TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

HOUSING AND HEALTH SERVICES COORDINATION COUNCIL MEETING

Room 1410
Brown Heatly Building
4900 N. Lamar Boulevard
Austin, Texas

October 21, 2015 10:00 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

DONI GREEN, Chair
MARTHA BAGLEY
SUZANNE BARNARD
JUSTIN COLEMAN (absent)
KENNETH DARDEN
RICHARD DE LOS SANTOS (absent)
ALISON EVANS
MICHAEL GOODWIN (absent)
PENNY LARKIN
MARK MAYFIELD
ANNA SONENTHAL
MICHAEL WILT

I N D E X AGENDA ITEM PAGE CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL 3 ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM 1 5 Approval of Meeting Minutes from July 2015 1 Update on Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program 1 7 Overview of Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment (DSRIP) 4 Discussion of HHSCC 2016-2017 Biennial Plan 5 0 Public Comment none General Update/Next Steps/Staff Assignments 5

ADJOURN

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	MS. GREEN: All right. Good morning everyone.
3	We are going to go ahead and get started. I show it is
4	10:04 a.m.
5	And Tim is unable to be with us today, so in
6	his absence, I will be chairing this morning's meeting.
7	I don't believe we have a quorum.
8	FEMALE VOICE: I think we do, actually.
9	MS. GREEN: We do?
10	FEMALE VOICE: Uh-huh.
11	MS. GREEN: So let's go ahead and do roll
12	call. And Suzanne Barnard?
13	MS. BARNARD: Here.
14	MS. GREEN: Excellent. Richard De Los Santos
15	is not able to be here today.
16	David Danenfelzer?
17	MR. WILT: I am Michael Wilt. I was a
18	temporary replacement for David, but I believe I am
19	permanent now.
20	MS. GREEN: Fantastic. Welcome.
21	Alison Evans is here. Fantastic.
22	Martha Bagley is here.
23	Penny Larkin, she is not here.
24	MS. RICHARD: She is here. She just ran out
25	for a phone call.

1	MS. GREEN: She is? Okay. Wonderful. Anna
2	Sonenthal.
3	MS. SONENTHAL: Here.
4	MS. GREEN: Is here. Justin Coleman is not
5	here. I am Doni Green.
6	Michael Goodwin
7	(No response.)
8	MS. GREEN: I don't see Michael.
9	Kenneth Darden is here; fantastic. Mark
LO	Mayfield
L1	MR. MAYFIELD: Here.
L2	MS. GREEN: Is here. And we have got
L3	vacancies for our health services entity, our affordable
L 4	housing advocate, consumer of service-enriched housing
L 5	and financial institutions representative. So it looks
L 6	like we have one, two, three, four, five, six, I believe
L7	it would be seven, so we do have a quorum. Fantastic.
L 8	All right.
L 9	I would like to give guests an opportunity to
20	introduce themselves.
21	MS. SYLVESTER: Megan Sylvester. I work with
22	TDHCA. I am an attorney that advises them.
23	MS. GREEN: Fantastic.
24	MS. LAVELLE: I am Tanya Lavelle. I do

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Governmental Affairs for Easter Seals Central Texas.

1	MS. CASTILLO: I am Kathleen Castillo. I am
2	with the Housing Resource Center. I work with Terri.
3	MS. OPOT: And I am Kelly Opot. I work with
4	CSH and contracting providers for the services.
5	MS. GREEN: Great. All right. The next item
6	on the agenda sorry.
7	MS. HOLLOWAY: It's okay. I was hiding. I'm
8	Marni Holloway. I am the new Director of Multifamily
9	Finance at TDHCA.
10	MS. GREEN: Excellent. The next item on the
11	agenda is the approval of the meeting minutes from July
12	15, 2015. Do you all need just a few minutes to
13	FEMALE VOICE: We need Penny back in the room
14	to vote. That makes nine.
15	MS. GREEN: Okay. So how about we defer that
16	agenda item and move along to an update on the Section
17	811.
18	MS. BAGLEY: May I ask a question about
19	agendas? Don't we have to approve the minutes from the
20	meeting before as well? Don't we have two sets of
21	minutes that we have to approve?
22	MS. RICHARD: We always just do the meeting
23	before.
24	MS. BAGLEY: Okay. Because we didn't approve
25	the ones, I think, from because we didn't have a

1	quorum.
2	MS. RICHARD: I forgot about that. That's my
3	bad. I forgot about that. Okay. Yes. Thank you,
4	Martha. I'm glad you brought that up.
5	MR. MAYFIELD: I think we had a quorum; it
6	just was
7	MS. RICHARD: We did end up with a quorum
8	actually.
9	MR. MAYFIELD: It was 20 or 30 minutes into
10	the meeting before the quorum actually was assembled.
11	MS. BAGLEY: So we must have done it then, so
12	I'm sorry.
13	MS. RICHARD: I think Tim did start the
14	meeting about 15, 20 minutes late after Spencer's
15	presentation. And they did vote on the minutes.
16	MS. BAGLEY: Okay. Sorry.
17	MS. GREEN: Okay. Very good.
18	MS. RICHARD: No, thank you.
19	MS. GREEN: Okay. So we will circle back to
20	the minutes when Penny returns and we establish our
21	quorum. So again we will
22	MS. RICHARD: I don't see Spencer. I do see
23	Kelly.
24	MS. SONENTHAL: He told me he was coming; I

got an email from him. So he should be here to provide

the 811 update, but he's not here right now.

MS. GREEN: Okay. So we will move on to Item

Number 3, which is the update on the Housing and Services

Partnership Academy. And Kelly Opot is here.

So, Kelly?

MS. OPOT: All right. We put out the request for applications at the end of September and requested that they come in by October 2. And we received six and wanted a couple more, so we extended the deadline until October 14 and received three more and have selected nine teams from a really diverse geographic and size of community.

So we have some rural communities. We have East Texas, we have South Texas, we have West-ish Texas. So we have got a really good representation of the state and large cities, small cities, and rural areas. So we are really excited about the diversity as well as the target populations.

There was a pretty big mix, but there were some communities that are really specific about targeting individuals exiting institutions and people with serious mental illness. So again, diversity of both the communities that are represented as well as the populations that they are serving is really interesting to see.

1 So it should be a really interesting and interactive and lots of information among the academies 2 3 for those that are participating. One of the things that 4 was really interesting about all of the applicants is 5 everybody included a housing authority in their team. 6 MS. RICHARD: Kelly, you maybe want to just 7 maybe back up just a bit and tell them just a little bit 8 high-level overview of what the academy is. 9 MS. OPOT: Sure, sure. 10 MS. SONENTHAL: And then can you tell us 11 about, what are -- who the teams are and who the lead is 12 for them, please? 13 MS. OPOT: I don't know that off the top of my 14 head, who all of the leads are. And we haven't -- so we 15 decided on the applicants yesterday. 16 MS. SONENTHAL: Okay. 17 MS. OPOT: It was our final decision, so I 18 don't have it all memorized yet. 19 MS. SONENTHAL: And they haven't been notified 20 yet. MS. OPOT: And they haven't been notified yet. 21 22 MS. SONENTHAL: Okay. 23 MS. OPOT: Yes. Well, the application itself 24 was to come to a two-day academy as well as receive 25 technical assistance afterward. And so we put out a

request for applications ahead of -- a wide variety of questions. But really asking why the team -- people were supposed to put together a team of five people. And at the minimum requirements for the team were a person with disability or their representative, a service provider for that person, or a service provider for persons with disabilities, as well as either a housing funder, developer, or housing authority.

