Person programs. Project Access uses Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers administered by TDHCA to assist low-income persons with disabilities transitioning from nursing homes and Intermediate Care Facilities ("ICFs") to the community, while using the Money Follows the Person Program to provide services by HHS agencies. Since it began in 2002, the TDHCA Governing Board approved changes to Project Access based on input from advocates and the HHS agencies, such as incremental increases to vouchers from 35 to 140 and creation of a pilot program with DSHS for persons with disabilities transitioning out of State Psychiatric Hospitals.

In addition, TDHCA offers the use of TBRA to individuals on the Project Access Wait List, allowing him/her to live in the community until she/he can utilize a Project Access voucher. TDHCA conducted outreach and technical assistance to Department of Aging and Disability Services ("DADS") Relocation Specialists and HOME TBRA Administrators to help them serve individuals on the Project Access wait list.

To further address the needs of individuals transitioning from institutions, HHSCC, codified in Texas Government Code, Chapter 2306, Subchapter NN, seeks to increase coordination of housing and health services, by supporting agencies to pursue funding, such as Relocation Contractor services for people with behavioral health challenges and Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities; Medicaid waiver programs; vouchers from PHAs for people with disabilities and aging Texans; housing resources from the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for people with criminal histories transitioning to the community; and DSHS' rental assistance program.

HHSCC also encourages the coordination between TDHCA and DSHS for DSHS' new Home and Community-Based Services: Adult Mental Health Program. This program will serve individuals with

Serious Mental Illness who have long-term or multiple stays in the State's Mental Health Facilities.

Discussion

The Texas ESG Program is designed to assist, assess and, where possible, shelter the unsheltered homeless; to quickly re-house persons who have become homeless and provide support to help them maintain housing; and to provide support that helps persons at risk of becoming homeless maintain their current housing. Other special needs populations are described in Action Plan Section 25.

AP-70 HOPWA Goals - 91.320(k)(4)

One year goals for the number of households to be provided housing through the use of HOPWA for	
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or	406
family	400
Tenant-based rental assistance	467
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with	0
HOPWA funds	0
Total	873

AP-75 Barriers to affordable housing – 91.320(i)

Introduction

The Phase 2 Analysis of Impediments ("AI") identifies impediments to fair housing choice in the State of Texas and action steps that the State intends to take to address identified impediments. This document describes state and local regulatory and land use barriers in detail. It may be accessed at https://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/fair-housing/policy-guidance.htm.

TDHCA staff developed a database to track agency goals, efforts, and progress made under the Phase 2 Al. The Fair Housing Tracking database provides the Department with an ability to pull basic metrics and provide reports by Al Goals, Impediments, Action Items, and other meaningful search criteria. This assists the state in identifying areas of improvement and success under its HUD-related obligation to affirmatively further fair housing choice. The design of the database was completed in 2014; however, the content of the database is maintained on an ongoing basis.

The AI included several suggestions on countering negative effects of public policy as it concerned two areas - land use and zoning and Not-In-My-Backyard Syndrome ("NIMBYism"). In order to avoid the difficulty, expense, and uncertainty that NIMBYism can engender, developers often focus on areas where their proposed developments are well supported. Changes in the scoring of the State's HTC Program provide incentives to develop in high opportunity areas. High opportunity areas include places with low poverty rates, higher income areas, and a menu of other community amenities such as access public transportation, parks, libraries, museums, and recreational facilities. Cases of NIMBYism can be difficult to track; it is hard to measure where NIMBYism occurs most often. The cases of NIMBYism most often associated with proposed multifamily developments, although not exclusive to these areas, NIMBYism appear anecdotally to be more likely to occur in areas with socioeconomic and housing homogeneity. To assist the State in gathering data on how elected officials, communities, and local governments are impacted by NIMBYism sentiments and to help the State in countering NIMBY messaging, TDHCA periodically outsources with universities and private consulting firms for studies, market analyses, and special projects. Guidance and resources to support affordable housing are provided through TDHCA's Fair Housing website, along with the Fair Housing listserv and community events calendar

TDHCA and the Texas Workforce Commission Civil Rights Division ("TWC CRD") annually collaborate on a Fair Housing webinar series. The series includes training sessions providing general fair housing information and specific sessions on HUD's new AFH rule, how to response to reasonable accommodation requests, and best practices in multifamily properties. The webinars are available for free 24/7 on TDHCA's website at: https://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/fair-housing/presentations.htm.

Actions it planned to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment

TDHCA reviews all guiding documents, rules, and practices internally to determine if known barriers or impediments to furthering fair housing choice can be addressed through actions within TDHCA's power. The Department's Fair Housing, Data Management, and Reporting group continues ongoing interviews with Division Directors originally held in spring 2014. Initial recommendations and actions were noted for each program as well as a list of 15 cross-Divisional recommendations that included items such as improved Affirmative Marketing Rules, improved Language Assistance Plan guidance, a better internal mechanism for Fair Housing training, Fair Housing Team reviews of rule changes and NOFA documents, etc. TDHCA has been making and will continue to make a concerted effort to review and move forward on key recommendations and to increase staff and subrecipient education to ensure that all programs are providing best practices guidance to recipients and the general public.

TDHCA acts as an information resource for affordable housing studies and information. A project between TDHCA (including HHSCC) and the University of Texas has resulted in a Fair Housing public service message campaign with videos in support of affordable housing, fair housing rights, and Service-Enriched Housing.

In 2016, the Texas Workforce Commission Civil Rights Division ("TWCCRD") received a HUD Partnership grant for enforcement, outreach, and education. With the grant, TWCCRD will focus its outreach in some of the fastest growing areas and most underserved areas of our State, including the Rio Grande Valley, and the metropolitan areas surrounding Dallas, Austin, and Houston. TWCCRD will also provide training and attend conferences and educational events related to the issue of disability discrimination prevention. TWCCRD will continue utilizing social media, online advertising, outreach materials for distribution in the targeted areas, events and educational audiovisual materials to expand the number of individuals reached.

On August 17, 2015, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") adopted the Final Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule ("AFFH" or "the rule"), detailing what recipients of block grant CPD funds and Public Housing funds must do to affirmatively further fair housing and the tool by which they can identify those steps. The rule requires that Units of Government take "meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics." The rule replaces the Analysis of Impediments ("AI") to Fair Housing Choice with a new Assessment of Fair Housing ("AFH") tool. The AFH Tool uses HUD-generated data, and a significant community participation process, to identify areas of disparity, patterns of integration and segregation, and disproportionate housing needs. With the information generated through the AFH tool and AFFH, Units of Government are responsible for identifying fair housing issues and contributing factors, assigning priorities to contributing factors, setting goals for overcoming prioritized contributing factors, and maintaining records of progress in achieving goals.

