TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

HOUSING AND HEALTH SERVICES COORDINATION COUNCIL MEETING

Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs
Room 116
221 E. 11th Street
Austin, Texas

July 11, 2018 10:05 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

TIMOTHY IRVINE, Chair
DONI GREEN, Vice Chair
SUZANNE BARNARD
REV. KENNETH DARDEN
MICHAEL GOODWIN
JESSICA HISSAM
VERONICA NEVILLE
SCOTT SROUFE
MICHAEL WILT

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM		PAGE	
CALL TO ORDER, WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS ESTABLISH QUORUM			
1.	Approval of January 11, April 12, July 12, Oct 18, 2017, and January 31, May 4, 2018 HHSCC Meeting Summaries	43	
2.	HHSCC Biennial Plan and Report of Findings	6	
3.	Presentation on National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP) Technical Assistance	44	
4.	Update on Section 811 Project Rental Assistance	46	
5.	Update on Integrated Housing Rule 10 TAC, Chapter 1, Subchapter A, §1.15	46	
6.	Update on definition of Service-Enriched Housing, 10 TAC, Chapter 1, Subchapter A, §1.11	70	
7.	Consultation by TDHCA Staff to Solicit Input for the Development of the State's Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice	6	
8.	Public Comment	86	
9.	General Updates/Next Steps/Staff Assignment(s)	87	
ADJOURN		89	

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	MR. IRVINE: Good morning, everyone. My name
3	is Tim Irvine. I will call to order the quarterly meeting
4	of the Housing and Health Services Health Services
5	Coordination Council. We will begin with roll call.
6	Jessica Hissam?
7	MS. HISSAM: Hello, Hissam here.
8	MR. IRVINE: Hissam. Excuse me.
9	MS. HISSAM: It's okay.
10	MR. IRVINE: Veronica Neville?
11	MS. NEVILLE: Hi.
12	MR. IRVINE: Debbie Hall?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. IRVINE: Not here.
15	Suzanne Barnard?
16	MS. BARNARD: Here.
17	MR. IRVINE: Scott Sroufe?
18	MR. SROUFE: Yes.
19	MR. IRVINE: Michael Wilt? Justin Coleman?
20	No. Me. Doni Green?
21	MS. GREEN: Here.
22	MR. IRVINE: Michael Goodwin?
23	MR. GOODWIN: Here.
24	MR. IRVINE: Kenneth Darden?
25	REVEREND DARDEN: Here.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. IRVINE: Vacant, vacant, vacant, vacant.

We have a quorum. So I apologize in advance. I'm going to have to take off a little bit early because I've got an off-campus meeting after this that I will have to get to.

So before we jump into the agenda, we've got some visitors here.

I want everybody to know this is a highly participatory meeting. The only requirements -- if you want to participate in the discussion, you'll need to drag your chair up to the table so that you can be heard by the microphone and therefore captured in the recorded record of the meeting, and also if you are not a member of the Council, you will need to identify yourself by name and if you represent someone else, say who you represent.

But everybody is welcome at any time to chime in, jump in and participate. This is a small enough group that we can erase that sort of formality. I'd like to take care of the action items first, just in case we are at risk of losing a quorum.

So the first item is -- you've all been provided the Biennial Plan and Report of Findings and given opportunity to provide input. Anybody got any further input, discussion, comment, questions? Very quiet group.

MR. GOODWIN: I had one. I'd like to go back.

I've lost it --

not.

MR. IRVINE: Fire away.

MR. GOODWIN: -- now. In one of the intros, it said, the purpose of the Council was -- and it left off the term for elderly and persons with disabilities --

MR. IRVINE: Okay.

MR. GOODWIN: -- and I don't know if that's --

MR. IRVINE: No, I think that's important.

MS. GREEN: -- important enough to go into it or

MR. IRVINE: I think that's very important.

So -- what's the matter, Suzanne? You look dazed. You've got input? Okay. Anybody else got any other things? You know, I've got to say that offline, while we were going through the comment process, we had some in-depth engagement with some of the members, and had some really productive discussions, and to me, one of the best things about this Council is it does create those connections for offline engagement.

I think those can be phenomenally helpful. So they are always encouraged. So if no one has any other comment or questions, I would entertain a motion to approve submitting the Biennial Plan and Report of Findings.

MR. GOODWIN: So moved.

MS. BARNARD: Second.

MR. IRVINE: Seconded a motion. Any further discussion?

(No response.)

MR. IRVINE: If not, all in favor, say aye.

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. IRVINE: Any opposed? Motion carries.

They are approved. Thank you so much. Next, I would like to take a chair's prerogative to move an item up and out of order. We have with us Suzanne Hemphill, who is going to facilitate a consultation in connection with our development of our Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, commonly known as the AI.

The AI is a document that is required by HUD in connection with administering community planning and development programs, and it involves reaching out, engaging with all manner of Texans and Texas interests to identify potential impediments to fair housing choice.

And once we've identified those impediments, we use that in connection with our five-year planning documents to create responses with our programmatic resources to help overcome those impediments, and then we go on down the road, and we keep track of our success in overcoming those impediments.

So the AI is a really important document, and

it's all about, like I said, identifying obstacles or barriers to fair housing choice. And you know, this Council is such a great resource, but also we're advocates for people who do have challenges and limitations with respect to their housing options, so our input is extremely valuable.

So take it away, Suzanne.

MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. It's nice to be here, this morning. I'm Suzanne Hemphill, Fair Housing manager, with TDHCA. Years ago, I was staff to the Council, so it's nice to be back here today. And I'm somewhat familiar with y'all's work, so I'm hoping we can have a nice conversation this morning.

So like Tim said, I'm here at this morning's Council meeting to discuss fair housing issues in Texas. This is part of the State of Texas Citizen Community Participation Plan for the AI. Funds that the State receives from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, or HUD, come with a duty to affirmatively further fair housing.

That obligation comes from the Fair Housing Act of 1968, which gives HUD a lead role in administering the Act. Because we want to ensure comprehensive input for the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, before we even begin drafting the AI, a significant degree of

public consultation and engagement is scheduled across the state.

Only after that extensive input is obtained will staff then compile the results with objective data analysis and research efforts to present a draft of the AI to the Department's Board for consideration in fall or winter 2018.

After that, the draft will be published and released for a formal, statewide public comment period to follow. That will be considered, and then final AI will be presented to the Department's Governing Board in May 2019, and that -- excuse me. May 2019 is when it's due to HUD.

So today I want to lead a discussion which is going to be considered consultation for the AI. Input from everybody in the room and Texans in general is sought through this process. The input will be summarized and noted.

Transcripts from today's Council meeting will be publicly available. No names will be used in the final report, but comments will be summarized by location or maybe stakeholder or advocate, things like that. So with that, I have a series of specific questions that I'll throw out, and if you have input and feedback, I'd love to hear that this morning.

So under the Fair Housing Act, there are seven protected classes. That includes race, color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status and disability. For those who represent agencies or organizations that provide direct services to individuals, have you observed specific issues of the clients you serve related to a protected class?

(No response.)

MS. HEMPHILL: So I know this Council focuses disability issues and access to housing. That is -- disability is one of seven protected classes. Are there any specific issues you've seen for those kinds of folks in trying to access housing in Texas?

MR. GOODWIN: Well, from the developers' side,
I think it's across the board, and that is, two things:
fear, lack of knowledge and not wanting to get wound up
with HUD. And most people understand fair housing law.
They don't necessarily practice it, so that's not the big
issue.

It's just they don't want to go beyond renting to, I'll say, the job-holding person that they see twice in the tenancy, once when they sign their lease and once when they turn in their keys.

MS. GREEN: Yeah, and there's no doubt that it's really difficult to find housing for nursing home

residents in particular. That's where most of my experience lies. But I'm not sure whether it's the disability or the income.

My sense is that it's the income. Particularly in the Dallas-Fort Worth area, where occupancy rates are really high, there's a strong bias against someone who may require a voucher in order to cover the rent, and add to that the need for in-home services.

You know, some people, some landlords, I think, have reservations about housing somebody who's been residing in a nursing facility. I think there are concerns, sometimes valid, sometimes not, that the individual may require more supports than will be reasonably available.

But again, I think it's the income issue that's the primary barrier and perhaps the disability is a secondary barrier. Landlords are usually savvy enough to not say anything illegal. But I think the other issue with nursing home residents in particular is it's a particularly delicate dance.

So when we're relocating somebody from an institution to the community, it's a very involved process to transfer the benefits, get the in-home services lined up to do the inspection, to get the service coordinator on board, to get the relocation specialist on board, and if a

landlord has an option of renting to somebody who's ready to move in his boxes tomorrow, as opposed to waiting a week, two weeks, three weeks, until someone has all of the equipment and the services and it's ready to go, it becomes a financial issue where, you know, it's hard to get them to extend the lease, but then to wait, you know, a week or two weeks until everything is ready to go, it just kind of aggravates the financial issue, and that becomes a problem.

You know, with independent living, with landlords typically, you know, they wouldn't have access to the tenant's health history. So I think there's less bias, as opposed to assisted living facilities, where they're reviewing charts.

We see a lot who say, oh, no, this person is mentally ill. Well, she's been well-controlled for 37 years. So it tends to be more overt with assisted living, which is kind of beyond the definition of community. But --

MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. That's great feedback.

And I'll ask some follow-up questions, just so we're able to do more research on our end and kind of dig in a little bit more for the AI. When you talked about -- and so many of these issues overlap, with the connection between maybe a voucher and income, is it that those folks, the folks

1 that you're serving, have disabilities and then they also 2 have the voucher and also probably low income? 3 And sometimes, you know, there's MS. GREEN: 4 history of non-payment --5 MS. HEMPHILL: Uh-huh. 6 MS. GREEN: -- so that becomes a risk. 7 Sometimes they're -- the criminal history, and that becomes an issue, so it's kind of a loading effect. 8 9 MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. And then is it Michael? 10 MR. GOODWIN: Yes. MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. You talked about fear and 11 12 lack of knowledge and not wanting to go beyond HUD regs. 13 How might that be an obstacle for someone? 14 MR. GOODWIN: Well, we keep talking about 15 owners, but in my opinion, the key is to get to the 16 management companies that are running these properties, 17 because they're the ones who can sway the owner, and the owner doesn't care. 18 19 All he cares about is, his property is working 20 normally. He's not getting dinged by anybody, and in that case, what I'm finding is, they're becoming so 21 22 automated -- anything that's not automated, they don't want to talk about. 23 24 If you can't put it on a computer, and it's --25

I'm an ex-Navy, and I see our apartments going the same

way as the two ships that collided in the area of Singapore. Everybody had their head inside looking at a radar scope and nobody was looking out a window to see what was going on, and that, in a nutshell, was the cause of killing 17 people, because nobody was looking out the door.

And I see the apartment industry going the same way. The staff spending all their time in running reports or getting on the Twitter or Facebook and things like that, and nobody's looking around. So there's not an educational process.