And we incentivized with bonus points that people include a housing authority that is willing to set aside units for this project -- a preference, essentially -- or a developer who would be interested in setting aside units in one of their developments.

And so most of the teams that responded -- all of the teams that responded included a housing authority, several of them with a housing authority that is committed to including a preference or has an existing preference in their administrative plan and just wanted to figure out how to better use that.

MS. RICHARD: In the definition that we included, we had some language in the request for application about this Council. And this specific request included teams that were wanting -- their goal was to expand service-enriched housing in their community.

And the community could be a county, it could be a city. In some situations we had a community that was nine counties. And so I just wanted you all to know that it was -- you know, we did this contract on behalf of the Council, to replicate the Housing and Services Partnership Academy that was held in 2013.

And that was a recommendation that was included in this Council's last biennial plan, was to be able to replicate that. We had a number of people on the different workgroups that recommended that it be replicated, because we got some really positive feedback.

And then one thing that we didn't have in 2013, we didn't have the follow-up technical assistance. So while we heard great stuff about what they did when they went back to their communities, we really didn't have much of a robust way to evaluate that.

And so with this RFP, CSH -- we asked them to do a robust evaluation and look at a cost-benefit analysis. How much did we spend on this training opportunity and then what outcomes did we have from that.

So hopefully we will be able to bring that to the Council. And I feel confident -- I'm just going to go, you know, the hypothesis is, yes, it was great. We had great outcomes. But we can bring back to the Council what kinds of benefits.

We had some anecdotal. Karen Holt, who is from East Texas -- she presented at this Council last meeting -- said that they went back and became a tenant-based rental assistance administrator through TDHCA. And so we had some other anecdotal information about teams that went back and, you know, did some activities. But we wanted to have a little more.

And then we also had feedback, because CSH sent a survey to all of the team members who had participated before, what they would like to see, and one of the things they wanted to see was some follow-up technical assistance.

So CSH will be following up after the academy with some onsite and offsite technical assistance that will be very specific to the goals that that particular team wants to accomplish. Sorry, I get really excited about this. I'll let you keep going.

MS. OPOT: Well, and the point of the academy and the request for applications was that at the end of it, you will have a plan to develop more service-enriched housing in your communities.

And so we wanted to make sure that the teams include a good mix of people from the community that can actually get that work done. And the other piece of it is there will be pre-academy webinars.

So while we know that there is a lot of information that we want to help people learn in these Academies, we also want them to do some front end work to be really prepared coming in, so that everybody is kind of on the same page when they get there and that we can tailor and move quickly during the academies.

And so there will be kind of pre-academy technical assistance around the webinars, then the academy, and then post-academy technical assistance following up on their plans and kind of how we can help them think through either ways that they are stuck or things that they can do to really move forward with implementing their plans. I think that is -- I did speak a bit about the teams.

But we are -- the scoring group was very excited, and we expect to be able to send notification to them this week or early next week. The academies will be hosted in Austin in early February, and then the technical assistance through June of next year.

So does anybody have questions for me?

MS. SONENTHAL: I do.

MS. OPOT: Yes.

MS. SONENTHAL: I know that at the last housing academy, someone from DSHS came kind of as an observer; I think it was Sam. But is that okay, if I do

Τ	it, by like paying money and coming?
2	MS. RICHARD: Well, we did talk about that the
3	Council members
4	MS. SONENTHAL: Okay.
5	MS. RICHARD: which you are one of, would
6	be able to come and attend and maybe even do some help
7	facilitate some of the groups. We were going to be
8	MS. SONENTHAL: I just went to the LMHA. I
9	don't know, but I think I have hopefully, some of them
10	are going to
11	MS. OPOT: There are some LMHAs in there. The
12	other interesting thing, two of the teams had MCOs as
13	part of their team: managed-care organizations.
14	MS. SONENTHAL: That's great.
15	MS. OPOT: So, yes. An interesting mix of
16	providers and funders and all of that. So we are really
17	excited to see it.
18	MS. RICHARD: Aging and Disability Resource
19	Centers. We had housing navigators that were part of the
20	teams.
21	MS. SONENTHAL: Good. That is awesome.
22	MS. OPOT: Yes. No team was exactly the same.
23	MS. GREEN: And last time a focus of the
24	academy was the presentations on state-funded services.
25	So there may be invitation to present as well, depending

on --

MS. OPOT: Potentially, yes. I mean, we are still working on the curriculum part, so we have a little training center at CSH and people that specialize in it.

And she is working -- Nicole, my colleague, is working on the curriculum with me.

And so we are trying to figure out how to put all of that in. And certainly we will probably include some of that in the pre-academy webinar as well, so maybe an opportunity for some of you to talk about resources.

You can also come watch. It will be in Austin, so you don't really have to pay for anything.

MS. SONENTHAL: Okay. But whatever you need for us to come is fine.

MR. MAYFIELD: Is the anticipated public housing authority participation just housing choice vouchers and making that a part of some of the administrative plans primarily with the housing authority?

MS. OPOT: Most of teams that included a housing authority, that is how they articulated how the housing authority would participate. Some -- I think there was one or two that talked about potentially using their housing authority to do development, better understand tax credit development and potentially get

1 into developing some more affordable housing. But most of them, it was either better use 2 3 their existing preference or figure out a way to create a 4 preference in their admin plan. 5 MR. MAYFIELD: Urban housing authorities or 6 rural? 7 MS. OPOT: Both. MS. RICHARD: And you did say already that we 8 9 were going to do a needs assessment. And so that was something that I thought that's also different than last 10 time. 11 12 So that once the teams are selected, they are 13 going to send out a needs assessment to get from the 14 teams what they would like to see, what kind of 15 information they need at the academy. 16 So part of the curriculum is going to be 17 driven by what the teams say that they would like to have more information about and learn more about. 18 19 MS. OPOT: Right. 20 MS. GREEN: You'll be developing resource quides? 21 22 MS. OPOT: Yes. We are working on that now. 23 And the teams will also be -- some of the feedback that 24 we heard about the last academy was that the resource

quide -- the local resource quide maybe wasn't as useful

as it could have been, or it was a little bit out of date. So part of what we would like to do is get the local communities to put that together. We will create the templates and give people the directive of how to find those resources, but get the teams kind of out there as their pre-academy work to make some of those connections before they come, so, you know, kind of build up the connection for those teams that are less well connected, and build that resource for those that are.