The new process directly links the AFH tool and its identified goals with the Unit of Government's HUD-required program planning document (its Consolidated Plan or for a PHA, its 5-Year PHA Plan). Fair

housing goals and priorities from the AFH are expected to be incorporated into the actual programming and proposed use of the HUD funds. Fair Housing staff are reviewing the AFFH rule and beginning to implement changes into the citizen participation plan. The first AFH tool is anticipated to be due to HUD from the State of Texas in May 2019, pending release of the final state AFH tool.

Discussion

In 2015 TDHCA revised the Uniform Multifamily rules to guide owners and managers in identifying "least likely to apply" populations using HUD's definition of minority concentration and seek to clarify and expand on HUD's definition of a "market area." Staff created a multifamily affirmative marketing tool to assist in comparing tenant pool data (or in the case of new construction developments, census tract demographic data) to metropolitan statistical areas ("MSA") or county demographic census data. The tool is web based and has been effective in helping properties better strategize in affirmative marketing and continues to be an ongoing action step. Staff believes these databases will assist in identifying new impediments to fair housing choice as the consolidated data is analyzed and the efficacy of implemented action steps is reviewed.

A current collaboration between federal funding recipients known as the Texas State Fair Housing Workgroup began in May, 2014 and continues to meet. This workgroup is assisting State agencies in adopting a uniform stance on Fair Housing issues and providing streamlined direction to essential Fair Housing information and best practices. To date, the workgroup has looked at sharing language assistance contracts, has generated ideas on streamlining Fair Housing discrimination complaint information and resources, has collaborated on Fair Housing month activities, and has served as a vehicle for comparing internal Fair Housing tracking and record keeping measures.

The Workgroup is preparing to comply with the new AFFH rule. The new AFFH rule requires a robust community participation process including outreach to stakeholders and groups representing statewide protected classes. The Workgroup will revise the State's Citizen Participation Plan. Consultation must occur prior to drafting the AFH tool.

The Fair Housing Team at TDHCA has taken a leadership role in these meetings as directed under the 2013 Analysis of Impediments; the Fair Housing Team has shared both its Fair Housing Tracking Database and its Fair Housing website section, which TDHCA believes will become one of the leading Fair Housing website resources for the state. The Fair Housing Team has shared its demographic database, which is being created with the long-range goal of standardizing demographics collected in each TDHCA program area and analyzing these demographics to identify trends; make policy recommendations; and map service areas.

The Fair Housing Team has 36 action steps on which it is moving forward, and is able to produce metrics on its momentum under the AI through its Fair Housing Tracking Database. In addition to logged action steps, the database also includes outreach and daily task logs. The database collects action steps based on the four phases of project management planning (e.g., Plan, Review, Implement, and Evaluate) which lead staff to consider even at the planning stage how the step will be evaluated. This has resulted in a metrics-focused planning effort that will continue to guide future initiatives.

Finally, the State, through its Fair Housing Team, has created a new Fair Housing website section, including fair housing information for a variety of audiences (renters and homebuyers, owners and administrators, real estate agents, and local governments and elected officials) and will include fair housing toolkits and resources, links to a new Fair Housing email list and community events calendar, and a consumer survey. A portion of the available toolkits will be tailored to elected officials and local governments in an effort to encourage best practices in zoning and land use and addressing community concerns. Through this education and outreach, the State is hoping to make its best practices guidance widely known and to integrate such guidance with other state resource information.

AP-80 Colonias Actions – 91.320(j)

Introduction

Based on a 2014 assessment by the Texas Office of the Secretary of State's Colonia Initiatives Program, an estimated 500,000 people live in 2,294 colonias in Texas. Six Texas counties (El Paso, Maverick, Webb, Starr, Hidalgo and Cameron) have the largest population of colonias and are home to an estimated 369,500 people. Texas' colonias lie outside of city limits in the rural areas of their respective counties, where few to no local building codes exist to protect the households that seek affordable and sanitary housing solutions. Egregious housing conditions persist while residents also endure substandard infrastructure, inadequate potable water and waste water systems, and a host of public health, environmental and employment risks.

As discussed in Action Plan Section 48, the majority of the funding that assists colonias is through the CDBG Program, which funds both state agencies working to develop infrastructure and water services, as well as subgrantees at the local government level who work in concert with nonprofit service providers for housing, community affairs, and economic development. The OCI focuses on Texas colonias because colonias are economically distressed areas home to low- and very low-income households who contend with inadequate housing and scarce tangible resources. Colonias have proliferated along the U.S.-Mexico border. The HOME Program also has a specific set-aside for the development of housing opportunities in the colonias.

Actions planned to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs

The State dedicates 12.5% of CDBG funds annually for colonia areas, and additional funds are also awarded for colonia projects through other competitive fund categories. Basic human needs, including water and sewer infrastructure and housing rehabilitation, are prioritized for colonia set-aside funding, with a particular emphasis on connecting colonia households to safe and sanitary public utilities. Colonia planning funds are available to research and document characteristics and needs for colonia communities.

The Colonia SHCs experience the obstacle of wavering capacity to meet the needs of extremely under resourced colonia residents. The typical challenges that nonprofits face, such as high-turnover, lack of succession planning, lack of long-term funding opportunities, limited access to high quality training, and limited access to continuing education resources, are all exacerbated for subgrantees serving border colonias. In response, TDHCA has strategically placed Border Field Offices along the Texas-Mexico border that support SHC staff with problem solving and training. The Border Field Offices exist to provide local technical assistance directly to both colonia residents and the organizations that serve colonia residents.

Colonia residents may also receive benefit through the HOME Program, which provides rental assistance, rehabilitation or reconstruction of owner-occupied units with or without refinancing, down payment and closing cost assistance with optional rehabilitation for the acquisition of affordable single family housing, single family and multifamily development, and rental housing preservation of existing

Annual Action Plan
DRAFT 2017

affordable or subsidized developments.

Actions the state plans to take to reduce the number of poverty-level families

Colonia set-aside funding is intended to improve the living conditions of low and moderate income families in colonias, including basic human needs. As with all CPD funds, Section 3 goals encourage job, contracting, and training opportunities for qualifying residents when such opportunities become available as a result of grant funding.