When I say up here -- it's a lack of an educational process and understanding of, hey, it's not that hard if you would try it. And a lack of cross-pollenization. I'll give an example. You used to sponsor a group called -- is it TAAHPS, Texas Affordable Housing Coalition, or something like that?

MR. IRVINE: We don't sponsor them, but --

MR. GOODWIN: But --

MR. IRVINE: -- they're the big multifamily --

MR. GOODWIN: They're a big multifamily, and you all were a key part of an annual meeting they had.

And I came up to one about the year after dirt was invented, and they were talking about developing a fair housing handbook.

1 Well, the affordable -- as we call the 2 affordable housing, i.e. HUD Section 8 properties -- had 3 produced two fair housing handbooks that had been run 4 through HUD's Fair Housing people, and the whole nine 5 yards, and they'd been on the market for two years, and 6 nobody outside that little community. 7 So somehow to create an educational capability, 8 and I'll go back and look at Tim again -- if he can 9 strong-arm the apartment associations and including TDHCA 10 staff at a presentation at every apartment association 11 meeting in Texas, San Antonio Apartment Association, TAA, 12 and start putting this out through the apartment 13 association, you might -- I don't know -- you might get a 14 few more takers. 15 MR. IRVINE: Well, we have developed a Tenants' 16 Rights Handbook that includes those factors and it's out 17 there. 18 MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, well, I'm talking about is getting to the great majority of people --19 20 MR. IRVINE: But you have the training --MR. GOODWIN: -- so that they understand that 21 22 there's not this wheelchair brigade of people and their 23 lawyers are going to descend on your property. 2.4 MR. IRVINE: Sure.

MR. GOODWIN:

What we're bringing you is a

25

1 rent-paying tenant --2 MR. IRVINE: Uh-huh. 3 MR. GOODWIN: -- who has the support services 4 they need to be lease-conforming. 5 MR. IRVINE: Right. 6 MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. 7 I don't know how -- you know --MR. GOODWIN: MR. IRVINE: No. I think that's --8 MS. 9 HEMPHILL: Yeah, and I'm going to keep asking questions 10 and we might bounce around and come back to some of these 11 topics, because a lot of them overlap. 12 MR. IRVINE: Yeah. I actually have a comment 13 about vouchers too. 14 MS. HEMPHILL: Yeah. 15 MR. IRVINE: I think that there is an 16 oversimplified view of what vouchers are. They aren't 17 just money to pay for rent. They place obligations and 18 responsibilities on the landlord as well, including 19 obligations to submit to inspections, maintain property to 20 certain standards, keep records and all those things, which are all great objectives, but they can operate as a 21 22 financial disincentive to participate in voucher programs. 23 So I think that it would be useful if, at the

federal level, where these voucher programs are designed

and established, people would assess ways that vouchers

24

25

might be made basically into money so the tenants can use market selection and go to any landlord and say, here's my rent.

MS. GREEN: Yeah.

MS. HEMPHILL: Yeah.

MS. GREEN: We had kind of an interesting discussion last time, and this is not a major impediment, but I think it is an impediment, and that is -- I guess there was a new HUD ruling about prohibiting smoking in some facilities --

MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.

MS. GREEN: -- and that's been huge for our folks, and I think somebody mentioned last time that among folks with mental illness --

MS. HEMPHILL: Uh-huh.

MS. GREEN: -- like, 40 percent are smokers.

I'm not a smoker. I'm not necessarily advocating for smoking, but I'm an advocate for consumer rights, and to tell someone that she can't live in an apartment because she engages in smoking, which is legal -- I mean, I understand that there are health risks and, you know, fires, and everybody can be affected by the fires, but, you know, that's been a very real barrier for some of our folks, where they've waited months and months and months to get the unit, only to find out that all of the

1 properties exclude smokers, and for somebody who's smoked 2 for 40, 50, 60 years, it's -- you know, that's a problem. 3 MS. HEMPHILL: I quess I'm not as familiar with 4 that, but I'm wondering if they exclude folks smoking in 5 the property or the person in general, or if there are --6 MS. GREEN: The person in general, the tenant? 7 Is your understanding? MS. HEMPHILL: MS. GREEN: Yeah. 8 9 MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. MR. GOODWIN: 10 It's essentially a no-smoking 11 apartment. Your guests can't smoke in it, you can't smoke 12 in it, and the two major reasons -- one, are fire, but the 13 biggest major reason -- if you have ever gone into an 14 apartment that's occupied by a heavy smoker --15 MS. GREEN: Oh, the cleaning. 16 MS. HEMPHILL: The walls, yeah. 17 MR. GOODWIN: -- the walls are --18 MS. GREEN: Yeah. 19 MR. GOODWIN: -- dingy orange, and you --20 there's almost no way to get the smell out. There's a product called Kilz that's supposed to cover and seal 21 22 everything in. It doesn't work. 23 MS. HEMPHILL: And every now and then, we hear 24 complaints come --25

Yeah.

MR. GOODWIN:

1 MS. HEMPHILL: -- from the other side with folks 2 with severe allergic reactions --3 MR. GOODWIN: Yeah. 4 MS. HEMPHILL: -- to things like that, in those It's difficult. What types of housing -- oh, 5 6 veah? 7 MS. YEVICH: Excuse me. I'm sorry to 8 interrupt. This is Elizabeth Yevich with TDHCA. I think 9 we had about seven people on the phone, and I wanted to 10 make sure -- does anybody on the phone want to introduce 11 themselves or have anything to add to the conversation so 12 far? 13 (No response.) 14 MS. YEVICH: Hearing none, we're going to move 15 back to Suzanne then. Okay. 16 MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. Feel free to speak up, folks, on the phone. What types of housing opportunities 17 18 exist in your area? And then the next question is, what 19 are some of the specific housing needs of persons with 20 disabilities? MR. IRVINE: I would just comment about the 21 22 Department's portfolio overall. I think in the major 23 metropolitan areas, we obviously have a lot of new 24 construction. We have programs like the 811 Program. 25 We've got local housing authorities providing significant

voucher resources and so forth.

But when you get out to rural Texas, there are a lot fewer opportunities. I think that there is a lot of aging housing stock that is not particularly accessible and I think that, you know, the pilot program for 811 is focused on some large metropolitan areas, but you know, those needs are very present in rural Texas as well, and in fact, I would say that because of the prevalence of disabilities in the aging population, the needs might actually be greater proportionally in rural Texas.

MS. HEMPHILL: Persons with disabilities need housing that is integrated, affordable and accessible.

How is the community that is served by your organization in that regard? Are there any issues surrounding possible group homes and housing for persons with disabilities?

Are group homes in your community allowed in all residential areas, and is there enough accessible housing to assist persons with disabilities? If not, how much more is needed?

MS. GREEN: No, it's definitely not adequate, and I think that raises a lot of issues, and I'm not sure how you define group homes. In my mind, a group home is an intermediate care facility for folks with intellectual and developmental disabilities, which is different from assisted living facilities, which is different from board

and care homes.

So group homes are regulated, kind of loosely.

Board and care homes are not regulated. Wild, wild west.

Assisted living facilities are regulated. They -- the supply is not adequate, certainly in north central Texas, probably in the state.

What we see -- with assisted living in particular, I think you -- did you ask about that?

MS. HEMPHILL: No, you're welcome --

MS. GREEN: Because that really is --

MS. HEMPHILL: -- to address it.

MS. GREEN: -- kind of beyond the scope.

MS. HEMPHILL: Yeah.

MS. GREEN: But I think it's consistent with what we see in terms of independent housing as well.

Tremendous expansion for folks with moderate to high incomes. For folks with low incomes, good luck. It's interesting.

We did an analysis a couple days ago of all the calls we received through our Aging and Disability

Resource Center. Twenty-one percent are specific to housing, people looking for affordable, integrated housing, and they're -- we generate long lists.

We know that no apartment on that list will have an opening for an average of a year to two years. We

work with people who are on the verge of homelessness and they don't qualify for any programs. We -- you know, we talk with couch surfers or people who are staying with extended family members, in abusive relationships.

We refer them to shelters. And sometimes we work with people coming out of nursing homes, and the only thing we can timely offer them is a shelter, which is not appropriate, but you know, there's nothing better. I mean, we talk with people are, you know -- I'm moving to Texas from California, and I'll need a subsidized apartment.

Well, good luck with that.

MS. HEMPHILL: Uh-huh.

MS. GREEN: You might want to call, you know, U-Haul and put it on hold.

MS. HISSAM: Yeah, to build off of that -- this is Jessica Hissam at HHSC -- for the mental health population, in terms of group homes, based on the all the barriers that we talked about before, criminal histories, mental histories, oftentimes our folks are sent at the last resort to group homes and there aren't mental health group homes in the community.

They're board and care homes for the mental health population. They are vastly unlicensed. If anything, they're under the radar. There are a couple of

metropolitan areas that have instilled sort of licensure and sort of monitoring, but overall, these folks are just going to what they can find.

And a lot of the group homes or board and care homes do as well as they can, but in terms of regulations and a lot of things, they just don't make it, and we're not even totally aware of how many are in the state. So one of the goals is to do an environmental scan to get kind of an idea of what's out there, because some of them are very, very substandard, and really, people just try not to be on the streets.

So we really don't have enough. We don't have anything, really, in terms of that population. So --

MR. WILT: To build on that as well -- this is Michael Wilt with Texas State Affordable Housing

Corporation. This came up in the TICH meeting yesterday.

Where there's hostility on the neighborhood level to these types of homes as well.

There was the example of the Oxford House. I'm not sure where it's located, but it's a substance use recovery home, and the neighbors are trying to get it shut down. So either people actively trying to get that type of recovery house out of their neighborhood, or not allowing in the first place.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. HEMPHILL: Okay.

1 MS. BARNARD: To speak to the rural 2 communities, and certainly back there, we have seen some 3 increased demand for assisted living facilities in the --4 I would say the outskirts of urban areas. They still 5 qualify for our program as rural, but they're not the 6 isolated communities, but we have been seeing -- it's 7 under our economic development programs, so we don't collect data on the residents, only on the employees. 8 9 So we don't exactly who they're serving, but 10 we've been seeing a number of applications to build new facilities --11 12 MS. GREEN: Yeah, and that's a growth industry. 13 MS. BARNARD: -- in quasi-rural areas. 14 MS. GREEN: Yeah. I mean, we have -- since 15 I've been with my agency I think we've gone through 30 or 16 40 assisted livings to 200 plus --17 MS. BARNARD: Right. 18 MS. GREEN: -- and I think 10 participated in 19 the Medicaid program, and not the entire facility 20 participates. They may have two beds or 10 beds, and so 21 again, if you have money and can pay \$4,000 a month, 22 you'll have a lot of options, but if you have Medicaid --23 MS. BARNARD: Right. 24 MS. GREEN: -- you're going into facilities 25 that, you know, tend to be less well funded.