But the needs assessment we will have probably the first week of November. So our evaluation team is already working on that and pulling some questions from the requests for applications.

There were some questions about do you think this will do for you, and what do you want to get out of this? And then we will have a targeted needs assessment that really gets into more detail kind of based on responses in the applications.

MS. RICHARD: I just want to make sure. Is there anyone on the phone?

(No response.)

MS. RICHARD: Do we have anyone joining us?

MS. GREEN: And let the record reflect that

Penny Larkin from DADS is present, and so we do have a

quorum.

1 And so at this point, let us return to Agenda Item Number 1, which is I believe our only action item 2 3 for today, and that is approval of the meeting minutes 4 from the July 15 meeting. 5 And so do you all need just a few minutes to review those minutes before we entertain a motion to 6 7 approve? 8 (Pause.) 9 MR. MAYFIELD: I will move to approve. 10 MS. GREEN: Okay. Moved by Mark Mayfield to 11 approve the minutes. Do we have a second? MS. BAGLEY: Second. 12 13 MS. GREEN: Seconded by Martha Bagley. All 14 those in favor of approving the minutes, please say aye. 15 (A chorus of ayes.) 16 MS. GREEN: All opposed, no. 17 (No response.) 18 MS. GREEN: Okay. Seeing none, the minutes 19 have been approved by a unanimous vote. 20 And we will go back to Agenda Item Number 2, which is the update on the Section 811 project-based 21 22 rental assistance program. 23 And Spencer Duran of TDHCA will provide that 24 update. 25

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Spencer Duran, Texas Department of

MR. DURAN:

Housing and Community Affairs. Thanks, Doni. So I want to provide an update on the 811 program.

Some of the biggest highlights that we have had recently are the 2015 round of the 9 percent tax credits have essentially wrapped up. And in general we know where our 811 participating properties are going to be.

It is still not exactly set in stone at this point. We will be approaching the properties to sign what we call a property agreement, which is a contract between TDHCA and the participating property.

So again, we have got properties on the hook to participate by providing two points in the Qualified Allocation Plan, which is what we use to allocate Housing Tax Credits, and so that essentially netted us 17 properties.

And of those 17 properties, 10 are going to be those that are new construction properties, And we have one of those that is an acquisition rehab.

So essentially it is important to know whether it is a new or existing, because new obviously is going to take some time before it is physically constructed.

But we also did have in the QAP, the Qualified Allocation Plan, a mechanism for a developer to get the point but then swap in a property that is already

existing. So if there are any questions about that, we can explain in a little bit more detail. But so then we had a number of development exercise that option, and that got us seven existing properties.

And again, these numbers are not set in stone. There could be a problem as we move forward with signing the property agreement or the commitment notices. I think Marni will talk about -- maybe a property could fall out, I guess. It is not likely but --

MS. HOLLOWAY: Certainly.

MR. DURAN: Right. Yes. But not every contract this time and all that kind of stuff. That is kind of what I want to tell the one caveat about, are our numbers.

MS. GREEN: So properties could fall out. But is it too late for properties to participate?

MR. DURAN: Well, so there's a couple of -that's a great question. So the properties could fall
out if there was a contract issue or, for example, we
have -- if something comes up during the environmental
review. We are still working on that.

So what we are doing is we are going to move forward with signing all of the agreements with a caveat of assuming that the environmental goes smoothly; we don't find any recognized environmental conditions, for

example, or, you know, we read the flood plain map wrong, or something. We realize, oh, it's in a flood plain; we can't provide federal dollars onto that.

So there are a couple of ways that a property could fall off. Right.

If a property wants to participate, soon, in the next couple of months, I would say, we are going to be releasing a NOFA or a request for qualifications, like an RFP, RFQ, some sort of document; we haven't really decided on a name yet.

It is most likely going to be a request for qualifications. So you would invite properties to participate in 811 that may not be incentivized by the Qualified Allocation Plan.

We are going to open it up for those that want to qualify for the 2016 round to use as an existing property. Or you could just be an experienced multifamily developer with five or more units, and you have existing public dollars on that deal, and essentially you could qualify.

We don't know what that is going to bring us, if -- you know, we have always thought that 811 needed a carrot, especially in the form of a QAP point to get people to jump in. But there could be folks that have other motivations to get involved and especially those

that have project-based Section 8 experience, because from a property management perspective, Section 811 and project-based Section 8 are very similar.

2.5

It uses the same kind of rule regime, HUD operations handbook, things like that, so we will see what just this open invitation will bring us.

But we do know that the point worked. So for example, of the 154 applications that the Department received for the 2015 9 percent tax credits, 58 of those were eligible to participate. That means they were in one of the eligible counties.

Units are only operating in seven metropolitan statistical areas that are composed of you know, three or four or five counties each. So of the 154, 58 were eligible. They were in one of those counties. They weren't supportive housing. They weren't a senior-only property, things like that.

So of the 58 that were eligible, we know that 57 checked that 811 box to participate. So essentially, of the properties that were eligible, all but one did it. So I think that is a pretty interesting, you know, example of the power of the QAP point.

MR. MAYFIELD: Spencer, how did that break down between rural and urban?

MR. DURAN: That's a great question. I am not

sure. I will have to go take a look. But 811 is silent on the urban/rural split.

You know, the counties are in metropolitan statistical areas; you know, in the areas of Dallas-Fort Worth, Austin, Houston, El Paso, Brownsville, things like that. But there are still rural areas within those counties.

And I have not mapped out rural versus urban. That is an interesting question. We can go look into that, for sure.

MS. GREEN: And can you talk about whether all of those MSAs are covered?

MR. DURAN: A great question. So okay, they're all covered, so there is participation in each of the seven metropolitan statistical areas, or MSAs. It is interesting.

El Paso is a total outlier. It is kind of interesting. So El Paso got four developments. And so we had one developer. Tropicana, that went ahead and said, I'll just jump into this 811 thing. They have committed three properties.

And not only that, they said, we are not just going to commit one property to get the QAP point; we're going to commit three properties, right. And we are going to do more than the ten-unit minimum. So they are

doing 14 units each.

So that's great. And then another development also jumped in, who is in the El Paso MSA with a property as well. So now we have four properties in El Paso. So we will see.

And we have already done on-the-ground, inperson training, Penny and I, and some of the TDHCA
staff. Anna went. Some of our Health and Human Services
partners went out to El Paso, and we trained the local
Health and Human Services folks, you know, like the ones
at the housing authorities or, you know, the state
supported living center staff are there who do the
community placements.

MS. GREEN: MCOs, service coordinators and stuff?

MS. SONENTHAL: [inaudible].

MR. DURAN: Yes. Thank you. Who else?

MS. SONENTHAL: I said MCOs.

MR. DURAN: The MCOs are there. Yes. There is, I guess, four MCOs maybe in the El Paso area. I am not sure. But we had like three, maybe out of four MCOs come to our training, because they obviously are doing a service delivery role in 811.

So that was first day of the El Paso training. The second day was dedicated to training the properties.