The Colonia SHCs provides 35 targeted colonias in seven border counties with a multitude of opportunities to create a one-stop-shop for low-income colonia families to gain a foothold out of poverty. The SHCs provide housing services in the form of new construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, small repairs, tool lending, construction skills training and utility connections. SHC community development activities include homeownership education, access to and training in computers/technology, consumer rights education and financial literacy, and solid waste disposal assistance. While the above listed services are limited only to residents of pre-identified colonias in the SHC Program, the centers themselves are open to all who wish to use the meeting space for activities beneficial to the community or simply to seek information on locating other services. By creating an accessible and consistent manner for which services and information are disseminated among colonias, more households can become beneficiaries of multiple kinds of assistance that build their self-sufficiency over time.

Actions the state plans to take to develop the institutional structure

The Texas Secretary of State, the lead state agency monitoring colonia improvements, coordinates an interagency work group that discusses colonia housing development, infrastructure development, and related issues on a quarterly basis. Besides TDHCA and TDA, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ"), the Texas Water Development Board ("TWDB"), the Texas Attorney General's Office, the U.S. Department of Agriculture ("USDA"), and other agencies are regularly represented in the work group. The information sharing within the group facilitates delivery for multiple programs besides affordable housing, and proactively addresses potential obstacles that could affect large areas of the Texas—Mexico border.

Specific actions the state plans to take to enhance coordination between public and private house and social service agencies

In addition to the cooperation among various state agencies that help to support and develop colonias, TDHCA has two strategically-placed Border Field Offices along the Texas-Mexico border, where the vast majority of colonias are situated. The Border Field Officers readily support administrators, disseminate funding information, and problem solve with administrators and colonia residents. This often requires facilitating communication with other service agencies, the private sector (such as colonia land owners, title companies, lenders), and other government agencies. Locally placed Border Field Officers increase the efficiency with which TDHCA can anticipate solutions and eventually builds institutional knowledge in the community.

In addition, TDA field representatives are available to provide general information on potential resources to communities and residents.

Discussion

TDHCA and TDA's participation in the Texas Secretary of State's interagency workgroup on colonia issues helps keep both departments abreast of other state agencies' actions in infrastructure, public health and other activities. In the event that one agency's process could be counterproductive to the efforts of either department, it is in this forum that mitigation and problem solving can take place.

AP-85 Other Actions – 91.320(j)

Introduction

The actions listed below are Other Actions taken by TDHCA, TDA, and DSHS to meet the requirements of §91.320(j). Other Actions include Meeting Underserved Needs, Fostering and Maintaining Affordable Housing, Lead-Based Paint Hazard Mitigation, Reducing Poverty-Level Households, Developing Institutional Structure, and Coordination of Housing and Services. The HOME, ESG, HOPWA, and CDBG programs address the other actions in concert with other federal, state, and local sources.

Actions planned to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs

HOME Addresses Underserved Needs

Obstacles to meeting underserved needs with HOME funds, particularly multifamily activities, include NIMBYism, a lack of understanding of federal requirements surrounding the use of HOME funds, and staff observation that program administrators may have more strict tenant or household selection criteria than other locally-run programs. TDHCA works to overcome these obstacles by educating developers and the communities where affordable housing is being proposed, as well as by offering HOME funds as grants or low-interest loans, with rates as low as 0%.

ESG Addresses Underserved Needs

Lack of facilities and services for persons experiencing homelessness in rural areas is ESG's greatest underserved need. To help meet this need, TDHCA is considering implementing sub-regions within the Balance of State CoC region to ensure broad range of homeless coverage. TDHCA will consult with the Balance of State CoC lead agency, as well as member agencies, to fully consider the implications of implementing regions in the Balance of State CoC. In addition, TDHCA has used Community Services Block Grant discretionary funds to provide training and technical support to organizations in the Balance of State CoC. Shelters in the Balance of State CoC have limited funds for operations and maintenance, with little access to federal funds which often require substantial organizational capacity less common in smaller organizations. ESG and TDHCA's HHSP, which is state-funded only in some urban areas, may supplement federal funds in operational support.

HOPWA Addresses Underserved Needs

Some significant obstacles to addressing underserved needs are PLWH inability to obtain or maintain medical insurance, maintain income, and especially obtain employment, are partially due to a difficult economy in conjunction with rising costs of living (rent, deposits, utilities, food, transportation, etc.), high unemployment, no access to health insurance and/or decreased access to other affordable housing such as the HCV program. The inability to access HCVs is due to long or closed waiting lists, and in some cases, client non-compliance and ineligibility due to undocumented immigrant status.

DSHS' HOPWA program helps meet the needs of this underserved population throughout the State by providing essential housing and utilities assistance as part of a comprehensive medical and supportive services system. As a result, PLWH and their households are able to maintain safe and affordable housing, reduce their risk of homelessness, and access medical care and supportive services. DSHS will

reallocate funding to address changing needs to maximize and target HOPWA funding to HSDAs that are in greatest need.

CDBG Addresses Underserved Needs

TDA encourages projects addressing underserved community development needs. In PY 2017 CDBG funds will be available through five different grant categories to provide water or sewer services on private property for low- and moderate-income households by installing yard lines and paying impact and connection fees. Regional competition for funding allows each area of the state to determine its highest priority needs, which may vary from first-time water service to drought relief to drainage projects.

Since the first legislative reforms in the 1990s, service providers in colonias have made gains in their capacity to address colonia issues, but unmet needs still exist and the Texas-Mexico border population growth is still increasing. OCI's main obstacle in addressing colonia housing needs is the varying capacities of subrecipients to administer assistance. TDHCA has established Border Field Offices along the Texas-Mexico border to readily provide technical assistance and on-going training to organizations and local governments that use TDHCA's CDBG funding.

Actions planned to foster and maintain affordable housing

HOME Addresses Affordable Housing

The HOME Program provides grant funds, deferred forgivable loans, and repayable loans to households or developments assisted by or through entities including units of local government, public organizations, nonprofit and for-profit organizations, CHDOs and PHAs. These funds are primarily used to foster and maintain affordable housing by providing rental assistance, rehabilitation or reconstruction of owner-occupied housing units with or without refinancing, down payment and closing cost assistance with optional rehabilitation for the acquisition of affordable single family housing, single family development and funding for rental housing preservation of existing affordable or subsidized developments. HOME funds may also be used in conjunction with the HTC Program or Bond Program to construct or rehabilitate affordable rental housing.