MS. BARNARD: Right. And the other thing, really, on the housing, we don't get very many applications to do housing from our communities, but when we do, it's almost exclusively to benefit elderly and disabled, to rehabilitate homes for the elderly and disabled populations.

That's almost exclusively what those end up being.

MR. SROUFE: And from the certified retirement community side of that same thing, dealing with our semi-urban rural communities, more of what I'm seeing is new developers coming into, like Doni was saying, that upper-and mid-range economic status, rather than the folks that really need it that we would deal with here, and so we've got developers that are moving in to build things, but they're not necessarily always the types of housing that we would look for or hope for with this committee.

MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. Are social services located in areas where persons with disabilities can easily access them? Does location of social services influence housing options of persons with disabilities?

MS. HISSAM: I'm Jessica Hissam, HHSC. So transportation is -- immobility is a huge issue not just within urban areas, but especially rural. There are some of our local mental health authorities that serve 11 to 19

different counties, that that can take seven hours to drive across, and a lot of our clients don't have an easy way to get to their services.

They might have a couple of satellite clinics, but it's going to take them three hours one way to meet with the doctor for 20 minutes. So it's a huge, huge, huge, huge, huge barrier for sure, at least in the, again, mental health/behavior health sort of world.

MR. IRVINE: And I think that there's actually a situation developing here where the concept of affirmatively furthering fair housing as propounded by HUD is really diametrically opposed to centering housing around many of the facilities and support services.

You know, the whole concept of dispersion.

When we were developing the 811 Program, we were very much driven by the concept of fair housing choice and dispersion, and this Council expressed a lot of concern over that strategy.

You know, I think that there is a common sense desire among many people to have a policy to site more housing closer to support facilities.

MS. GREEN: Yeah. I think the other issue is, you know, there tends to be kind of a minimal level of services for those meet programs' eligibility criteria with Medicaid being the major funder, but I think often

there is a lack of awareness, particularly in communities that don't have an on-site service coordinator.

So folks may be eligible for Medicaid waiver services or home-delivered meals or community attendant services, but unless somebody who's knowledgeable of the system and the eligibility criteria is able to connect that person, it's, you know -- just having it be available is not enough.

There needs to be some -- in many cases, there needs to be someone who can assist that person in navigating, and that's where I see a real difference among, you know, communities that have an on-site service coordinator, whatever the term may be.

MS. HEMPHILL: And it sounds like what you're saying is -- and correct me if this is wrong, is that those services and supports could help someone live wherever they choose --

MS. GREEN: Yeah.

MS. HEMPHILL: -- and could help them access services that they could be safe and well in their home.

MS. GREEN: Right.

MS. HEMPHILL: That's great.

MR. GOODWIN: Well, and caveat, wherever they choose with -- depending on how much money they have to pay rent. I -- the key to the whole thing is dollars.

For example, in the past, I'll be ugly and say the national standard in developing affordable housing was to put it in the place needed it the most, and then 20 years later, everybody gets sued because we put all the affordable housing in the poor areas, and there's no affordable housing in the upscale areas, so there's a -- what would you call, a segregation of the population within a metropolitan area.

On the other hand, if we're going to do it today, based on the rent requirements, I can't put an affordable housing property for -- and affordable housing, I'm using the term as one that a person with disabilities and the Medicaid and voucher might could afford because the cities will only pay so much for their part.

I can't put it in an upscale area, because the dirt's too expensive, and if the dirt's too expensive,

I've then got to charge a higher rent, and I've just

pushed myself out of their ability to rent. So it's a -
what do they call it -- a circular formula.

It doesn't matter what you do. You keep coming back to the same place.

MS. HISSAM: Circular logic. Yeah.

MR. GOODWIN: And so that's -- you know, how do you solve the cost of putting the housing down so that the folks that you want to live there can do it?

1 MS. GREEN: Although a developer was telling me 2 recently about rent averaging --3 MR. GOODWIN: Yeah. 4 MS. GREEN: -- as one strategy to -- I mean, it 5 doesn't fully resolve the issue but it --6 MR. GOODWIN: If you can -- for example, in 7 Boerne, 30 miles out of San Antonio, people can't afford 8 to live there anymore, because the apartments are too 9 expensive, but if you could have the ability to do the 10 rent averaging, you could put -- you know, set aside X number of units at a lower cost that would --11 MR. IRVINE: Well, and I think that's a barrier 12 13 right there, because although Congress enacted the ability 14 to elect income averaging on a tax credit property, the 15 Internal Revenue Service has issued no quidance and none 16 is anticipated anytime soon. 17 So until and unless the IRS explains to people 18 how it works, you know, it's a very big risk. MS. HEMPHILL: We touched on this a little bit 19 20 earlier. Have you observed instances of prohibited discrimination in housing for folks with disabilities? 21 22 Please provide specifics. Have you seen any instances of 23 things like impermissible steering a person seeking to

I've seen it with assisted living

rent or buy housing?

MS. GREEN:

24

25

facilities, but again, I think that's beyond the purview of the Council.

MS. HEMPHILL: Are there affordable housing options dispersed throughout the community that is served by your organization? Are you aware of any specific zoning, building requirements, land use or other policies that affect affordability and dispersion of housing, including affordable housing and service-enriched housing?

MS. GREEN: I think Mike's right on target.

MR. GOODWIN: There are, in many cities, that drive the cost up. For example, down in Kyle, we put a facility down in Kyle, and the cost got driven up because you had to have so much masonry, and it had to go so high, and so their building codes drove the price of the property, which drove the rents up, which, you know, the property did okay because it was a developing area with a lot of people making a lot of money, but I'll say, the people in Kyle originally couldn't afford to live there.

MS. HEMPHILL: And it sounds like those weren't tied to health or safety issues.

MR. GOODWIN: No, they're not tied to --

MS. HEMPHILL: Okay.

MR. GOODWIN: -- they're tied to what the community wants to see. They want to see pretty houses, if you will, as opposed -- and I'm not advocating going

back and building things with tin siding, but the ability to put a quality building up -- we're talking about the facade.

The inside of it is going to be to code and buildings standards and everything. It's just what do you have to do on the outside, and that's one factor that can affect it.

MS. HEMPHILL: Okay.

MR. WILT: I want to go back to the last question, and it builds upon what Michael was saying earlier about selection criteria for property managers, and again this came up again yesterday -- it came up in yesterday's TICH meeting, but I can't cite specific examples of discrimination, but you can look at the data that the Austin Reentry Task Force did.

They interviewed all the property managers for market rate and affordable housing units in Austin, and overwhelmingly there was no consistency in the tenant selection criteria when it comes to lookback periods and what type of defenses to look for, and this has a bigger impact on a protected class of race than it does disability, although it would affect the mental health population overwhelmingly.

So if they don't have -- or their lookback policies run afoul of HUD guidance, then there is a strong

1	chance that they could be discriminating against people,
2	but they may not even know what the HUD guidance says, but
3	across the board, there needs to be better education about
4	whether, say, the apartment association or TAHSA or
5	somebody else on how to make sure they're complying with
6	HUD guidance when it comes to their selection criteria.
7	MS. HEMPHILL: And speaking of, kind of,
8	education and information about tenants' rights, do
9	housing consumers as well as housing providers have
10	sufficient information about fair housing laws?
11	MS. HISSAM: Have information or understanding
12	of?
13	MS. HEMPHILL: That's a good question. Yeah.
14	So it sounds like you're saying those are different
15	things
16	MS. HISSAM: Yes.
17	MS. HEMPHILL: and they may have
18	information
19	MS. HISSAM: But do not have a firm and
20	confident understanding in their rights and their
21	abilities.
22	MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. On both sides of
23	housing
24	MS. HISSAM: Yes.
25	MS. HEMPHILL: consumers and providers?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 MS. HISSAM: Yes. 2 MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. And Doni, it sounds like 3 what you were saying of helping folks navigate --4 MS. GREEN: Uh-huh. 5 MS. HEMPHILL: -- and understand. MS. GREEN: Uh-huh. 6 7 MR. GOODWIN: I don't think that fair housing 8 law knowledge is questioned anymore. It may be at a mom 9 and pop, where it's a personally owned little property, 10 but anybody that has a property of any size or a 11 management company that has more than one property is 12 sometimes painfully aware of fair housing laws. 13 MR. IRVINE: I think that they're --14 MS. GREEN: The tenants --15 MR. IRVINE: -- I think that they are aware of 16 it on a superficial level. 17 MR. GOODWIN: Yeah. 18 MR. IRVINE: I think everybody understands the 19 prohibitions in the Fair Housing Act. I'm not sure --20 would be interested in polling the room -- how many people are really familiar with the granular HUD guidance on 21 tenant selection criteria. 22 23 MR. SROUFE: I am not. 24 MS. GREEN: And I know criminal history --

we've seen properties all over the map in terms of

25

1 disqualifying on the basis of criminal history. 2 MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, and there's --3 MS. HISSAM: Even on just arrests. 4 MS. GREEN: Yeah. MR. GOODWIN: -- there's no -- you're right. 5 6 There's no standard on lookback period or severity. 7 MR. IRVINE: There's not a bright line --8 MS. GREEN: Right. 9 MR. IRVINE: -- and, you know, if you're an owner and you're hiring a property manager, you want 10 people that can administer bright-line criteria, you know. 11 12 MR. GOODWIN: I'll give you a good example in We got smacked right in the nose, and it was a 13 Austin. 14 knowledgeable person who figured that they could wiggle 15 the fair housing issues. We were working with Catholic 16 Charities and taking refugees. 17 Well, what we found out is Catholic Charities 18 was teaching the refugees how to cheat and not declare all 19 their family, and then once they got in the apartment, 20 their families would show up and you would end up with six 21 or seven people in an apartment. 22 Well, our manager was aware of it and did 23 nothing and, in some cases, allowed it, because what else 24 could we do with these people? So we got sued by the

Austin Tenants Council, because we were starting to

25

enforce the standard -- I'll say, TAA occupancy standard of two persons per bedroom plus an infant under the age of six months.

And they took these refugees and says, you can't do that. We may win if we go to court but we'll cost you so much money and it will hurt you. So we have an agreement that we'll take three persons per bedroom now, and that property, which is not a new property, has a potential of being terribly over-housed and deteriorating very rapidly because the systems are 35 and 40 years old and not built to handle that volume of people.

And here's a manager that had had fair housing training and knew about it, and thought, oh, well, I can do this here, but when I go over here, I go back to my strict standard.