1 So we have kind of seeded El Paso pretty well. We are 2 happy to go back. You know, we have some travel funds, 3 takes the money follows the person. We feel really good 4 about making sure that the service side and the property 5 side on the local level was well trained and ready to go. 6 MS. GREEN: So Spencer, can you talk a little 7 bit about referral protocols? There are three target populations: people exiting institutions, people with 8 9 mental illness, and youth who are exiting foster care. So can referrals be made from the general 10 11 public, or would the relocation contractors be the source of referrals for people exiting institutions? 12 13 MR. DURAN: Yes. 14 MS. GREEN: Will the local mental health 15 authority be the referral half for people with mental 16 illness and then --17 MR. DURAN: Yes. Exactly. So that was yes. 18 It is good to hear our part articulated so well. Yes. 19 So three target populations. The only thing that I would 20 correct is it's people with severe mental illness. MS. GREEN: 21 Severe. 22 MR. DURAN: And that translates into DSHS-23 speak for a Level Three, Level Four level of care. 24

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. SONENTHAL: Anyone can -- I mean, what I

Right.

1 understand it, it is anyone that has a severe mental 2 illness. It is level of care 1 through 4, actually. 3 MS. GREEN: So severe depression, 4 schizophrenia, bipolar? 5 MS. SONENTHAL: Yeah. And then, well, we 6 could get into a conversation about that later. But our 7 definition is currently kind of changing so that LMHAs are encouraged to serve like all people with severe 8 9 mental illness, but it has to do with like a gap below 50 10 now. And so it is not just like one of the top three 11 diagnoses any longer. 12 MS. GREEN: Okay. That's good to know. 13 MS. SONENTHAL: I can give you all --14 digression. But I can give you data about that at some 15 But yes, it is individuals served at the LMHA 16 that have severe mental illness, mental illness that 17 would be appropriate for --18 MS. GREEN: And then for the youth exiting foster care? 19 20 MR. DURAN: It would be the -- I don't have the actual liaisons here. It's DFPS, and it is 21 22 essentially the case manager of that individual. 23 And then sometimes in that service delivery 24 there is a disability specialist that can also help 25 provide those referrals, but it is a closed referral

system.

So what we are doing is we want to make sure that individuals who are referred to the 811 program have with them those community-based services and supports ready and waiting for them, whether that is a Medicaid waiver, or whatever the case may be.

In addition, there are properties that come through a certified referral agent because those referral agents are also being trained on Fair Housing. They are being trained on kind of the policies and procedures of the program, and also, importantly, how to do the handoff. Like the DADS relocation contractors, for example, they are only engaged for up to 90 days. So after that happens, there needs to be a handoff or a transition of the individual onto whoever is providing those services in the community for the longer term.

So that could be a managed-care organization or some other local service provider. So if someone out of the community wants to be part of the program, essentially, we will have a list of referral agents on the web page that have been trained and that are certified, or we could send that to them via email or regular mail, and we can let them know how that you can get in touch with the local withdrawal agent. And an individual could come through that way.

And before that local referral agent made the referral to the program, they will have done an initial assessment of whether or not that person qualified for the program; kind of a general income check, making sure they have the Medicaid services there for them; things like that.

But also, the referral agents, who could be DADS relocation contractors among others, will also be trained on how to do marketing, because there is an affirmative marketing requirement.

So we are sending letters out to many local organizations that are serving the 811 target population. And we think that the DADS relocation contractors, for example, are going to be excited about this program. They're going to be hungry for new resources and new ways to find housing for the individuals that they're already serving.

So I think a lot of the referrals are going to come from the DADS relocation contractors who have people who are maybe stuck on the Project Access waiting list, that we -- you know, we haven't exactly streamlined those two programs, 811 and Project Access, but it is very similar, the way that it works, and it is kind of the same population. So we think that the DADS relocation contractors are going to be well positioned to make

referrals to the program.

MS. GREEN: So if the relocation contractors have marketing responsibilities but you all aren't accepting applications for new properties, how much power will they have to entice new properties to participate at that time --

MR. DURAN: Well, we will be opening up the program very soon to properties. And it is going to be an open cycle, or as open as we can be with the invitation, the NOFA or the RFQ, or what have you.

So there will be a way for properties to jump in at any time. So for example, in Tarrant County,

Walter -- I forget his name -- with the local mental health authority there, he's going to --

MS. SONENTHAL: Walter Taylor.

MR. DURAN: Walter Taylor, thank you. He has been great at working with the local development community to try and kind of push them to participate in 811. And there is actually, I think -- there are two new construction properties that are going to be coming to Tarrant County.

MS. SONENTHAL: Because of Walter?

MR. DURAN: I don't know because of Walter.

But he has definitely sent to the Texas Department of

Housing and Community Affairs public information

requests, getting the lists of properties in his area.

So he knows who the developers are, and he is contacting them, he's trying to get them to come into the program. So essentially those properties that Walter identifies, he will be able to say, Hey, go to the TDHCA web page. There is this application on there. You can have your property screened for eligibility. And then we would review it once they would submit it to us.

And then we would sign a property agreement. And then if we did have tenants or qualified applicants who are interested, then we would go ahead and sign a rental assistance contract and find -- and file a use agreement and all this other stuff.

MS. RICHARD: So it's fair to say that this program is what, over five years, six years. I don't remember the exact time frame. It's fair to say it is going to be an ongoing process to continue to recruit additional properties.

MR. DURAN: Correct, yes.

MS. RICHARD: So not just this one-time RFQ but ongoing.

MR. DURAN: Yes. So we are going to try -- we have a lot of ideas that we are kicking around to try and entice properties to the program. We don't want to be completely reliant on the Housing Tax Credit program,

although we see -- I mean, we've got 182 units from one tax credit round alone.

But again, we had Tropicana, which was kind of an outlier. So we don't necessarily expect to have that same level of production from each QAP round, but we think that we are going to get pretty good production from the QAP. But we are going to be always exploring other ways to bring properties in.

MS. GREEN: And the total number of units available, I believe, 380 vouchers from 2012?

MR. DURAN: It is tough. I don't really like -- yes. I don't really like thinking about our program that way, because we have got money. And so it is hard for me to convert money into units.

For example, I didn't know we were going to get 52 units placed in El Paso, right. So my budget that I kind of set up did not anticipate that at all. Well, El Paso, the assistance is going to be -- the subsidy per unit is going to be lower than subsidy per unit --

MS. GREEN: In Dallas.

MR. DURAN: -- in Dallas or, you know, urban Austin, an urban Austin property. You know, so the subsidy is going to be a lot less out there. Right.

So if we -- you know, if we have absorption in Brownsville or maybe rural areas surrounding the metro

areas, our money is going to go a lot farther. If
everybody wants to live in downtown Dallas, Houston,
Austin, then our money is not going to last as long.
Right. We got \$11.4 million to fund the first five years
of each rental-assistance contract.