In addition, credits awarded through the HTC program can be layered with awarded funds from the HOME Multifamily Direct Loan program. When more than one source of funds is used in an affordable housing project, the State is able to provide more units of affordable housing than with one funding source alone.

ESG Addresses Affordable Housing

While TDHCA encourages the use of ESG funds to provide rapid re-housing and homelessness prevention, the majority of funds are utilized to provide emergency shelter. Fostering affordable housing is not an initiative for which TDHCA provides funding or that TDHCA monitors in relation to the ESG Program.

HOPWA Addresses Affordable Housing

The cost of living continues to rise (increases in rent, utilities, application fees, and security deposits) while clients' income does not change, may decrease, or clients have no income. HOPWA makes housing more affordable for low-income clients so they can maintain housing, adhere to medical treatment, and work towards healthier outcomes. Project Sponsors will address long-term goals with the clients to help them establish a financial plan that can assist them in maintaining their housing. Affordable housing needs are high among PLWH. DSHS will continue to update funding allocations to address the changing needs of local communities and to maximize and target HOPWA funding to HSDAs in greatest need. DSHS will consider a variety of factors including but not exclusive to HIV/AIDS morbidity, poverty level, housing costs and needs, and program waitlists and expenditures. Furthermore, funds are reallocated between HOPWA activities within HSDAs to meet changing needs during the project year.

CDBG Addresses Affordable Housing

Currently, CDBG funds primarily support affordable housing through water and sewer infrastructure for housing. The CDBG funding provides a cost savings for housing when used to install water and sewer yard lines and pay impact and connection fees for qualifying residents.

Housing rehabilitation projects are prioritized in several fund categories, and TDA encourages each region to set aside a percentage of the regional allocation for housing rehabilitation projects.

CDBG helps communities study affordable housing conditions, providing data on affordable housing stock and planning tools for expanding affordable housing. The Colonia SHCs continue to address affordable housing needs in border counties by assisting qualifying colonia residents to improve or maintain a safe, suitable home in suitable areas.

The OCI serves as a liaison to the Colonia SHCs to assist with securing funding and carrying out activities, such as low-interest mortgages, grants for self-help programs, revolving loan funds for septic tanks, and tool lending.

NHTF Addresses Affordable Housing

The NHTF Program is provided to developments assisted by or through entities including, public organizations, nonprofit and for-profit organizations, and PHAs. These funds are primarily used to foster and maintain affordable housing by providing funding for preservation of existing affordable developments, or construction of new affordable developments. In addition, credits awarded through the HTC program can be layered with awarded funds from the NHTF program. When more than one source of funds is used in an affordable housing project, the State is able to provide more units of affordable housing than with one funding source alone.

Actions planned to reduce lead-based paint hazards

HOME Addresses Lead-based Paint

The HOME Program requires lead screening in housing built before 1978 for all HOME eligible activities in accordance with 24 CFR §92.355 and 24 CFR Part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, M, and R. Furthermore,

Annual Action Plan
DRAFT 2017

single-family and multifamily development activities in HOME increase the access to lead-based-paint-free housing through the construction of new housing or reconstruction of an existing housing unit. There is significant training, technical assistance, and oversight of this requirement on each activity funded under the HOME Program.

ESG Addresses Lead-based Paint

For ESG, TDHCA requires Subrecipients to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards as part of its habitability review. New Subrecipient program staff are required to review the ESG Learning Opportunity related to habitability, which includes lead-based paint regulations and TDHCA's requirements related to such. ESG Learning Opportunities are webinars offered the first Wednesday of each month by TDHCA staff providing technical assistance or facilitating peer-to-peer learning. For lead-based paint requirements, TDHCA has stated in the ESG Learning Opportunity on habitability that ESG-funded Subrecipients must determine if a housing unit was built prior to 1978, for households seeking ESG funded rent or rent deposit assistance whose household has a family member(s) six year of age or younger. If the housing unit is built prior to 1978, the ESG Subrecipient will notify the household of the hazards of lead-based paint. In addition, ESG Subrecipients utilizing ESG funds for renovation, rehabilitation or conversion must comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning and Prevention Act and the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992. Through renovation, rehabilitation or conversion, ESG increases access to shelter without lead-based paint hazards. TDHCA evaluates, tracks, and reduces lead-based hazards for conversion, renovation, leasing or rehabilitation projects.

HOPWA Addresses Lead-Based Paint

HUD requires that Project Sponsors give all HOPWA clients utilizing homes built before 1978 the pamphlet entitled, "Protect Your Family from Lead in Your Home" during the intake process. The client's case record must include documentation that a copy of the pamphlet was given to the client and the case manager must make a certification regarding lead-based paint that includes actions and remedies if a child under age six or a pregnant woman will reside at the property.

CDBG Addresses Lead-Based Paint

Lead-based paint mitigation is an activity eligible under housing rehabilitation that is funded under the CPF, CFC, and Community Development Funds. Each contract awarded requires the sub-grantee to conform to Section 302 of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. 4831(b)) and procedures established by TDA's CDBG in response to the Act.

NHTF Addresses Lead-based Paint

The NHTF Program requires lead screening in housing built before 1978 for all NHTF eligible activities in accordance with 24 CFR §93.351 and 24 CFR Part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, M, and R.

Furthermore multifamily development activities in NHTF increase the access to lead-based-paint-free housing through the construction of new housing or reconstruction of an existing housing unit.

Actions planned to reduce the number of poverty-level families

HOME Addresses Poverty-Level Households

Through the HOME TBRA Program, TDHCA assists households with rental subsidy and security and utility deposit assistance for an initial term not to exceed 24 months. As a condition to receiving rental assistance, households must participate in a self-sufficiency program, which can include job training, General Education Development ("GED") classes, or drug dependency classes. The HOME Program enables households to receive rental assistance while participating in programs that will enable them to improve employment options and increase their economic independence and self-sufficiency. Additionally, TDHCA allocates funding toward the rehabilitation and construction of affordable housing, incentivizing units to assist very low-income households, and assists very low-income households along the international border of Texas and Mexico by promoting the conversion of contract for deed arrangements to traditional mortgages.