MS. HEMPHILL: Oh, so it sounds like they were having -- they were treating people differently --

MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, and -- but that's --

MS. HEMPHILL: -- and that --

MR. GOODWIN: -- why I'm saying -- so I think there -- in any major management company, they pretty much understand getting people to do what they're told to do, is our --

MS. HEMPHILL: I see. So was occupancy standards tied to national origin, because these folks --

1 MR. GOODWIN: No. 2 MS. HEMPHILL: -- refugees --3 MR. GOODWIN: Not at all. Wasn't tied to -- it 4 was tied to number of people per bedroom. We were using 5 the --6 MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. 7 MR. GOODWIN: -- TAA-approved, if you will --MS. HEMPHILL: Oh, as the housing requirement? 8 9 MR. GOODWIN: As the housing standard. 10 MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. Okay. Then next set of 11 questions I have, I'm going to pass around a handout. 12 There's probably extra copies of this. This is the list 13 of impediments to fair housing that were identified in the 14 2013 AI, so that's the State's last Analysis of 15 Impediments to Fair Housing Choice. 16 If you can look over the list, and then I'd 17 love to hear about whether you're experiencing these 18 organizations -- or these impediments within your 19 organization in the community that you serve. So there's 20 six main impediments identified. 21 NIMBYism can create barriers to housing choice 22 for protected classes in some communities. We've touched 23 on that today. There is inadequate information available 24 to local governments, stakeholders and the public about

fair housing requirements and programs to assist persons

25

with disabilities and low income residents. 1 2 I think that's also come up. The public is not 3 sufficiently aware of how to obtain assistance necessary 4 to protect fair housing rights. Protected classes may 5 experience disparities in home mortgage loan denials and high-cost loans. 6 7 Lack of accessible housing and visibility 8 standards limits fair housing choice for persons with 9 disabilities. And the last impediment -- there are barriers to mobility and fair housing choice for protected 10 11 classes. If some of you could comment on your 12 13 experiences with any of these impediments, and if you feel 14 like they're still present in communities in Texas, that 15 would be really helpful to us. 16 MR. GOODWIN: I would challenge number two. 17 think that there is a wealth of information that's readily available. 18 19 MR. SROUFE: I think there's inadequate 20 quidance. MR. GOODWIN: Yeah. 21 22 MS. HISSAM: And inadequate amount of programs 23 and accessibility to programs. 2.4 MS. GREEN: Uh-huh.

MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: May I offer a comment?

25

MS. HEMPHILL: Yes, yes.

MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: I apologize for my delay. I ran into traffic. I never seem to be able to find a way around it. I'm Josefa Zatarain-Flournoy with the Alamo Area Council of Governments. I'm a housing navigator there.

And I've seen this list two or three times now, and it initially didn't hit me until the second time I saw this, but when I take number one, number five and number six, one of the things that comes to mind is the situation that you know, has been brought to my attention two or three times in the Boerne area, where there are, I believe, two elderly housing properties that are -- I think their affordability period's maybe, two years out, maybe more. I'm not sure.

And so increasingly, I'm getting phone calls from professionals and other people who serve this population, and now it's coming from the residents themselves, where they're wanting to know which -- who of all of us can address their concern.

Because Boerne doesn't want any of these housing units in their backyard, and so there is a lack of accessible housing for these folks, and there are barriers to them having this free choice of housing units. And you know, we're getting these phone calls.

I don't -- you know, we're trying to work with them to prepare them for a possible move, but they're being displaced. In fact, their rents are going up during the affordability period, you know, so I just wanted to -- so that is a concern, but I'm also offering that for discussion and maybe some help.

MR. IRVINE: Yeah. I would argue that the length of affordability periods may in some respects be a potential problem. So if these deals that are nearing the end of their affordability were able to re-syndicate and refresh their properties and so forth, they're basically signing up for another 30 years, and that's a very, very long financial commitment for the investors.

So you know, I often wonder if --you know, given the fluidity and the changes in markets, if it wouldn't, in some respects, attract more investment in affordable housing if affordability requirements weren't quite as long.

MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: It appears not to be the intent --

MR. GOODWIN: The thing you're looking square in the face is what I'll call the broker's language.

Affordability restrictions that expire in two years with great upscale -- upward potential for return, 20 percent cash-on-cash return, and that's the way they're selling

the market.

So what you have to do is, get the community to want that housing. I know, in one instance, where the community turned down a tax credit property because there was a mobile home area that was part of what was going to become a tax credit property, and they were going to displace the residents.

So everybody used that as an excuse to say, bump, and then we don't want that type housing in this area. They won't say, but it's there.

MR. IRVINE: Yeah.

MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. My last question is not specifically related to the AI, but to the larger planning document called the 2020 to 2024 State of Texas

Consolidated Plan. Today's consultation is an early opportunity for input on the State's next five-year Con Plan.

The Plan lays out the major ways the State will use its HUD funds. Is there any input on how the State should spend its HUD funds, and specifically, do you have any suggestions as to how any of the HUD funds could be used to address fair housing matters we've been discussing this morning?

MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: Do we have -- I'm just going to ask this question. I still don't know.

There's -- of the various agencies that are included in the Impediments to Fair Housing Choice and also with regard to the plan, we are -- as I encounter service providers and other professionals in the rural communities particularly, and they're asking questions about how they can develop some kind of an effort, an organization, a program to deliver more housing programs or opportunities, and this may speak to one of the questions you asked earlier -- are there technical assistance professionals in divisions or departments in each of these agencies that could help these service providers in the rural communities develop a program to deliver additional housing?

Because we could possibly spend some money in that area. Increasingly, I have rural communities with homeless populations that have not been identified. They are not being served, and so people are digging into their pockets to continue to pay motel-hotel expenses, and it's just not going to end anytime soon.

And so I'm really trying to get them to understand that there are some -- that there is assistance, and that there are dollars, whether it's through the balance of State and to the expansion of maybe an area COG, but I needed them to have a way, you know, that they can, you know, get this type of assistance

1 through someone other than just myself and you know, just 2 kind of walking and talking them through each of the 3 processes. 4 And it may take two or three years for them to be fully qualified and develop the capacity. And so I'm 5 6 wondering if there's just technical assistance, that -- if 7 don't already have, that we might be able to fund? MS. HEMPHILL: I --8 9 MS. HISSAM: I -- I'm sorry. I don't know 10 about to the agencies, but they could reach out to CSH, 11 Center for Supportive Housing. They do a lot of technical 12 assistance. They could reach out to Texas Homeless 13 Network. 14 They do a lot in terms of the balance of State. 15 I would start there, personally. MS. HEMPHILL: And I believe the Council in the 16 17 past has funded some TA efforts -- right -- for --18 MR. IRVINE: Uh-huh. 19 MS. HEMPHILL: -- service-enriched housing? 20 MR. IRVINE: TCAP. MS. HEMPHILL: Yeah. So there has been funding 21 22 towards this.

Community Affairs does not specifically have appropriated

staff just to provide TA, but the program staff that

MR. IRVINE: The Department of Housing and

23

24

administers the programs will, you know, provide intensive assistance to anybody that wants to engage in the programs.

MR. DURAN: Yeah, I think -- Spencer of TDHCA.

One other suggestion I would have is that counties and municipalities get their own allocation HUD dollars, even rural in a lot of cases. So you could -- if you're interested in that, you can approach them and say, hey, you know, you could, you know, be a lot more responsible on a local level by working with a local entity to program their HUD dollars to address whatever unmet need you might see, and they're all doing their AI-type activities right now.

Or you could comment on their actions plans or whatever as well.

MS. HEMPHILL: Okay. So that concludes all the questions for y'all this morning. Thanks for bearing with me and providing such thoughtful input that we use on the AI. Written input can be submitted to Nathan Darus, and I've got his business card and his address on here.

Anything received by 5:00 p.m., Austin local time, on Friday, August 10, 2018, will be considered as consultation for the draft Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice. And I'll leave these business cards by the front of the room.

1	MS. HISSAM: Thank you.
2	MR. IRVINE: Thanks so much. Before we jump
3	into the report and update items, I've gotten out of the
4	habit of having approval of minutes. Well, so unless
5	somebody's got technical corrections, I would entertain a
6	motion to approve as presented the minutes for January 11,
7	April 12, July 12, October 18, 2017, and January 31 and
8	May 4, 2018.
9	MR. GOODWIN: So moved.
10	MS. HISSAM: And second.
11	MR. IRVINE: We have a motion and a second.
12	Any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. IRVINE: All in favor, say aye. And we'll
15	put this on the calendar again to do in a year and a half.
16	Cool. Okay. It's update time.
17	MS. GREEN: Do we need to take a vote on that?
18	MR. IRVINE: Oh, wait. Anybody opposed?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. IRVINE: All in favor, say aye.
21	(A chorus of ayes.)
22	MR. IRVINE: Any opposed?
23	MR. GOODWIN: This is Texas. It's a Sam
24	Rayburn vote. The ayes have it.
25	MR. IRVINE: Okay. Sorry. Veronica, you're

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 up. 2 MS. NEVILLE: Oh, okay. Actually, I think 3 Michael's --4 MR. WILT: I'm just going to read your notes. 5 MS. NEVILLE: Okay. 6 MS. BARNARD: Tim, I'm going to have to slip 7 out in just a minute. Is there anything else you need 8 quorum for? 9 MR. IRVINE: I think we have --10 MS. BARNARD: Just checking. MR. IRVINE: -- conducted all of our action 11 12 items. 13 MS. BARNARD: Great. 14 MR. IRVINE: So yeah --15 MS. BARNARD: Then it won't ruin anything. 16 MR. IRVINE: -- and I too will have to slip out 17 in a few minutes. 18 MR. WILT: I'll give an update on the -- on 19 NASHP now. As you might recall, at past Council meetings, 20 we gave y'all an update on the work between HHSC and TSAHC on the CMS Housing State Medicaid IAP Program, which is 21 22 the Center for Medicaid Services Housing State Medicaid 23 Innovative Accelerator Program. That began in May -- actually, August 2017, and 24

it concluded in May 2018 with the creation of an action

plan that has been submitted to HHSC leadership for review, and then will be sent to CMS. So that action plan is done.

It was completed in May, and that wraps up the work we did under the IAP. The good news is that while that was wrapping up, we were able to get some technical assistance from NASHP, which is the National Academy of State Health Policy, and that assistance will be running -- it's running currently and will go through 2020.

We're one of five states that received that technical assistance. So we have some goals in the action plan, and we'll be working between now and 2020 along with NASHP on implementing some of those goals from the IAP. The benefits of this NASHP assistance is we'll get expert consultation through quarterly group state and individual state technical assistance calls.

We have state-to-state learning opportunities, and we'll participate in an on-site annual meeting this August with the other states that were selected. So the current plan is to continue building on action items identified through the IAP in order to support the developments and/or implementation of sustainable financing of health and housing programs to improve the health of Medicaid beneficiaries and lower costs.

And for our purposes at TSAHC, you know, we're interested in finding mechanisms and improving upon mechanisms that create more service-enriched housing units. Carissa Dougherty is the lead staff on the NASHP effort and Dana Williamson is the Medicaid sponsor over at TSAHC.

We're continuing to be the housing sponsor in all this. And the good news also is that we had a pretty robust, big group of people from multiple parts of HHSC and Medicaid and also at TSAHC and then managed care organizations participating in the IAP.