And we think, based on -- I guess, but a pretty educated guess, but a guess essentially on where we think those units are going to be placed. Then after the five years of a rental-assistance contract being funded with this money, it will then be funded on an annual basis, subject to federal HUD appropriations, just like a housing assistance payments contract or a HAP contract for project-based Section 8.

So the 11.4 million only funds the first five years of each rental-assistance contract. And those rental assistance contracts are going to come online at staggered times over the next two years.

MS. EVANS: I'm going to say -- what do you mean by come online? Like that they will expire and they'll have to renew or --

MR. DURAN: Well, we will be signing them for the first time. So the process works like this. Let's take the tax credit developer for simplicity's sake.

A 2015 tax credit developer checked the box when they submitted their tax credit application to

TDHCA. They -- we looked at their application, and we said, you guys do qualify for 811. You get to keep that point.

And then they are also awarded you know, \$1.1 million in tax credits, for example. They are signing — they are wrapping up all of their tax credit paperwork right now.

The next step is going to be to sign the property agreement for 811. And that property agreement is just a contract between TDHCA and the property that says they are going to participate in 811. They are going to give us their marketing materials, they are going to give us their notices of vacancy, things like that, so once that property agreement is signed, we are going to start marketing that property.

So the referral agents or the DADS relocation contractors are going to go out and try to recruit people to live at that property.

In some cases, there may be no interest in a property. If there is no interest in a property, we are not going to make that property sign a RAC or a rental-assistance contract to make it to the next level of commitment, because at the next level of commitment is, it is a 20-year RAC, a 20-year rental-assistance contract and a 30-year use agreement, which is like a land use

restriction agreement or a deed restriction or a restriction -- you're familiar with the local neighborhood association or whatever.

So if no one is interested in that property, we are not going to make them be on the hook for 30 years with HUD and things like that.

So after we sign that property agreement, we could have some properties that are very appealing and we have relocation contractors or referral agents do a great job at getting the word out about 811 and so we have a ton of folks that want to sign up for that property.

So they will basically immediately be signing a rental-assistance contract. So that is when the money starts getting spent, is when that rental-assistance contract comes online.

These four properties in El Paso, however, that are all kind of concentrated in a similar geographic area. So we may run out of folks that want to live in those El Paso properties.

We all know that the need for affordable housing is great and, you know, always in demand. But for these -- but we have tenant choice.

And so individuals may choose to not sign up to live in one of those properties. And if so, if a property is consistently giving us notices of vacancies

and were never responding with qualified applicants, we want to kind of take that money that we have budgeted towards that property and reallocate it in a higher need area.

MR. MAYFIELD: But the developers still get the benefit of having the points --

MR. DURAN: Yes.

MR. MAYFIELD: -- in the scoring process.

MR. DURAN: Yes. It's a --

MR. MAYFIELD: I mean, there's people --

MS. RICHARD: They are also committing for 30 years to be an available property. So there could be no interest today in that property, but ten years down the line, that area could boom, and there could be interest.

MR. DURAN: We are not going to release them from the obligation to stay in the 811 program. We just may not sign one of the initial RACs if there is no immediate demand in those communities.

MR. DURAN: That's a good point, because that property agreement we are signing, it is good for 30 years. So over the next 30 years, we may have all of a sudden -- there could be a light rail station that goes up in rural-ish Houston, so now it is a desirable property. So then that language says, we offer you a RAC, or a rental-assistance contract. You have to sign

∥ it.

MR. MAYFIELD: Well, but -- you know, and I don't want to get into all of that. It's just the playing field is not level.

MR. DURAN: Sure.

MR. MAYFIELD: And it is just frustrating on the developer side, because of having to deal with some of these issues.

MR. DURAN: It is really hard to interject tenant choice somewhere into the program. And we think that it made sense to recruit properties, get them on the hook, but then ultimately let the tenants decide if those properties is something that they want. So they are going to be voting with their feet, essentially.

MS. RICHARD: Every property that checked the box for the Housing Tax Credit round or voluntarily, they are all going to be signing property agreements.

MR. DURAN: Correct.

MS. RICHARD: Okay. So they are all going to be signing property agreements. And then once their property is chosen, then that is when they sign the rental-assistance contract.

MR. DURAN: And the use agreement.

MS. RICHARD: Which is different.

MR. DURAN: And the use agreement.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. RICHARD: And the use agreement. Okay.

MR. DURAN: And the use agreement is going to -- it is survivable upon foreclosure, transfer, sale of the property. It has some real teeth, and they are going to start getting their rental-assistance payments as well.

MR. MAYFIELD: Do you ever see that this will ever get outside of the MSA areas, to where --

MR. DURAN: Absolutely. So one of our public comments we got for the 2016 QAP draft language was a request to expand it into Corpus Christi. And we think that -- you know, we haven't fully explored that option yet; it's still just kind of a public comment. I haven't actually officially received the public comment from Multifamily yet, but I know that it is there. And we're are going to be considering that. We know that in Corpus Christi there is an energetic service community on the ground, I guess. Right? So if there is areas that have you know, service providers who are demanding that the program come to their area, then I think they would make great partners.

There is nothing set in stone about the areas that we chose. That was a product of the Real Choice systems change grant which was a planning grant, where we brought together developers, service providers, potential

consumers -- persons with disabilities. And we kind of created those targeted areas. But we know it can expand otherwise.

MS. SYLVESTER: And even if it doesn't make it into the QAP round for points, we could potentially release the NOFA or the RFP allowing for a protected service area, if there is a particular developer in the area that wants to participate.

MR. MAYFIELD: Well, I know with our housing authority, we are really service oriented in the things that we do. But we focus in primarily in rural areas. And we just -- you know, if you want to expand outside of those MSA areas, like the conversation you and I had by email, the only county that we had was Williamson County in our area that they even come close. And the other outlying areas of Burnet and some of the other counties with extreme need and the willingness to participate, but they are just not eligible to.

MR. DURAN: Yeah. I think that if there was a developer or a service provider or anybody who wanted 811 to come to their area, I think it would be helpful to send me an email, make a public comment on the QAP. I'm sorry -- what?

MS. SYLVESTER: Comments are closed.

MR. DURAN: Comments are closed for this round

for the QAP. But give me something to show, you know -just give me something to consider, and I can look at the
need numbers and the potential properties in the area, we
can talk to our Health and Human Services partners to
make sure that they can make referrals to the area. But
yes, I am excited. If someone is excited about 811 on
the local level, like, let's talk.

MS. SONENTHAL: We have already had at least one conversation about, yes, I know of interested LMHAs or, you know, why isn't 811 here? So I mean, those conversations are going on. I mean, I don't know what the process is with it.

MR. DURAN: Yes. So as Anna was saying, yes. I know, that local mental health authorities seem to be getting more and more into the housing game as a direct provider of affordable housing.

So you know, I know that Anna's program about the temporary vouchers that they are giving out to people, if LMHAs are looking for bridges to long-term permanent supportive housing, 811 is a great option for them. So if there is a local mental health authority that really wants to bring 811 to the area, we are definitely interested.