ESG Addresses Poverty-Level Households

The ESG Program funds activities that provide shelter and essential services for persons experiencing homelessness, as well as intervention services for persons threatened with homelessness. Essential services for persons experiencing homelessness include medical and psychological counseling, employment counseling, substance abuse treatment, transportation, and other services. While TDHCA supports the use of ESG funds to help ESG clients lift themselves above the poverty line, it is not a specific initiative for which TDHCA earmarks ESG funding or that TDHCA monitors for the ESG Program.

For individuals threatened with homelessness, homelessness prevention funds can be used for short-term subsidies to defray rent and utility arrearages, and security deposits.

HOPWA Addresses Poverty-Level Households

The DSHS HOPWA Program serves households in which at least one person is living with HIV based on income eligibility criteria of no more than 80% of AMI with adjustments household size, as determined by HUD income limits. With varying poverty levels and housing needs in each HSDA across the State, funds are allocated and reallocated throughout the program year to maximize and target HOPWA resources to those with the most need. While many HOPWA households assisted may be at poverty-level, this is not a requirement under 24 CFR §574.3.

CDBG Addresses Poverty-Level Households

A substantial majority of TDA's CDBG funds, over 95% in 2015, are awarded to principally benefit low and moderate income persons. In addition, the formula used to distribute CD funds among regions includes a variable for poverty to target funding to the greatest need. CDBG economic development funds create and retain jobs through assistance to businesses. LMI persons access these jobs, which may include training, fringe benefits, opportunities for promotion, and services such as child care.

NHTF Addresses Poverty-Level Households

NHTF allocates funding toward the rehabilitation and construction of affordable housing restricted to serve ELI households with affordable rents. These affordable units will allow households to have

greater housing security and stability, and will ameliorate some of the negative impacts of living in poverty through provision of decent, safe and affordable housing.

Actions planned to develop institutional structure

HOME Addresses Institutional Structure

The HOME Program encourages partnerships in order to improve the provision of affordable housing. Organizations receiving Homebuyer Assistance funds are required to provide homebuyer education classes to households directly, or coordinate with a local organization that will provide the education. In addition, organizations receiving TBRA funds must provide self-sufficiency services directly, or coordinate with a local organization that will provide the services. Finally, partnerships with CHDOs and nonprofit and private-sector organizations facilitate the development of quality rental housing developments and assist in the rehabilitation or reconstruction of owner-occupied housing.

ESG Addresses Institutional Structure

TDHCA encourages ESG Subrecipients to coordinate services with housing and other service agencies. While TDHCA believes its system of funding applications that apply to a statewide NOFA is an effective system, TDHCA also believes that its move to work locally with CoCs on ESG funding decisions advances program goals of local coordination and cooperation within CoCs. TDHCA reviews ESG Subrecipients' coordination efforts during on-site and desk monitoring. A map of local CoCs can be found online at: http://www.thn.org/continuums/.

HOPWA Addresses Institutional Structure

DSHS contracts with seven AAs, which contract directly with Project Sponsors serving all 26 HSDAs in the State to administer the HOPWA program under DSHS oversight. AAs also administer the delivery of other HIV health and social services, including the Ryan White and State Services HIV funds. This structure ensures the coordination of all agencies serving PLWH, avoids duplication, saves dollars, and provides the comprehensive supportive services for PLWH in each local community.

CDBG Addresses Institutional Structure

Each CDBG applicant must invite local housing organizations to provide input into the project selection process. TDA coordinates with state and federal agencies, regional Councils of Governments, and other partners to further its mission in community and economic development.

TDA also uses conference calls and webinars to provide training and technical assistance throughout the state. On-site project reviews may be conducted based on risk and other factors.

NHTF Addresses Institutional Structure

The NHTF Program encourages partnerships in order to improve the provision of affordable housing. Partnerships with nonprofit and private-sector organizations facilitate the development of quality rental housing developments. Development owners are required to provide tenant services to address the needs of ELI households living in the development.

Actions planned to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies

TDHCA has staff members that participate in several State advisory workgroups and committees. The workgroups and committees which TDHCA leads are listed in Action Plan Section 15. The groups in which TDHCA participates include, but are not limited to the Community Resource Coordination Groups, led by the Health and Human Services Commission ("HHSC"); the Council for Advising and Planning for the Prevention and Treatment of Mental and Substance Use Disorders, led by DSHS; Reentry Task Force, led by Texas Department of Criminal Justice; Interagency Workgroup on Border Issues, led by Secretary of State; Money Follows the Person Demonstration Project, led by DADS; Promoting Independence Advisory Committee, led by HHSC; and Texas State Independent Living Council, lead by the Texas Department of Assistive and Rehabilitative Services ("DARS").

TDHCA's participation in HUD's Section 811 PRA Program requires linkages between housing and services through a partnership with TDHCA, and the State Medicaid Agency (i.e., HHSC). Because the program is designed so that an individual can access both affordable housing and services in the community, TDHCA staff and HHSC staff meet regularly to ensure both housing and services are coordinated for the program. TDHCA and HHSC have responsibilities to execute the program. TDHCA will use units for the program in multifamily housing financed by TDHCA and the services will be provided by a network of local service providers coordinated by the HHSC enterprise agencies.

The HHSCC is codified in Texas Government Code §2306.1091. The purpose of the Council is to increase state efforts to offer Service-Enriched Housing through increased coordination of housing and health services. The Council seeks to improve interagency understanding and increase the number of staff in state housing and health services agencies that are conversant in both housing and services. Service-Enriched Housing is defined in Title 10 Texas Administrative Code, Part 1, Chapter 1, Subchapter A, §1.11 as: integrated, affordable, and accessible housing that provides residents with the opportunity to receive on-site or off-site health-related and other services and supports that foster independence in living and decision-making for individuals with disabilities and older Texans.

Council members meet quarterly and provide direction to the staff to prepare a Biennial Report of Findings and Recommendations that is submitted to the Legislative Budget Board and the Office of the Governor on August 1 each even numbered year. This Report along with a Biennial Plan is available to the public on the TDHCA website at http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/hhscc/biennial-plans.htm.

On behalf of the HHSCC, an intensive in-person Housing and Services Partnership Academy was held in February 2016 in Austin. The Academy included a tenant panel, round table discussions lead by housing and services state agency staff and sessions on affordable housing development, building community support, etc. The web-based learning modules focused on providing teams with information and materials to increase their knowledge and understanding of key concepts, strategies, best practices and resources for developing SEH in their communities. Following the in-person Academy, CSH provided a

comprehensive package of technical assistance designed to position the teams to apply the lessons learned and knowledge gained from the online and in-person training and further flesh out their plans for developing new SEH in Texas communities. CSH conducted an evaluation of the project and will continue technical assistance with the existing community teams through August 2017 in accordance with a renewal of their contract with TDHCA.