And that group has stayed together. Instead of meeting biweekly, we're now meeting monthly on an ongoing basis. So it's great that we kept the team intact. Is there anything you want to add, Veronica?

MS. NEVILLE: No, that's great.

MR. IRVINE: Thanks. Okay. Spencer, what's going on with 811?

MR. DURAN: Sure. I want -- I have two items, 811 and then the Integrated Housing Rule. They're somewhat related, and Jessica Hissam's here also. She's our -- one of our points of contact with HHSC. So please jump in if you have any thoughts.

And also, you know, Doni is a referral agent. Let me know if you have any thoughts about 811 as well.

But the general update is -- the general update with 811 is that we have 65 households that are -- that have been served by the program.

We've signed 21 rental assistance contracts. Those are the HUD-required contract that we have between TDHCA, HUD and the actual participating properties. And then we also have received almost 600 referrals for the program in general.

So I think that, you know, we've definitely jumped from start-up, development, to you know, fully-fledged program. So we're really excited to be, you know, really kind of up and running. At the last meeting, I was reviewing the minutes, and I was kind of wanting to make sure that what I reported to y'all was kind of in response to some of the things we talked about last time.

One of the big topics last time was rejections, and we pulled together some data, kind of taking a deeper dive into what's going on with rejections, and that's what the table is that we have, that I provided for y'all. And this is just kind of a general snapshot of what's going on with the households once they're referred to a property that has a vacancy.

So what happens is, an applicant will come through one of our local disability service organizations.

We'll put them on the main TDHCA-managed waiting list,

and then they select what properties they are interested in, and then once a vacancy pops up at one of their properties, we will then refer them to the property, and then the property level process begins, including where the properties apply their regular credit, rental, criminal screening criteria.

Some of the highlights of looking at the data are that the number one rejection or property manager denial reason is criminal backgrounds, but overall, the biggest reason why someone doesn't move into an available unit is actually they -- that household themselves is choosing not to live there.

So that's an example of -- a vacancy pops up, and they've expressed a previous interest in a property, but then once it comes time to actually moving in, they choose not to move forward with their application. And so that's, you know, a reflection of, you know, we have healthy tenant choice, but we're also aware that that could mean that the product we're delivering to the customer may not always be exactly what they're looking for.

We know we've had quite a few applicants, you know, think that they want to live somewhere, but then when it comes down to it, they're, like, well, transit access isn't what I was hoping or something like that.

Some of the other findings are that we have, you know, a lot of demand in the more typically urban areas, but we are definitely working with HHSC and our local partners to make sure that all the areas that are eligible are really active and so we think of things on the metropolitan statistical area scale, which includes urban and rural areas.

So we serve whole counties, essentially, and you know, all the counties we serve have, you know, urban and rural components and are bringing a mix of properties to the table that include a mix of urban and rural properties.

You know, we have properties in the Mueller Development here in Austin, for example, and then we have properties in Kyle, if you're familiar with the Austin area. So we try and, you know, continue to bring a really good mix of properties to the program.

So if anyone has any questions about rejections or referrals, we can talk about that. I also want to talk about -- we have a proposed rule that is pending Board adoption that is poised to be adopted by the Board in September and it will essentially mimic the Integrated Housing Rule we have talked about with this group, and what we're basically talking about is the Integrated Housing Rule will go from an 18 percent maximum

integration up to 25 percent maximum integration for large properties, and the 811 rule kind of mirrors that change as well, because the 811 rule has -- or the 811 Program has a 25 percent cap that's mandated by HUD.

So that means that no more than 25 percent of the units can be dedicated to, reserved for, have a preference for people with disabilities. So we're kind of better aligning the 811 Program with the TDHCA Integrated Housing Rule.

So the 811 Rule, Integrated Housing Rule have been initially approved by the Board and they -- the two rules have been out for public comment, and the public comment period ends at five o'clock today, and I'm not aware of any public comments for, against or neutral on either of the rules, but we've definitely vetted the rules pretty well with y'all, and the Disability Advisory Workgroup.

We had an online message board, and we also presented both of the rules, the 811 and the Integrated Housing Rule, to the developer community, those who actually participate in our multifamily programs. So I do feel really confident that we've given adequate opportunity to comment on those changes.

Lastly, with 811, we have some really good news that we have secured funding, \$750,000, through our Money

Follows the Person grant that we could use for a property manager or risk mitigation fund. So this would be for unreimbursed damages that are caused by 811 tenants.

So the 811 Program -- the security deposit is limited by HUD to the greater of \$50 for the tenant portion of rent, which for a lot of the households is maybe \$200. So the security deposit is quite a bit less than what our properties are used to getting, and so just as a way to provide further reassurance to our property managers and our owners, we want to have this resource available.

So we have \$750,000 available and we're working right now to modify our Money Follows the Person contract so we can start spending that money. And then we're working, you know, all of our policies and procedures at TDHCA to figure out -- okay -- what is our -- what kind of evidence do we need?

You know, we're going to do it on a reimbursement basis. Do we want to have a kind of -- you know, how much are we going to pay for a six-inch hole in drywall versus an eight-inch hole in drywall? You've got all kinds -- there's all kinds of things to consider whenever you're going to paying landlords for damages.

And so we're contemplating all of those policies right now, but nothing's going to happen until we

get the Money Follows the Person agreement, and then have that budget be amended as well. So yeah. So that's kind of it for 811.

And then to kind of loop back around the Integrated Housing Rule, like I mentioned, public comments ends today. It's been out for public comment since May 24, and I'm not aware of any comments we've received through the Texas Register System so far.

So we'll bring Integrated Housing Rule, again, to go from 18 to 25 percent to the September Board meeting, and then that will also coincide with the 811 rule being bumped up from 18 to 25 percent as well. So yeah. So that's all I had.

MS. HISSAM: Do you want to mention the online training?

MR. DURAN: Yes. Thank you, Jessica. We worked really hard to take our online training for our referral agents who give us the referrals to the program, to take that training and put it online. So we have in the past gone out and done on-site trainings for -- I should have brought the number.

It's probably 500 people, maybe more than that, that we've trained. So we'll go to your local nonprofit or your local mental health authority and we'll provide you with in-person training to all your case managers or

all the -- you know, all the folks, and we're going to continue to do that, but because there's so much turnover with those organizations, we -- in the next couple weeks, we should have online a training that can be accessible to all of our referral agents.

And so if you have staff turnover, rather than us having to go back out and train you again or do a one-off webinar, we'll be able to just have an online training for people. So --

MR. IRVINE: I have handed the virtual gavel to Doni.

MR. WILT: Spencer -- Michael Wilt with TSAHC.

The risk mitigation fund -- that's great news. It seems to me that if you avail yourselves to those funds as a landlord that you should have to loosen the restrictions on your tenant selection, because that -- you know, if that's insurance policy against a risky tenant, that risk mitigation fund, then you should have to house hard-to-house people, if you're going to be guaranteeing financial compensation and something goes right in that instance.

MS. HISSAM: Isn't that something that we talked about?

MR. DURAN: Yeah, that's great. We've heard that before. Yeah. So thank you.

MR. GOODWIN: From the landlord's standpoint,

1 I'm saying, wait. I'm taking a risk already, and what are 2 you going to make me to do be reimbursed? 3 MR. WILT: Well, you'll be made whole if 4 something happens with a unit. 5 MR. GOODWIN: Well, you don't know, because he 6 doesn't know. 7 MR. WILT: But I don't think that a landlord should have -- should be able to restrict tenants and 8 9 avail themselves to a mitigation fund that's meant to 10 quard -- be an insurance policy against risky tenants. 11 MS. HISSAM: Especially those that have, like, 12 ten-year felony lookbacks, the really, really extensive 13 ones --14 MR. WILT: Right. 15 MS. HISSAM: -- you know. 16 MR. GOODWIN: I would say, with qualifications, a person who damages an apartment, I'll say, through 17 18 violence, is not only damaging the apartments, but they're 19 affecting their neighbors, and so you now have a case 20 where -- am I required to take in a person that has a history of violence because I'm going to get paid if he 21 22 breaks my door? 23 And the answer is no, because the violence is 24 affecting everybody else that lives there, or potentially

lives there. So it needs to be really vetted pretty hard

1 to require loosening the screening process from prior 2 landlord checks. Just a comment. Okay? 3 I'm not going to challenge you or right about 4 it. 5 MR. WILT: No, I understand. 6 MR. GOODWIN: You know, I understand your 7 point, but from the other side -- that there's a point on the other side too about who do I have to take? 8 9 MR. WILT: I guess there's a guestion of how --10 what is the -- you know, what is the appropriate lookback 11 period? If somebody hasn't been violent for 10 years --12 MR. GOODWIN: No, you're -- yeah --13 MR. WILT: -- and they're still excluding them 14 from a unit --15 MS. HISSAM: Right. 16 MR. WILT: -- from a felony 11 years ago, it 17 doesn't --18 MR. GOODWIN: You know, the one that surprised 19 me the most is that you've got two people on the list that were turned down for credit. How in the world do you turn 20 down somebody for credit when they're getting rent-21 22 scammed? 23 MS. HISSAM: Right. 24 MR. GOODWIN: I don't care if they get their 25 car repossessed. If my rent's quaranteed --

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MS. HISSAM: It doesn't matter.
2	MR. GOODWIN: I don't care what your MR.
3	DURAN: Yeah, and a lot of the
4	MS. GREEN: But their share if they're in
5	arrears on their share
6	MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.
7	MS. GREEN: that's a risk.
8	MR. DURAN: Yeah, and so the credit, just to
9	kind of so Mr. Goodwin was referring to the table. So
10	like, credit shows four rejections globally, but also
11	landlords so if you owe money to a landlord, we count
12	that as landlord.
13	MS. GREEN: A landlord. Okay.
14	MR. DURAN: Right. So it's kind of muddled.
15	MS. GREEN: Okay.
16	MR. DURAN: I actually maybe could do a better
17	job of that. So the landlord rejection, it could be a
18	landlord reference, but really it's most often owing a
19	previous
20	MR. GOODWIN: Left owing money.
21	MR. DURAN: landlord money. Yeah. So it's a
22	little bit higher than before.
23	MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.
24	MR. WILT: Have you there are a couple of
25	outliers on this when it comes to criminal rejections:

1	Prairie Commons and Renaissance Square.
2	MS. GREEN: Yeah. Dallas-Fort Worth.
3	MR. WILT: Right.
4	MR. GOODWIN: Right.
5	MS. HISSAM: Yeah.
6	MS. GREEN: Those are my friends.
7	MR. WILT: I mean, when you are rejecting 30 to
8	40 percent of the applicants
9	MR. DURAN: Yes.
10	MR. WILT: that you've asked to look at their
11	criteria
12	MS. GREEN: Which is interesting because for
13	those referrals we make, we ask about criminal history.
14	We don't do our own check.
14 15	We don't do our own check. MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time?
15	MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time?
15 16	MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time? MS. GREEN: Oh, yeah.
15 16 17	MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time? MS. GREEN: Oh, yeah. MS. HISSAM: Uh-huh.
15 16 17 18	MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time? MS. GREEN: Oh, yeah. MS. HISSAM: Uh-huh. MS. GREEN: Yeah.
15 16 17 18	MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time? MS. GREEN: Oh, yeah. MS. HISSAM: Uh-huh. MS. GREEN: Yeah. MR. DURAN: Yeah. So the best practice is
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time? MS. GREEN: Oh, yeah. MS. HISSAM: Uh-huh. MS. GREEN: Yeah. MR. DURAN: Yeah. So the best practice is definitely to for the case managers or whoever's
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time? MS. GREEN: Oh, yeah. MS. HISSAM: Uh-huh. MS. GREEN: Yeah. MR. DURAN: Yeah. So the best practice is definitely to for the case managers or whoever's assisting that applicant, have a frank conversation about
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. DURAN: You ask about it ahead of time? MS. GREEN: Oh, yeah. MS. HISSAM: Uh-huh. MS. GREEN: Yeah. MR. DURAN: Yeah. So the best practice is definitely to for the case managers or whoever's assisting that applicant, have a frank conversation about what their criminal interaction has been so that you're

1 rejections, they are high, but we do have them show us the 2 rejection letter, and then it has to have the required 3 language, reasonable accommodation, reasonable 4 modification, you know, 14-day notice to appeal, you know, 5 there's a whole bunch of stuff. 6 So I'm fairly confident that although they are 7 high they've -- I don't want to vouch for them, but 8 they've probably been, you know, technically legal --9 MR. WILT: Okay. 10 MR. DURAN: -- and so it's the -- they get to 11 choose their own criteria and apply it within the confines 12 of the law and the policies. 13 MS. GREEN: And it could also be a reflection 14 on where the referrals are coming from, because we have a 15 disproportionate share of people with criminal history, 16 but I would venture to guess that it's much lower than 17 clients referred by the mental health authority. 18 So if you're getting a greater share from the 19 LMHAs, I would expect to see a higher rate of rejections 20 for criminal history. MS. HISSAM: I know the majority of the 21 22 referrals are from the LHMAs --23 MS. GREEN: Uh-huh. 24 MS. HISSAM: -- right now --

Right.

MR. DURAN:

MS. HISSAM: -- so yeah.

MR. DURAN: Yes. So yeah. I don't know,
Michael. It's -- yeah. And just to clarify your point
about the mitigation fund and trying to use it as an
incentive to lower criteria, were you suggesting that if
we did take that approach as an incentive that if we tried
to get them -- if we asked to lower criteria, that it be
tied to a risk for damage?

Does that make sense? So should we offer the risk -- the damage fund if you have a poor criminal history related to marijuana usage, you know? Or should it be lower your criteria as it relates to previous damages that you've done?

MR. WILT: Well, I just think criteria across the board should be lowered. I mean, credit, criminal, whatever. Ultimately, you're going to get made whole, and I realize that you might be impacting clear tenants if you have a violent occupant, but if -- philosophically, if the goal of a risk mitigation fund is to house hard-to-house people, then you'd think that you would relax screening criteria across the board so that you would create the incentive to house those people, knowing that worst-case scenario, I'll still be made financially complete.

MR. DURAN: Yeah, absolutely.

MS. NEVILLE: Would it be connected just to the

1 specific tenants that are hard to house or is it, you 2 know, for all their tenants that -- like for every single 3 person that they house that they would get this --4 MR. DURAN: Right. So one approach could be if 5 you someone who is -- who would be rejected --6 MS. NEVILLE: Uh-huh. 7 MR. DURAN: -- as a way to incentivize the landlord --8 9 MS. NEVILLE: Right. 10 MR. DURAN: -- to take that one particular 11 tenant, you could then say, well, this tenant will qualify 12 for this safety net program --13 MS. NEVILLE: Uh-huh. 14 MR. DURAN: -- so we could do it like that by a 15 case-by-case basis in response to rejections, you know, or 16 on the back end, to access the funds, you would have to 17 not only show us the unreimbursed costs for the actual 18 damages themselves, but then also lower your criteria, or 19 on the front end in general, if you want to participate in 20 this program, then you have to just lower on the front 21 end. 22 MS. NEVILLE: Right. 23 MR. DURAN: So there's a lot -- yeah, there's a 24 lot of things to consider.

MS. NEVILLE: Different options, uh-huh.

then to the question about credit, so is there education that happens, like, after -- you know, under the landlord column, you know, if so many people are getting denied because of rental arrears, do we go back and talk to the landlords about this -- about the program and the voucher and that the rent is covered, or --

MR. DURAN: I think that the local service coordinator or service provider --

MS. NEVILLE: Uh-huh.

MR. DURAN: -- you know, they're the tenants' best -- they're the applicants' best advocate, and so hopefully we have done a good job in educating them about the program to be able to tell the landlord, well, the tenant portion of rent is only going to be 50 bucks, and the program pays the balance of \$750 of the remaining rent. So --

MS. NEVILLE: Uh-huh.

MR. DURAN: -- I think that -- I hope that the referral agents are doing a good job of explaining the program and then on our side, we also do an in-person training for all of our property managers and owners who participate in the program.

So hopefully we've done a good job of explaining to them that this is a project-based voucher and tenant portion of rent is generally very low and we

1 pay the difference. 2 MS. SYLVESTER: And I know that it probably 3 won't work for most of your folks, but there are some 4 folks that would qualify as homeless under HUD's program, and you know, HUD's ESG Program, this is an eligible 5 6 expense, to go pay back rent owed a landlord in order to 7 house somebody. 8 So that would be something to look into, for 9 people who would qualify as homeless. 10 MS. YEVICH: And you are Megan Sylvester. Sorry. Megan Sylvester of 11 MS. SYLVESTER: 12 And we don't do that very often. We look at other 13 programs, but that could be something to look into. 14 MS. YEVICH: But the risk mitigation fund paying off the --15 16 MS. SYLVESTER: No, not the risk mitigation 17 This is to the point of that sometimes people are 18 being rejected --19 MS. YEVICH: Right. 20 MS. SYLVESTER: -- because they owe another 21 landlord money. 22 MS. YEVICH: Right. 23 MS. NEVILLE: And you said that's the HUD --24 what did you call it? 25 MS. SYLVESTER: It's the Emergency Solutions

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 grant. It's --2 MS. NEVILLE: Oh, okay. 3 MS. SYLVESTER: -- probably not going to work 4 for most folks because they won't qualify. If they've 5 been in a nursing home or a facility for a long time, they don't --6 7 MS. NEVILLE: Uh-huh, right. 8 MS. SYLVESTER: -- qualify as homeless. 9 MS. HISSAM: But we did -- what -- 50 percent 10 of our referrals by accident ended up qualifying as 11 homeless, right? 12 MR. DURAN: Around 40 percent are homeless or 13 at risk of homeless. 14 MS. HISSAM: Right. So it's probably, like, a 20ish? 15 16 MR. DURAN: Yeah, I don't know. 17 MS. HISSAM: Ish. 18 MR. DURAN: Yeah. But it is -- that is a good 19 point, that sometimes small amounts of money can go a long 20 way. Like, I used to work around foreclosure issues, and 21 the average amount owed on a foreclosure that caused the 22 tenants to lose their house is frighteningly low --23 MS. HISSAM: Right. 24 MR. DURAN: -- like a couple hundred dollars --25 MS. GREEN: Wow.

MR. DURAN: -- at times, and so you know, yeah, 1 2 cleaning up a previous landlord or a utility debt that is 3 acting as a hard barrier, if you had a couple hundred 4 bucks to throw at that problem, it could definitely --5 MS. HISSAM: And this is ESG grants? So --6 MS. YEVICH: Yeah. So you know, the State gets 7 a pot, but we can't spend it directly. We have to use it for subs, so you've got to convince your local -- you 8 9 know, the local recipient that that's a good use of those 10 funds to partner with you. But I know that the -- I think here in Austin 11 12 that they have a program like that with --13 MS. HISSAM: Yeah. So that's great and I'm 14 curious if there's a way that perhaps we could get the 15 list of maybe referral agent entities who receive ESG 16 grants so we can maybe specifically reach out and say, 17 hey, I know that you guys are getting this. Have you 18 thought about this? 19 MR. DURAN: That's a great -- yeah, we --20 that's a great point. We've -- that's something that's on my lifetime to-do list --21 22 MS. HISSAM: Cool. 23 MR. DURAN: -- so -- because the 811 Program 24 serves extremely low income people --

MS. HISSAM: Uh-huh.

1	MR. DURAN: so there's a lot of cross they
2	would dual-qualify.
3	MS. HISSAM: They are cross-pollination funds.
4	MR. DURAN: Cross-pollination.
5	MS. HISSAM: Yeah.
6	MR. DURAN: Yes. Thank you. That's a great
7	point, yeah.
8	MS. HISSAM: But if we can get that list back
9	for at least for me, it could be super duper helpful
10	MR. DURAN: Yeah.
11	MS. HISSAM: to let them know.
12	MR. DURAN: Thank you.
13	MS. HISSAM: Thanks.
14	MR. DURAN: Okay.
15	MS. YEVICH: Was there anyone on the phone that
16	wanted to participate or add anything? I thought I heard
17	somebody want to chime in? Hearing none
18	MR. DURAN: So yeah. So thank y'all for
19	y'all's feedback, and I'll continue to keep this group
20	updated on 811, the rule changes, and how the risk
21	mitigation fund policies start to shape up.
22	MS. LAVELLE: May I ask a question real quick?
23	MS. HISSAM: Yeah.
24	MS. LAVELLE: This is Tanya Lavelle with the
25	Hogg Foundation for Mental Health. I was wondering if

1 you're actually tracking the specific reasons why families 2 are backing out of the program? I think you mentioned 3 that they decided not to live there. 4 MS. GREEN: So we've had several who have 5 backed out and the primary issue -- when we first started 6 making referrals, there was only one property, which was a 7 townhome, and it wasn't really accessible to people with disabilities. 8 9 The smoking issue was a barrier as well. 10 MS. HISSAM: Transportation, like you 11 mentioned, getting somewhere has been a big thing. 12 having third-floor units when we need a first-floor unit. 13 That's been a thing. Bedrooms, is that one as well? 14 amount --MS. GREEN: Uh-huh. 15 MS. HISSAM: -- the unit itself --16 17 MS. GREEN: Yeah. 18 MS. HISSAM: -- doesn't match the needs of the 19 family and/or person. That's been a thing. It's usually 20 pretty practical means overall. MR. DURAN: Yeah, and then there's a lot of 21 22 folks who, when they sign up for the program, they check all the --23 24 MS. HISSAM: Check every box.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. DURAN: -- properties and --

1 MS. HISSAM: Every box, because there's a lot 2 of them. 3 MR. DURAN: -- yeah, and so with a vacancy pops 4 up in El Paso and you live in Houston, they're, like, 5 never mind. 6 MS. HISSAM: Like my great uncle lived in El 7 Paso, and they thought about it, and they're, like, no --8 MR. DURAN: Right, right. 9 MS. HISSAM: -- you know, so -- but it takes a while to figure that out. 10 11 MR. DURAN: But your larger question of, are we 12 tracking the reasons -- I think so. I'll definitely look. 13 MS. LAVELLE: Okay. Thank you. 14 MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: Spencer, this is 15 Josefa Flournoy with ACOG. So do any of these ever come 16 back? Is there a limitation on coming back? And do these 17 families know that it's not necessarily any easier to get 18 a unit otherwise? 19 MR. DURAN: Right. So all the referral status 20 that are denied, except for the LIFT program, they would 21 be -- they would stay on the larger list and then they 22 would have the opportunity to still be eligible for other 23 properties, and then if they declined a unit, then they 24 would still be the next in line at that property.