I mean, I grew up in Lamesa. I understand underserved areas, you know. And so I talked to my

1 parents who were like, well, why doesn't anything ever come to Lamesa or even Lubbock or Amarillo. 2 3 MR. MAYFIELD: I am dealing with Lamesa as we 4 speak. I mean, I have been out there. 5 MR. DURAN: Yes. 6 MR. MAYFIELD: And just dealing with the EDC 7 and with the City and with the mayor, everybody out there, I said, you know, there is just no -- there is no 8 9 money to build in Lamesa. MR. DURAN: Yes. 10 11 MR. MAYFIELD: Okay. You can't score well. 12 You can't do this. You can't do that. You are just out 13 of luck. And it is weird that you said Lamesa. 14 MR. DURAN: Yeah. 15 MR. MAYFIELD: I was just with them yesterday. 16 MR. DURAN: Yes. That is my home town. 17 MR. MAYFIELD: But I mean, when you are trying 18 to develop out there, and --19 MR. DURAN: We are lucky if we get some 20 prefabs for the prison employees to live in. That is essentially like -- we have got some trailer houses we 21 22 give Lamesa. That's practically a multifamily 23 development. 24 MR. MAYFIELD: Yes.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. DURAN: Yes. So I understand the pressing

2.5

1 need. And there is nothing statutory or restricted. You know, if there is a demand that we can kind of think we 2 3 are going to be successful in a certain area, let's do 4 it. I'd like to look into it. 5 MS. RICHARD: The one thing I also just wanted 6 to add is that one of the populations is persons leaving 7 intermediate care facilities. We talk about relocation specialists, but relocation specialists do not work with 8 9 the local intellectual and developmental disability 10 authority. 11 So I want to make sure you all know that is 12 also a population. So the referral agent for folks with 13 IDD will be the local intellectual and developmental 14 disability authority. 15 MR. DURAN: Yes. And so the local 16 authority -- it sometimes is the local mental health 17 authority as well. Right? In some cases, yes. MS. RICHARD: So in all --18 MR. DURAN: In all cases? 19 20 MS. SONENTHAL: I would say. Right. 21 they --22 MS. LARKIN: Not in all cases. MR. DURAN: But we envision -- so what we are 23 24 doing --

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. LARKIN: Not anymore.

2.5

1 MR. DURAN: So the training, what we are doing 2 is, when we have -- so we have monthly 811 coordination 3 meetings, coordinator meetings that take place between 4 TDHCA staff and all of the signers of the interagency 5 agreement. And so we have monthly planning meetings. 6 And before we have a training, what we do is 7 we compile a list of every local authority, local mental health authority, DADS referral, DADS relocation 8 9 contractor, managed care organization. You know, we scrub all of our lists for potential folks who could make 10 referrals to 811. 11 So we have identified all of them. And we are 12 13 14 trainings that are going to be taking place in the next 15

going to be inviting them to our trainings, our in-person few months. So we hope -- we are definitely intending to include everyone who is involved in the service referral process.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. LARKIN: We also included the transition living specialists from the state supported living center out in El Paso.

MR. DURAN: And they were the most -- they are probably the most excited group.

MS. LARKIN: Yes, they were. They were very excited.

> MR. DURAN: It was great. Yes. So we

1 didn't --2 MS. LARKIN: Partially unique to the 3 composition of El Paso. 4 MR. DURAN: Yes. It was interesting. In my 5 mind, you know, planning 811, I didn't think that we were 6 going to have a lot of interest from the state supported 7 living center folks. I would always assume, maybe 8 incorrectly, that they would transition into group homes and stuff like that. 9 10 But, you know, it is open to them. And so I 11 think that they will do a great job. And they're -- the 12 folks that are providing relocation assistance to them 13 were very excited about 811. So we may get some of those 14 folks in the program as well. 15 MS. GREEN: Okay. Great information. Thank 16 you. 17 MR. DURAN: Thanks, Doni.

MS. GREEN: Thank you, Spencer.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Next item on the agenda is an overview of DSRIP, the Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment.

And Rebekah Falkner with the Health and Human Services

Commission.

MS. FALKNER: Hi, everybody. Nice to be around housers again. So I am a part of the -- I am just going to go ahead, and we are just going to call it DSRIP

from here on out -- the DSRIP team.

DSRIP is a part of the 1115 Transformation Waiver. And what that translates to is about \$11.4 billion of funds which we can earn from the DSRIP pool over five years. That started in 2011; it's going to wrap up in 2016.

We are in the process of discussing renewing this particular waiver. We have two target populations for the district waiver: Medicaid and low income uninsured people, which in Texas is quite a bit.

So this looks like -- we have 20 health care partnerships across the state. They are anchored by public hospitals in each area. And by the way, these regions don't actually match up with our other -- how we think about regions in HHS.

Each of these regional health care partnerships conducted needs assessments, community needs assessments to determine priority needs in health care.

And they developed regional plans with DSRIP projects to address those needs.

So we have 1,450 plus active DSRIP projects. Those come from about 300 providers: hospitals, public and private physician groups, community mental health centers, and local health departments.

So DSRIP focuses -- about a quarter of DSRIP

projects are focused on behavioral health care, and that is where our housing projects mostly lie, our folks with mental illness. Another fifth of our projects look at access to primary care. And then we have projects with chronic care management, access to specialty care, and then health promotion and disease prevention.

So I am going to talk a little bit about integrated behavioral health care in DSRIP, because that is partially where our worlds overlap. We have about 90 DSRIP projects that focus on the integration of behavioral health care with primary care.

It is one of the coolest things that we do, I think. So many of our folks with mental illness will show up at their LMHA, see their psychiatrist, and not take care of their diabetes, for example.

And so what we are doing is, in some cases, putting a primary care physician in the local mental health authority. In some cases, it works the other way. We are training primary care physicians to do very basic screenings for depression, because lots of folks get their antidepressant medicine, have those discussions with their primary care physicians, and that is not something they necessarily have the training to do.

So we also have over 80 projects that focused on individuals with co-occurring mental health and

substance abuse. We started looking at outcomes for these projects last October. This is our -- we are about to get a second round of our outcomes. We look at both quantifiable patient information, how many people are we actually serving with each of these projects, and we have quality measures, too.

So I am going to talk about two of our projects that focus on housing. The first one is more homeless related.

John Peter Smith Hospital has a project that is designed to improve care transition and health outcomes with the Tarrant County population that is designated as homeless. They have multi-disciplinary teams, medical staff, behavioral health staff, advanced practices; paramedics and care transition support staff that are deployed out into the community to provide services to individuals on the streets.

So what they are coming to realize through these projects -- since we are getting lots of interesting lessons learned from all of these experiments, they are starting to understand how housing and health care work together to stabilize patients and reduce costs.

They are thinking about, as a hospital, considering a broader strategy to support patients who

are transitioning into housing; putting housing navigators at the hospital to work more closely with finding those housing units that are available in their area.