Discussion

In addition to the program actions mentioned above, TDHCA strives to meet underserved needs by closely monitoring affordable housing trends and issues as well as conducting its own research. TDHCA also makes adjustments to address community input gathered through roundtable discussions, webbased discussion forums and public hearings held throughout the State.

To foster and maintain affordable housing, TDHCA, TDA, and DSHS provide funds for nonprofit and forprofit organizations and public organizations to develop and maintain affordable housing. Funding sources include grants, low-interest loans, housing tax credits, and mortgage loans.

For lead-based paint hazard mitigation, DSHS has been charged with oversight of the Texas Environmental Lead Reduction Rules ("TELRR"). TELRR cover areas of lead-based paint activities in target housing (housing constructed prior to 1978) and child-occupied facilities, including the training and certification of persons conducting lead inspections, risk assessments, abatements, and project design. For all projects receiving over \$25,000 in federal assistance, contractors need to follow inspections and abatements standards overseen by DSHS. By following these standards, the State is increasing the access to housing without lead-based paint hazards. The adherence to inspection and abatement standards is related to the extent of lead-based paint in that a majority of the housing in need of rehabilitation is likely housing built before 1978.

Furthermore, TDHCA, DSHS, and TDA's programs are aimed at reducing the number of Texans living in poverty, thereby providing a better quality of life for all Texans. The departments provide long-term solutions to the problems facing people in poverty and focus resources to those with the greatest need. Regarding institutional structure, TDHCA, DSHS, and TDA are primarily pass-through funding agencies and distribute federal funds to local entities that in turn provide assistance to households. Because of this, the agencies work with many partners, including consumer groups, community based organizations, neighborhood associations, community development corporations, councils of governments, community housing development organizations, community action agencies, real estate developers, social service providers, local lenders, investor-owned electric utilities, local government, nonprofits, faith-based organizations, property managers, state and local elected officials, and other state and federal agencies. Because the agencies do not fund individuals directly, coordination with outside entities is essential to the success of their programs. By structuring its operations this way, the State shares its risk and commits funds in correlation with local needs, local partners are able to concentrate specifically on their area of expertise and gradually expand to offering a further array of programs.

Finally, to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies, State agencies chief function is to distribute program funds to local providers that include units of local government, nonprofit and for-profit organizations, community-based organizations, private sector organizations, real estate developers and local lenders. The private housing and social service funds

available for priority needs may include loans or grant programs through private banks, for-profit or nonprofit organizations; this source of funding varies from year to year.

Program Specific Requirements

AP-90 Program Specific Requirements – 91.320(k)(1,2,3)

Introduction

Program specific requirements as referenced in 24 CFR 91.320 (k)(1,2,3) are described below for the CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs.

For the CDBG Program, it is expected that the total amount of program income for PY 2016 and that has not yet been reprogrammed will be \$2,100,000, including \$520,000 program income collected by the state and program income retained by local subgrantees. The amount of CDBG urgent need activities is estimated to be \$4,700,000. The 85% of CDBG funds to benefit persons of low to moderate income includes PY 14-17.

Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) Reference 24 CFR 91.320(k)(1)

Projects planned with all CDBG funds expected to be available during the year are identified in the Projects Table. The following identifies program income that is available for use that is included in projects to be carried out.

1. The total amount of program income that will have been received before the start of the	
next program year and that has not yet been reprogrammed	2,100,000
2. The amount of proceeds from section 108 loan guarantees that will be used during the	
year to address the priority needs and specific objectives identified in the grantee's strategic	
plan.	0
3. The amount of surplus funds from urban renewal settlements	0
4. The amount of any grant funds returned to the line of credit for which the planned use	
has not been included in a prior statement or plan	0
5. The amount of income from float-funded activities	0
Total Program Income:	2,100,000

Other CDBG Requirements

1. The amount of urgent need activities

4,700,000

2. The estimated percentage of CDBG funds that will be used for activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income. Overall Benefit - A consecutive period of one, two or three years may be used to determine that a minimum overall benefit of 70% of CDBG funds is used to benefit persons of low and moderate income. Specify the years covered that include this Annual Action Plan.

85.00%

HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME) Reference 24 CFR 91.320(k)(2)

- 1. A description of other forms of investment being used beyond those identified in Section 92.205 is as follows:
 - The State is not proposing to use any form of investment in its HOME Program that is not already listed as eligible for investment in 24 CFR 92.205(b).
- 2. A description of the guidelines that will be used for resale or recapture of HOME funds when used for homebuyer activities as required in 92.254, is as follows:

If the participating jurisdiction intends to use HOME funds for homebuyers, the guidelines for resale or recapture must be described as required in 24 CFR §92.254(a)(5). Recapture provisions are not applicable for HOME-assisted multifamily rental projects; in the case of default, sale, short sale, and/or foreclosure, the entire HOME investment must be repaid.

TDHCA has elected to utilize the recapture provision under 24 CFR §92.254(a)(5)(ii) as its primary method of recapturing HOME funds under any program the State administers that is subject to this provision. The following methods of recapture would be acceptable to TDHCA and will be identified in the note prior to closing.

Recapture the amount of the HOME investment reduced on a pro rata share based on the time the homeowner has owned and occupied the unit measured against the required affordability period.

Number of years homebuyer occupied the home X Total direct HOME subsidy = Recaptured Amount

Period of affordability

- B. The recapture amount is subject to available net proceeds in the event of sale or foreclosure of the housing unit. In the event of sale or foreclosure of the housing unit, if the net proceeds (*i.e.*, the sales price minus closing costs; any other necessary transaction costs; and loan repayment, other than HOME funds) are less than the HOME investment that is subject to recapture, then the Department will recapture the available amount of net proceeds will be repaid to TDHCA. If there are no Net Proceeds from the sale, no repayment will be required of the homebuyer and the balance of the loan shall be forgiven. TDHCA will not recapture more than the amount available through net proceeds.
- C. The household can sell the unit to any willing buyer at any price.
- D. In the event that the assisted property is rented or leased, or otherwise ceases to be the principal residence of the initial household, the entire HOME investment is subject to recapture.
- E. In the event of sale to a subsequent low-income purchaser of a HOME-assisted homeownership unit, the low-income purchaser may assume the existing HOME loan and recapture obligation entered into by the original buyer if no additional HOME assistance is provided to the subsequent

homebuyer. In cases in which the subsequent homebuyer needs HOME assistance in excess of the balance of the original HOME loan, the HOME subsidy (the direct subsidy as described in §92.254) to the original homebuyer must be recaptured. A separate HOME subsidy must be provided to the new homebuyer, and a new affordability period must be established based on that assistance to the buyer.