MS. SYLVESTER: So like, for example, in the

1 scenario where the family really needed a two-bedroom, but 2 only a one-bedroom came up, they're still the next one on 3 the list. Right? MR. DURAN: Yes, yes. 4 5 MS. SYLVESTER: Is that -- yeah. MR. DURAN: Yes. I mean, bedroom size is 6 7 slightly more tricky because a lot of times, well, you know, unless your bedroom changes, or your family size 8 9 changes, then your unit size will not change either. So 10 that's one of the -- yeah, you'd stay eligible. MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: And if they use the 11 12 program, can they come back? Or can they come back? 13 MR. DURAN: Yeah. They're welcome to reapply. 14 A lot of times people leave because they got a better 15 subsidized housing deal. Like they got a housing choice 16 voucher that allows for mobility, whereas our program is 17 tenant based and does not allow for mobility. 18 MR. SYLVESTER: Yeah, project-based. 19 MR. DURAN: Oh, project-based. 20 MS. NEVILLE: The 106 that are in process -what does that mean? 21 22 MR. DURAN: They have been referred to properties, and as of yesterday, we haven't heard back 23 24 from the property manager or the applicant about what

25

their status is.

1	MS. NEVILLE: So when you say, they've been
2	referred, there's an actual unit of family?
3	MR. DURAN: Yes.
4	MS. NEVILLE: Okay.
5	MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: And are these are
6	the access vouchers? Is that
7	MS. HISSAM: No.
8	MR. DURAN: This is a Section 811 project
9	rental assistance.
10	MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: Okay. So it's an 811
11	voucher? Is that
12	MR. DURAN: It's well, we don't really call
13	it a voucher. It's 811 project rental assistance.
14	MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: Okay.
15	MR. DURAN: It's associated with these specific
16	participating properties.
17	MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: And there are still
18	current funds for that? Is this ongoing?
19	MR. DURAN: Yes.
20	MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: Okay.
21	MR. DURAN: Yes.
22	MS. GREEN: Thank you. We will move to an
23	update on the definition of service-enriched housing.
24	Elizabeth?
25	MS. YEVICH: Yes. And much mirroring what

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Spencer just said about the integrated housing rule to remind everyone this is the definition of service-enriched housing which the Council agreed upon back in 2010. It was out for rule review and I think the last time we met in May, we had said we were going to take it to the May Board meeting, and just like the integrated housing rule, it went before the Board, was approved for the Board at the May 25 meeting, went to the Texas Register.

It's been out for public comment almost about five weeks now. Just like the integrated housing rule, it closes today at five o'clock, and unlike the integrated housing rule, we have one comment. So that's where we differ.

I think in your packet, Kali put in the rule, and if you could look at the language and where it starts in the bold print, "Service-enriched housing is defined as integrated, affordable, accessible housing. It provides residents with the opportunity to receive on-site or offsite."

The comment was, and I will read you that -they would like to strike the words, "or off-site." And
it said, we don't believe -- the commenter said that "we
do not believe that housing providing services 'off-site'
is considered service-enriched housing.

"When services are off-site, it's difficult to

confirm if the appropriate services are being provided nor monitor the level of quality of services. Furthermore, off-site services require transportation which could be a barrier for a resident to receive the appropriate services. We believe the designation of service-enriched housing should carry a meaningful benefit and only on-site appropriate services can effectively accomplish this designation."

This came from National Church Residencies. So I wanted to open this up to Council and what are your thoughts?

MR. GOODWIN: Well, I know Michelle Norris who is a VP for development, and I'm surprised she would say that.

MR. WILT: I would say approximately located.

If you don't want to say, off-site, just to where it's not a reasonable barrier to get health services.

MR. GOODWIN: Remember the place we went to in El Paso, which -- it was a services facility for persons with disabilities, and none of them lived there, but that service center provided pick-up and transportation and medication monitoring and physical therapy and everything else to the community.

And to say that we're now going to throw that away, because if you don't take it to your property, we're

1 not going to let you do it -- I think you're going to lose 2 a tremendous asset in many communities. 3 I get what they're saying, because MR. WILT: 4 service-enriched housing isn't service enriched if it's a mile and a half away or two miles from --5 MS. GREEN: But it could be --6 7 MR. WILT: -- the health facility. 8 MR. GOODWIN: If it provides --9 MS. GREEN: -- and again, I think if you have someone who is assisting tenants in making that 10 11 connection --12 MR. WILT: Right. 13 MS. GREEN: -- there are lots of services. 14 You're not going to have a medical clinic set up shop --15 MS. HISSAM: Right. MS. GREEN: -- in a housing project, but if you 16 17 have a service coordinator who can help arrange Medicaid 18 transportation to the clinic or if you can arrange the 19 Triple A transportation service to get somebody to a 20 senior center, there's value in that. 21 I think what she's saying is that you don't 22 want the property saying, oh, we're service-enriched, and 23 you know, here are 13 great agencies that are, you know, 24 located in east Texas. Good luck with that. So I hear

what she's saying, but I think it's valid to include off-

site.

Again, what I'm looking for is someone who can help tenants access those services regardless of the location, but I think if you limit services to those that can be made available on-site, we're being too restrictive.

MR. WILT: So could you, say, receive on-site or providing access to off-site?

MS. GREEN: Yeah, yeah, I like that.

MS. HISSAM: Or coordinate access.

MS. GREEN: And coordinate access too. I like that.

MS. SYLVESTER: It's easier for changes to be made if we receive the specific comment, written comment.

MS. YEVICH: Right. So if we're going to change this, then again, and I'm glad you're here, would -- because this is Council's rule, but it's through TDHCA, would we then have to vote on it? Would it have to go back to the Texas Register, if we're going to change this wording?

MS. SYLVESTER: I would want to check with our general counsel. I think that if this is -- if we received a comment that said what Michael just said, that you know, that is something we could consider and it would be up to Beau whether that's beyond the scope.

1 I wouldn't think it would be, but he is the --2 he's the boss. 3 MS. YEVICH: So if it's beyond the scope of 4 what this definition is, it would have to open back up for 5 another four weeks of public comment and it would --6 MS. GREEN: And I would just see it as bringing 7 greater clarity the issue. MS. SYLVESTER: Yeah. 8 9 MS. GREEN: It's really not changing at all. 10 MS. SYLVESTER: Yeah. I mean, like I said, I 11 don't want to speak for my boss, but I do know that staff 12 can't just make that change at this point, that we would 13 need a written comment saying that. 14 MS. ADAMS: Can it come from a Council member? MS. SYLVESTER: It can come from a Council --15 16 it can come from anyone. The public comment is open until 17 five o'clock. 18 MR. GOODWIN: Going back to this thing being 19 beat to death with a club, my recollection is that 20 aspect -- by saying, off-site, there was a connotation 21 that the resident whom you say had access -- not just, oh, 22 it's across town, so you can go. It had the whole bucket with it. 23 24 services for your particular disability are available over 25 there, and the system gets you there and back --

1 MS. YEVICH: That's -- yes, from --2 MR. GOODWIN: -- and that's --3 MS. YEVICH: -- going back to 2010, I believe, 4 and it's --5 MR. GOODWIN: -- we tried to keep it fairly 6 concise without having so many words in there that --7 you've got 17 comments before you get to the end of the 8 sentence --9 MS. YEVICH: Right. 10 MR. GOODWIN: -- but to be inclusive by saying, 11 you can do it either on-site or off-site at the choice of 12 the resident, and if it's off-site, that connotes the 13 ability for the resident to be there, and however that 14 happens, whether they have a family member or they can 15 drive themselves or there is a service that says, part of 16 our services, we transport you back and forth. 17 MR. WILT: Right. So it could be -- have 18 access, or provides access and coordinates off-site. I 19 think you said, coordinate access --20 MS. HISSAM: Yeah. MR. WILT: -- because then, if you're providing 21 22 access, but not --MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, but the housing --23 MS. HISSAM: But --24 25 MR. GOODWIN: -- is not going to the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 coordinated -- the housing --2 MR. WILT: I thought that's what Doni was 3 saying. 4 MR. GOODWIN: -- the coordination is going to be 5 done by the service providers. 6 MS. HISSAM: Which naturally should coordinate 7 with the housing --MR. GOODWIN: Okay. It's not the housing 8 9 manager's job to go call a taxi. Okay? MR. WILT: But then is it service-enriched 10 11 housing if they're not providing that service? 12 MR. GOODWIN: That was part of the issue -- is 13 that -- I'll give you an example even deeper than this. 14 811 housing before TDHCA owned it was privately owned. 15 Generally, it was sponsored by the national mental 16 health -- local organizations, not national. 17 The site manager is not qualified nor can you 18 take the liability to make them the case manager. 19 recognize they have a resident with a problem, and they 20 call a case manager or a family member and they're responsible for managing. 21 22 MS. HISSAM: That's the coordination. 23 MR. GOODWIN: We had an area, a room, that if 24 someone was bringing something on that was available to

them, and so the coordination was saying, oh, yeah, you

1 can have the room for an hour. But it was not the 2 manager's responsibility to get a resident to their off-3 site services. 4 You can't -- that's too much liability. You 5 can't lay that on them. Because if that person doesn't 6 make it there and back, you all of a sudden have a private 7 owner that is facing a multi-million-dollar lawsuit. So you killed my grandmother. 8 9 MR. WILT: Which is -- that's perfectly fine. They don't have to do that. They just can't be called 10 11 service-enriched housing. MS. GREEN: And I don't think we're saying that 12 13 the housing folks have to do that, but in order for it --14 MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, that's what I'm saying. MS. GREEN: -- to be service-enriched --15 16 MR. WILT: No, just coordinated. Right. To be 17 service-enriched --18 MS. HISSAM: Yeah, you guys, I think, are 19 speaking the same language here. 20 MR. WILT: Right. MS. GREEN: Yeah. 21 22 MS. HISSAM: They're not making -- the 23 expectations of service-enriched housing is not to make 24 the housing people --

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. GREEN: Responsible.