And if you guys have questions about any of this stuff and want more detail, I have given you the highest-level overview here. With 1,400 projects, I can go into such granular level data that it will not make sense to anyone. So if you have questions, I would be more than happy to follow back up with you.

The other one I want to tell you about,

Bluebonnet Trails, has transitional residential

facilities in Bastrop, Caldwell, Fayette, and Lee

Counties for their populations, with a peer-driven model,

which I think is pretty cool. So it serves people with

mental illness who have been referred from crisis and

inpatient settings, with a priority on those with long or

repeated stays or with frequent contacts with the

criminal justice system.

They have implemented peer-led transitional services program, which is a SAMHSA-certified practice, as well as wellness recovery action planning and supportive housing for Bluebonnet Trails.

The program works right now with eight individuals at a time, and they get their referrals from

emergency departments, from jails, community health centers, and psychiatric inpatient facilities who started seeing these patients in the beginning. So they are also discovering that by relieving the stress of housing, these individuals get better. They can focus on recovery.

They can -- you know, when you are worried about getting housing, it is difficult to think about taking care of your mental health needs, taking care of your substance abuse issues. So it allows people to engage better with overall wellness.

So as far as the waiver itself goes, the next steps, we have submitted to CMS a five-year extension request for the 1115 waiver. We are still -- of course, we need to hear from that; we are expecting that to be an interesting negotiation process.

What we have discovered is that we need more time with these projects to start demonstrating real outcomes, to work towards sustainability, you know. This pot of money is not going to last forever. We have discovered a lot of promising practices.

One of the things I work with, we have a group of clinicians who are coming through these projects and identifying best practices that we can start sharing across the enterprise, because we do touch a lot of

different folks.

The other thing we are looking at is aligning these projects with Medicaid Managed Care; for example, the United Housing Project, which is a pretty interesting -- with Kim Nettleton. I'm sure you guys are familiar with that. So, yeah, that's where we are.

MS. RICHARD: Kim Nettleton, I am planning to meeting with her because I don't know very much about the housing pilot that the managed care organization -- but I did hope to invite her to our January meeting to learn a little bit more about that. As I have gone to a couple of conferences, the home and community based services conference for the first time had an entire housing track.

And so I heard a lot about all these different partnerships, which was so applicable to this Council, trying to get housing and services to all work together.

CHS, they had a housing summit. And it is, I would think -- and you can jump in. But I mean, it is a national movement where people are seeing that, you know, there is benefit to these outcomes.

On the Medicaid waiver, the 1115 waiver were typically demonstrations, right. So is that still considered -- it's like a demonstration. So these are sort of like pilot projects?

1	MS. FALKNER: Yes.
2	MS. RICHARD: Okay. And then once you are
3	able to that is why you are measuring the outcomes,
4	because what you hope is to then tell CMS is these pilots
5	are working, they're effective. Look at the good
6	outcomes. And then the goal is to continue? Can you
7	just keep renewing every five years, the 1115?
8	MS. FALKNER: We don't know yet.
9	MS. RICHARD: Okay. You are going to try.
10	MS. FALKNER: Yes.
11	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
12	MS. OPOT: And just so you I mean, we
13	worked directly with Kim on that project, both in Houston
14	and Austin.
15	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
16	MS. OPOT: And so I talk to Kim every other
17	week.
18	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
19	MS. OPOT: So if I need to help you, or you
20	know, I can talk about it.
21	MS. RICHARD: I thought Council members might
22	be interested in January in hearing more about that
23	pilot
24	MS. OPOT: Yes.
25	MS. RICHARD: and see how it is going and

1 kind of what the goals are. 2 MS. FALKNER: Yes. 3 MS. SONENTHAL: I have a comment, or it might 4 be just a fuzzy story for you. So just to brag on 5 Bluebonnet Trails. They were not in the housing game at 6 all, like five years ago, even maybe even three years 7 I mean, I met with them, and they were just like, we want to do housing. We don't know anything. 8 9 And so they have utilized all sorts of resources. 10 have the FHR dollars. They have the district dollars. 11 So they have this really seamless process 12 where their clients can get into transitional housing, 13 get into a bridge subsidy. And then they are working 14 with, you know, different various like subsidies to get 15 into permanent supportive housing. And they are 16 utilizing the permanent supportive housing toolkit. 17 And I am just like, it works, it really works. 18 So yes. Just a fuzzy story, happy. Bluebonnet Trails is 19 doing great. 20 MS. RICHARD: And just to add to that, they attended the first Housing and Services Partnership 21 22 Academy. Just saying. 23 MS. SONENTHAL: That was three years ago.

MS. RICHARD: Yes.

24

25

Right?

1 MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. That was when they 2 didn't know anything. Or sorry, they didn't not know 3 anything, but they weren't doing anything as far as 4 MS. RICHARD: Involvement. 5 MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. And so now they are 6 just -- they are one of my like top people that I am 7 like, you could be a mentor. You know, they have done a 8 really good job. 9 MS. GREEN: Great. Thank you. You have got a 10 lot of things. Thank you, Rebekah. All right. Let's move into a discussion of 11 12 the HHSC 2016 Systemic Team Biennial Plan. Terri. 13 MS. RICHARD: Okay. Sorry. It is just -- so 14 15

MS. RICHARD: Okay. Sorry. It is just -- so all I wanted to do, at the last Council meeting, kind of ask all of you to think about what direction we want to go with the Biennial Plan. And I know I tend to start that process way early, but it always seems like it takes a really long time to get it all organized.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so what I wanted to talk to you about was my initial thoughts about what we -- direction that -- just a suggestion that I would throw out to Council members about the 2016-2017. So anyone who is new, it is due August 1 of 2016.

And so what we have done in the past, there have been workgroups. So for the previous biennial plan,

we had three workgroups. We really took the technical assistance collaborative report or the comprehensive analysis that they did. They had some recommendations. Council members voted to focus on three, and we developed our recommendations from that.

What I am proposing for the >16->17 is to really focus this report on evidence of cost savings.

Doni, you in particular, when we were working on the last biennial plan, said, Gosh, it would be great if we had some data that really showed that there was a cost savings.

In the ideal world, we could be convincing people by just looking at quality-of-life stories, and we would hope that that would really -- the fuzzy stories.

We did that in the last biennial plan. Reality is money talks.

And so one of the things I really wanted to do -- and I have already started doing the literature review, and CSH has been helping. Everything I can get my hands on at these conferences: show me evidence that housing and services working together saves money.

And so what I really wanted to do was propose that we don't have the subgroups but really focus on cost savings. So I propose to do an introduction much like I did before. I wanted to dedicate the report to Felix

Briones, Jr., if that was something that you all would be interested in doing. I wanted to dedicate the report, the biennial plan to him. Do the same list of terms used.

And then I wanted to really focus on housing need, so do a section that has some interesting data about what the housing need is, and really have that right off the bat. Here is the need: It's huge and particularly for people with disabilities and aging.