3. A description of the guidelines for resale or recapture that ensures the affordability of units acquired with HOME funds? See 24 CFR 92.254(a)(4) are as follows:

In certain limited instances, TDHCA may choose to utilize the resale provision at 24 CFR §92.254(a)(5)(i) under any activity the State administers that is otherwise subject to this provision. The following method of resale would be acceptable to TDHCA and will be identified in the note prior to closing:

A. Resale is defined as the continuation of the affordability period upon the sale or transfer, rental or lease, refinancing, or if the initial Household is not longer occupying the property as their Principal Residence.

B. Resale requirements must ensure that, if the housing does not continue to be the principal residence of the family for the duration of the period of affordability, the housing is made available for subsequent purchase at an affordable price to a reasonable range of low- or very low-income homebuyers that will use the property as their principal residence. Affordable to a reasonable range of low-income buyers is defined as targeting Households that have income between 70 and 80 percent of the area median family income and meet all program requirements.

C. The resale requirement must ensure that the price at resale provides the original HOME-assisted owner a fair return on investment. Fair return on investment is defined as the sum of down payment and closing costs paid from the initial seller's cash at purchase, closing costs paid by the seller at sale, the principal payments only made by the initial homebuyer in excess of the amount required by the loan, and any documented capital improvements in excess of \$500. Fair return on investment is paid to the seller at sale once first mortgage debt is paid and all other conditions of the initial written agreement are met. In the event there are no funds for fair return, then fair return does not exist. In the event there are partial funds for fair return, then fair return shall remain in force.

D. The initial homebuyer's investment of down payment and closing costs divided by TDHCA's HOME investment equals the percentage of appreciated value that shall be paid to the initial homebuyer. The balance of appreciated value shall be paid to TDHCA. If appreciated value is zero, or less than zero, then no appreciated value exists. The HOME loan balance will be transferred to the subsequent buyer and the affordability period will remain in effect. The period of affordability is based on the total amount of HOME funds invested in the housing.

E. In the event that the assisted property is sold during the affordability period, rented or leased, or otherwise ceases to be the principal residence of the initial household, the entire HOME investment will become immediately due and payable if the property does not continue to meet the affordability requirements for the remainder of the affordability period.

4. Plans for using HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is rehabilitated with HOME funds along with a description of the refinancing guidelines required that will be used under 24 CFR 92.206(b), are as follows:

TDHCA may use HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is being rehabilitated with HOME funds as described in 24 CFR §92.206(b). TDHCA shall use its underwriting and evaluation standards, site and development requirements, and application and submission requirements found in 10 TAC, Chapter 10, for refinanced properties in accordance with its administrative rules. At a minimum, these rules require the following:

- that rehabilitation is the primary eligible activity for developments involving refinancing of existing debt;
- that a minimum funding level is set for rehabilitation on a per unit basis;
- that a review of management practices is required to demonstrate that disinvestments in the property has not occurred;
- that long-term needs of the project can be met;
- that the financial feasibility of the development will be maintained over an extended affordability period;
- that whether new investment is being made to maintain current affordable units and/or creates additional affordable units is stated;
- that the required period of affordability is specified;
- that the HOME funds may be used throughout the entire jurisdiction (except as TDHCA may be limited by the Texas Government Code) is specified; and
- that HOME funds cannot be used to refinance multifamily loans made or insured by any Federal program, including CDBG, is stated.

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Reference 24 CFR 91.320(k)(3)

1. Include written standards for providing ESG assistance (may include as attachment)

TDHCA requires that its Subrecipients establish and implement written standards for providing ESG assistance. TDHCA reviews the standards to ensure they answer the following questions.

1. Evaluation (24 CFR §576.400(e)(3)(i))

- a. Are the definitions of homeless or at-risk of homelessness included in the evaluation?
- b. Are there standard policies and procedures for evaluating individual and household eligibility for ESG?
- c. Are priority populations listed?
- d. Are the priority populations listed the same as the Continuum of Care priority populations?

2. Targeting - 24 CFR §576.400(e)(3)(ii),(iv)

- a. Are there standards for targeting and providing essential services related to street outreach?
- b. Are there standards determining how providers will assess, prioritize, and reassess participant's needs for essential services related to emergency shelter?

3. Evaluation for Emergency Shelter - 24 CFR §576.400(e)(3)(iii)

- a) Is there a description of:
- i) Clients that will be admitted?
- ii) Clients that will be diverted?
- iii) Clients that will be referred?
- iv) Clients will be discharged?
- **b)** Are there safeguards to secure safety (if applicable)?
- c) Are reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities included?

4. Coordination - 24 CFR §576.400(e)(3)(v)

- a) Are there policies and procedures for coordination among:
- i) Emergency shelter providers?
- ii) Essential service providers?
- iii) Homelessness prevention providers?
- iv) Rapid re-housing assistance providers?
- v) Other homeless assistance providers?
- iv) Mainstream services and housing providers?

5. Assistance Levels - 24 CFR §576.400(e)(3)(vi)

- a) Is there a description of:
- i) Which clients will receive rapid re-housing or homelessness prevention?
- ii) Whether a percentage or amount of rent will be paid by client?
- iii) Whether a percentage or amount of utilities will be paid by client?

- iv) How long will client receive rental assistance?
- v) How or if rental assistance be adjusted over time?
- vi) What is amount of assistance will be provided?
- vii) How will the duration of assistance be determined?
- viii) What happens after a break in service (*i.e.*, Program participant stops receiving assistance one month)?
- ix) What unit sizes are appropriate for rapid re-housing?
- x) What data sources/formats are used for rent reasonableness?