MS. HISSAM: -- responsible. They --1 2 MS. GREEN: We're just saying that the 3 tenants --4 MR. GOODWIN: Well, but then the --5 MS. GREEN: -- have access to someone --6 MR. GOODWIN: -- on-site is coordinated too, but 7 we don't say, coordinated on-site or coordinated off-site. It's connoted in the fact that if you add that, there is 8 9 a method in place to provide it. 10 MS. HISSAM: And that they should be 11 responsible --MS. GREEN: But it's done by outside folks, the 12 13 service agency, and that's why we went through this whole, 14 I'll say, mish-mash of having concentrated service 15 providers, where you get 17 people that are providing 16 various services all coordinated through this program, and 17 everybody knows who they are. 18 MS. HISSAM: In the past, but I think -- I 19 totally see what -- like, what everybody said about where 20 the comment is meaning -- but two -- like, two of the main 21 pinnacles of permanent supportive housing is, one, choice 22 and two, while integrated housing and services are 23 together, that they do not have to be co-located on site, 24 because inherently, if you know your doctor is going to

see you walking home from the grocery store, when he

really has no business being involved in that level of your life, it's a choice to have a separation from that.

So it's supposed to be -- you can have service-enriched housing in terms of having clinic on-site, like Mobile Loaves and Fishes, but really, it should be an option. Do I want to live in a place that has that, or do I want to live in a place where I can be coordinated and go off-site.

So I see what they're saying, but if we cut off-site, it just wouldn't speak to -- there wouldn't be any service-enriched housing, because there isn't a lot of on-site places. So --

MS. NEVILLE: It seems like there could be, though, an opportunity to strengthen that last word. So something -- more coordinated, off-site, health-related services, or access to the coordination of -- something, like, works with a little bit, just so that it's a little stronger, so it's not, like, just a property that's saying, here's a list of community resources -- right -- and that Council is servicing.

Because that's not the intent.

MS. GREEN: Right.

MR. GOODWIN: But to get into the program in the first place, the property has to already have the connections.

MS. NEVILLE: Right.

MR. GOODWIN: It's not a list. It is a connection with a, what I'll call it, community-based organization that is buying in on the other side of the special services. And I'll remind you of my old cliche. An elephant was a racehorse that was designed by committee.

By the time everybody got -- saying, we need -it needs bigger feet, because it needs to stand on them.
Well, you need a thing back here to swat the flies off.
And you need the ears up here so they can fan themselves
in hot weather.

MS. NEVILLE: It's well taken. I think, though, the word -- I had thought of the word, connection, as well. Like, I don't know if that could be something to put in there, just that -- to make it clear that the housing property manager is not the one responsible for providing those services, but that in and of itself, this housing property has formal connections with resources.

MS. HISSAM: That's what I mean. Right.

MS. NEVILLE: Because that's more fundamental to it. Right.

MS. HISSAM: Yeah. Provides residents with assistance to coordinate an opportunity to receive on-site and off-site -- they just need to be a part of the

treatment team, but not have -- as the housing manager, they just have to be a formal -- be comfortable with calling these people, not just say, hands-off. I can't help you.

MS. ZATARAIN-FLOURNOY: Typically, in most of the communities that I visit -- this is Josefa Flournoy again -- typically, most of the communities that I visit and work with that are -- have some type of affordability component, the great many of the majority of them are either required to have a service-coordinating function under their LURA, or because of, you know, their mission and vision statement, they have a housing-coordinating function.

And it's the service coordinator that is the connection to the services, and Mr. Goodwin is correct when you note that the property management should not be the responsible party for coordinating any of these types of services because it's not in either party's favor.

It's not in the property management's favor to have the responsibility, nor is it on their resident tenants' favor to have the property management to be their service coordinator, because property management is the business end of this relationship, and the residents need someone who is unbiased in that regard.

And so as they work with -- when the property

management works with residents, they can't be both. They can't be, like, looking out for their needs, their interests, knowing what their health conditions are, you know, delving into their personal information, like Social Security and other health information, and also be the person that is, you know, sending out the late notices or issuing fees and fines.

And so the service coordinator has that function. Many times, I find that the property that -- even though the communities and properties have this responsibility to have the service coordinating function, they don't have one on-site, and it's typically the other half of the responsibility for a leasing agent or an assistant property manager.

But there's a conflict with that. They're not meeting the responsibility and their obligation to provide the service-coordinating function. Recently, in San Antonio, as we worked through an eight -- approximately an eight-month process for housing -- with the Housing Policy Task Force, we had a technical working group that I was appointed to serve on, and we discussed, you know, the needs of special populations, disabled, elderly and others, you know, that are often faced with these difficulties and have these challenges, and so we determined that the service-enriched communities, as we

ultimately referred to them, was really something that was of importance to us and that we needed to create priorities for.

And so this kind of speaks to the on-site/offsite portion of the discussion, where not only did we
choose not to say, housing, but we chose instead,
communities, because that gave us the opportunity and
flexibility to reflect how important it was to have
service coordination, not only for multi-family units and
that type of a community, but also for established
communities where many of these populations currently live
or want to live, or will ultimately have to choose a unit
in.

And so the service coordinating in the community concept was established, communities that would be reinvested in, and so that there was -- that there -- because a service-enriched portion of what these populations need is so significant and so important, that we chose not to go with housing necessarily, but with communities.

And so ultimately, I think it will probably be reflected in both ways, like, it will probably say, service-enriched housing communities. But yes, it definitely ought to be an on-site and off-site. And then just kind to close this off, and one other opportunity

that I had to offer, you know, this expression of residence need on-site services to the degree that, as we build, maybe there ought to be larger units or spaces where providers can make themselves available on-site.

There was a note that there might be something that might preclude, say, a health provider to have an actual presence. So whether it was on a rotating basis or some other basis, and so I don't know if that's attached to the OAP.

That's something that I still need to follow up and research on. And so if we say, only on-site, which was the original -- one comment on this change, only on-site, then we're -- it's going to paint the situation into a corner.

And so definitely -- but maybe something as simple as, and/or, might be easier than trying to change the entire statement.

MS. NEVILLE: Yeah, I agree to definitely include both on-site and off-site. I'm wondering if we just added, coordinated. So to receive coordinated on-site or off-site health-related --

MS. HISSAM: Right.

MS. NEVILLE: -- that that would kind of get to the point.

MS. HISSAM: We just need to be involved.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MS. YEVICH: Right. And thank you. And this
2	comment had just come in. And to sort of recap and
3	clarify, because it's open for public comment now, the
4	only comment we have received is to strike off-site, and
5	from what I'm hearing here, the reasoned response that
6	TDHCA would write, that the Council is agreeing, is not to
7	strike that.
8	However, in order to add something, we would
9	need a public comment officially received by five o'clock
10	this evening. So just so everybody understands the
11	process, because this is out for official public comment.
12	So any
13	MR. GOODWIN: You would also have to go back
14	and get a quorum to be
15	MS. GREEN: Well, but this group
16	MR. WILT: Well, anybody can do it on their
17	volition.
18	MS. GREEN: this group doesn't have to take
19	action.
20	MR. WILT: Right.
21	MS. YEVICH: There we go. No, no. He's right.
22	MS. HISSAM: It's just the
23	MS. YEVICH: Yeah, and thank you for clarifying
24	that. Actually, we would not need quorum for that,
25	because it goes out through TDHCA's discussion and it's

1	Council's rule I mean, it's Council's definition. It's
2	very confusing. But it's under it's TDHCA that takes
3	the rule out.
4	So Doni is correct, and that's a great
5	question. So is the complicated process clarified? I
6	made it more complicated. And Megan, anything else to add
7	on that?
8	MS. SYLVESTER: No.
9	MS. YEVICH: Okay.
10	MS. GREEN: Good conversation.
11	MS. YEVICH: Yeah.
12	MS. GREEN: All righty.
13	MS. YEVICH: Okay.
14	MS. GREEN: Public comment. Any other public
15	comment?
16	(No response.)
17	MS. GREEN: Okay. Seeing none, general
18	updates. Kali, do we have a date
19	MS. YEVICH: Yeah.
20	MS. ADAMS: Yes. We are proposing a future
21	meeting date for Wednesday, October 24. Does anyone have
22	any issues with that?
23	MS. YEVICH: That you know of right now?
24	MS. ADAMS: Yeah. If not, are there any
25	requested agenda items?

MS. HISSAM: Okay. Yeah.

MS. ADAMS: Are there any requested agenda items for our next meeting?

MS. GREEN: I'm interested in hearing more about the rent averaging, but it sounds like there's no clear guidance so --

MS. ADAMS: Okay. All right.

MS. GREEN: -- I think that answers the question.

MS. ADAMS: Yeah.

MR. GOODWIN: Another -- the -- I think the issue is, if you're going to do that, to get the IRS or something in the tax laws as to how you handle your taxes, but from a landlord's standpoint, there's no reason -- that if I'm going to pro forma, I can't income average. I can set the rents wherever I want, and in fact, we've done that on a couple of properties, where we've lowered the rents on a couple of the units for affordability that are the same, that are the same -- that are -- we'll, say, \$50 a month higher for somebody that's not in that affordable category.

MS. SYLVESTER: I think one of the big outstanding questions, and this has been discussed with the developer community, is that the -- so when you declare a tax credit project, you have a project, and the

project can be a building.

It can be a group of buildings. It can be, you know, kind of whatever. And the other two choices, the historical choices, specifically allow those units to float within your project, but the way this law was written, it doesn't specifically say that, and so the question is, does it have to be a fixed unit, like if this -- if I declare this unit as a 30 percent unit, am I now declaring that to be, that physical unit a 30 percent unit for the entire time, or can it float as it in the other choices?

And that's -- from a claiming credit perspective, that's a big unknown. And there's some other more minor things, but that's a big one.

(Chime sounds.)

MS. HISSAM: It seems like our signal.

MS. GREEN: Pass the collection plate.

MR. GOODWIN: I don't know if you all saw the Facebook post of a person my age technologically working and it's a sloth. I had no idea how to make this thing mute, not --

MS. GREEN: Okay.

MR. GOODWIN: It does what it wants.

MS. HISSAM: Well, and it did perfectly, I

25 think.

1	MS. GREEN: Any other business? Seeing none,
2	we will adjourn at 11:49.
3	MS. HISSAM: Wow. Good.
4	MS. GREEN: Thank you all.
5	MS. YEVICH: Thank you, Doni.
6	MS. HISSAM: Thank you.
7	MS. YEVICH: Thank you all.
8	(Whereupon, at 11:49 a.m., the meeting was
9	adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE MEETING OF: Housing and Health Services Coordination

Austin, Texas

Council

LOCATION:

DATE: July 11, 2018

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 90, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Elizabeth Stoddard before the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.

DATE: July 15, 2018

(Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting & Transcription, Inc. 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515 Austin, Texas 78752