Housing Stability Case Management/Relocation Services – 24 CFR §576.400(e)(3)(ix)

- a) Is there a description of:
- i) What types of services offered and not offered?
- ii) What amounts are offered for the services?
- iii) How long will case management/relocation services last?
- b) Does case management include monthly meetings to assist with housing stability? (n/a for Domestic Violence providers)
- c) Does case management include development for participant to retain permanent housing once ESG assistance ends? (n/a for Domestic Violence providers)
- d) Does case management include assistance for program participants' access supportive services for which they may be eligible? (n/a for Domestic Violence providers)

7) Relocation Services: Financial – 24 CFR §576.105(a)

- a) Do the written standards specify when the following financial assistance is offered or not offered:
- i) Rental application fees
- ii) Security deposits/Last month's rent
- iii) Utility deposits/payments
- iv) Moving costs
- v) Storage fees (3 months maximum)

8) Service Costs (Include if services are offered and which community organizations can act as a referral source, if applicable) – 24 CFR §576.105(b)(3)-(5)

- a) Do the written standards specify when the following services are offered or not offered, and which community resources can be used?
- i) Mediation
- ii) Legal Services
- iii) Credit Repair

9) Denials 24 CFR §576.402

- a) Are there policies and procedures for terminating assistance?
- b) Does the appeal process include notification of denial?
- c) Does the appeal process include the household's process to appeal the decision?
- d) Does the appeal process include record keeping process for denial requests?
- 2. If the Continuum of Care has established centralized or coordinated assessment system that meets HUD requirements, describe that centralized or coordinated assessment system.

Each of the 11 CoCs in Texas has a different centralized or coordinated assessment system. The Balance of State CoC is piloting coordinated access in specific communities for its extremely wide geographic area. While TDHCA is informed of the broad concepts of the many coordinated assessment systems, TDHCA ensures that its Subrecipients participate in the local CoC's coordinated assessment whenever possible. In June, 2016, TDHCA facilitated an ESG Learning Opportunity webinar during which five of the eleven CoC lead agencies described their coordinated assessment systems to the ESG Subrecipients. Furthermore, the 2016 NOFA required CoC lead agencies to certify that the ESG Subrecipients participated or did not participate in the CoC centralized or coordinated assessment system. Points were awarded based on participation. TDHCA anticipates that the 2017 ESG NOFA will also include a scoring item for coordinated access.

TDHCA is working to ensure that the coordinated assessment systems in Texas are as inclusive as possible. For example, TDHCA held an ESG Learning Opportunity webinar in January, 2016, about how to ensure coordinated assessment systems met fair housing regulations. In addition, many of the coordinated assessment systems function through HMIS. Because of this, TDHCA is considering adding a scoring item to the 2017 ESG funds to integrate domestic violence and legal service providers, which are prohibited from using HMIS, into coordinated access in those areas. TDHCA plans to continue to work with CoCs to have successful coordinated access systems in Texas.

3. Identify the process for making sub-awards and describe how the ESG allocation available to private nonprofit organizations (including community and faith-based organizations).

Factors used in the 2016 ESG distribution were homeless population, based on the most recent Point-in-Time count submitted to HUD by the CoCs, and the region's proportionate share of people living in poverty, based on the most recent 5-year American Community Survey poverty data published by the Census Bureau. Factors to be considered in the 2017 ESG distribution will be homeless population, people living in poverty, cost burden of renters, and overcrowded renters. For the purposes of distributing funds in 2016, the percentage of statewide homeless population is weighted at 75% while the percentage of statewide population in poverty is weighted at 25%. The relation of factors under consideration in 2017 may be based on percentages for each region. The allocation formula will be open for public input in 2016, and the final allocation formula will be published in the 2017 NOFA. Applications are accepted for at minimum a 30-day period. Applications are scored and ranked within their CoC regions.

For competitive awards, eligible applicant organizations are units of general purpose local government (and combinations of units of general purpose local government recognized by HUD), including cities, counties and metropolitan cities and urban counties that receive ESG funds directly from HUD. Other instrumentalities of a city or county, like a Local Mental Health Authority ("LMHA") may be eligible to apply, if it meets certain conditions. Some governmental organizations such as PHAs and housing finance agencies are not eligible due to the HEARTH Act and cannot apply directly for ESG funds; however PHAs may serve as a partner in a collaborative application but may not be the lead entity. For competitive awards, eligible applicants may be limited by NOFA.

Eligible Applicants also include private nonprofit organizations that are secular or religious organizations described in section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, are exempt from taxation under subtitle A of the Code, have an acceptable accounting system and a voluntary board, and practice non-discrimination in the provision of assistance. Faith-based organizations receiving ESG funds, like all organizations receiving HUD funds, must serve all eligible beneficiaries without regard to religion.

4. If the jurisdiction is unable to meet the homeless participation requirement in 24 CFR 576.405(a), the jurisdiction must specify its plan for reaching out to and consulting with homeless or formerly homeless individuals in considering policies and funding decisions regarding facilities and services funded under ESG.

As a State recipient, TDHCA is not required to provide for the participation of a homeless individual or formerly homeless individual on the board of directors or other equivalent policy-making entity. However, TDHCA had a scoring item on its 2016 NOFA for which Subrecipients received points if they have participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in their programs. TDHCA anticipates having a similar scoring item for the 2017 NOFA.

5. Describe performance standards for evaluating ESG.

Subrecipients providing street outreach will be required to meet contractual performance targets for the number of persons to be assisted, the number of persons to be provided with case management, and the number of persons who will be placed in temporary, transitional or permanent housing.

Subrecipients providing emergency shelter and transitional shelter will be required to meet contractual performance targets for the number of persons to be assisted, the number of persons to be provided with case management, and the number of persons who will exit to temporary, transitional housing destinations or permanent housing destinations.

Subrecipients providing homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing assistance will be required to meet contractual performance targets for the number of persons to be assisted, the number of

Annual Action Plan DRAFT 2017 persons to be provided with housing stability case management services, the number of persons who will increase their non-cash benefits, the number of persons who will have an increase in income at program exit, and, for rapid re-housing, the number of persons who will exit to permanent housing destinations and the number of persons who had a residence prior to entry that was an institution or system of care.

Discussion

For HOME, the State is not proposing to use any form of investment in its HOME Program that is not already listed as an eligible for investment in 24 CFR §92.205(b). As described above, TDHCA may use HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is being rehabilitated as described in 24 CFR §92.206(b). TDHCA shall use its underwriting and evaluation standards, site and development requirements, and application and submission requirements found in 10 Texas Administrative Code, Chapters 10 and 13, for refinanced properties in accordance with its administrative rules.

For ESG, performance standards for evaluation are separated by the following activities: street outreach; emergency shelter and transitional shelter; homelessness prevention; and rapid re-housing assistance. These standards are included in each ESG Subrecipients annual contractual agreement with TDHCA.