And then do just a quick little summary of what we had in the last biennial plan. We talk about quality of life, and I just do a little summary of what was in the previous one. And in that -- and that was one of the statements in the report: Texas needs to do some more research on cost savings. And then go into my literature review and really talk about that housing-first examples -- I have read several where they have done some studies looking at acute care costs, emergency room visits, and the savings that you get from housing people first and then working on services.

And then looking at the housing initiatives, which is kind of what brought up the managed-care housing initiatives. One of the conferences, there were a number of managed-care organizations, and they are getting in the housing business. And part of that is because it

saves money eventually.

You know, ultimately, it saves money. So I really wanted to focus on that. I expect and am confident that much of this research, there will be recommendations. And I thought we could focus then on the recommendations that I gleaned out of that research but then also go back to our Promoting Independence Advisory Council -- they have housing recommendations -- and our Governor's Committee.

We invited Angie English; they have a report that has housing recommendations. And not try to reinvent the wheel with this one but really try to just reinforce cost savings and try to pull together recommendations.

There is also a Senate Bill 7, and I always have --

FEMALE VOICE: Redesign.

MS. RICHARD: Yes. Intellectual and
Developmental Disability Subcommittee on Housing,
redesign. They are going to have some recommendations
that are going to be going to Executive Commissioner
Traylor. And then, you know -- in the report with those
recommendations.

So I wasn't prepared to give you like a detailed outline, but I wanted to just sort of talk

1 through where my head was going with that and get 2 feedback. I am totally open to feedback. 3 And that's why I kind of wanted to bring it 4 up, so I could make sure I am going in the right 5 direction before I move forward. 6 MS. GREEN: I think that is a great idea. 7 think in some cases it will be hard to gather the data. It is always a bit of a challenge to try to estimate 8 9 foregone expenses. But through MFP we have probably got 10 some good data. I mean, we know how many people are in 11 nursing homes who are looking to relocate but can't because of lack of affordable, accessible integrated 12 13 housing. 14 MS. RICHARD: We do. 15 MS. GREEN: Do we know the cost? I know the 16 payments to the nursing homes will vary based on their 17 acuity levels, based on their rug rates. But --MS. BAGLEY: But DADS does have cost data for 18 19 nursing facilities and long-term care. 20 MS. GREEN: It will have aggregated numbers. I am not sure if you have the ability to look at the cost 21 for consumers who relocate. 22 23 MS. LARKIN: Pre- and post-transition. 24 MS. GREEN: Yeah.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. LARKIN: No. There is national data that

2.5

1 Mathematica has done, and it incorporates things like 2 acute care costs as well, that we might be able to draw 3 on for contextual, but I am not aware of any specific 4 Texas work that has been done, really looking across all 5 associated community and health care costs on somebody 6 relocating. 7 MS. BAGLEY: But you could compare the cost --8 the average costs in the state --9 MS. RICHARD: Yes. 10 MS. LARKIN: Sure. MS. BAGLEY: -- of nursing, 11 12 institutionalization versus housing. 13 (Simultaneous discussion.)

MS. RICHARD: And I included some of that data, the Legislative Budget Board had some data. And I did include that in the last report — the last biennial plan. This time, I was really trying to get actual studies and really to have some, you know, some real robust peer-reviewed would be great, but, you know, some real studies that could show that cost-benefit analysis, you know.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. OPOT: Not just Texas. Right?

MS. RICHARD: Correct. Okay. Thank you. No, not just from Texas.

MS. LARKIN: And how will it specifically

work, what Mathematica has, as it relates to folks who have transitions.

MS. RICHARD: No. They are on my list.

MS. BAGLEY: I agree. I think it is going to be -- I mean, it is a wonderful idea. I think it is going to be really hard to get the information. And maybe that is something that this group should consider going forward, funding and working on is a project that would -- for Texas that would get something of that information.

MS. GREEN: Yes. And by including folks in state supportive living centers, the cost savings for that population will be even more significant, because the cost of care is so much higher.

MS. RICHARD: Any other thoughts?

(No response.)

MS. RICHARD: And you know, if you have ideas after the meeting, I think you all have my email. Feel free to send me suggestions. And I particularly am interested if you run onto any data sources, research projects, you know, any kind of articles, studies, please. I would really appreciate you send those to me.

I am getting a pretty good list. But I don't think you can ever get quite enough with that kind of information. So everyone is okay with not doing the

workgroups?

2.5

2 (No response.)

MS. RICHARD: Okay. Okay. I will try to come to the January meeting with a much more detailed outline, and try to have that for you in January and get some more information on some of the --

Right now, I am kind of glancing over the reports and putting them in a file. But I will go through and get -- really look at taking more detailed notes and have some more robust information for you.

MS. GREEN: Good deal.

MS. RICHARD: Mark?

MR. MAYFIELD: What is the Biennial Plan?

MS. RICHARD: It is required in the statute, and the language in there is that it is really a list of the activities that the Council has conducted. And then the plan also includes making recommendations to the Governor and the Legislative Budget Board. And it is something that is due August 1, every even-numbered year. So that is why. It is kind of interesting, though, because it is for the >16->17 biennium, when we are only in FY >16. So it is a little -- yes.

MR. MAYFIELD: So it is kind of a function of this Council here?

MS. RICHARD: It is.

1	MS. BAGLEY: They use it for the next
2	legislative session. You would think that
3	FEMALE VOICE: One would hope.
4	MS. RICHARD: I would say, I use the word
5	"hope." And who knows, I think that was one thing that,
6	Doni, I think that and I think it was Andy Granbury
7	also mentioned that maybe if we put some more dollar
8	stuff in it, maybe it might get a little bit more
9	attention.
10	MS. GREEN: All right.
11	MS. RICHARD: Thank you all.
12	MS. GREEN: Thank you. Public comment.
13	Anyone who wish to provide public comment?
14	(No response.)
15	MS. GREEN: Seeing none, any updates? Time
16	to develop next steps and stuff.
17	MS. SONENTHAL: I can give an update on SHR,
18	supportive housing for MFM-1s.
19	MS. GREEN: Yes.
20	MS. SONENTHAL: I am giving an update at the
21	DAWG.
22	MS. RICHARD: Disability Advisory Work Group.
23	MS. SONENTHAL: Sorry.
24	MS. RICHARD: That is the acronym. That is
25	okay.

MS. SONENTHAL: I showed it to my boss earlier, and she was like, what is that? So the funding for FY >16, so it is now in base budget. So it is not split up into biennium.

So we are going to just continue to fund the LMHAs indefinitely unless we hear word otherwise. So it is 5.4 -- approximately 5.4 million. And it will be that way every year that I know of, unless -- and if they change it, you know, I'll continue to give updates.

So as of August, 2,928 client have been served to date. We have an expectation that 2,114 will be served in FY >16. And then, the same number in >17.

Those are the targets that we give them. But they are all over serving.

So let's see, what else did you ask me. Oh, there is going to be 18 LMHAs. There were 20 last year, because we added two more. But two of them, it wasn't a really good fit for them, and they were having kind of problems.

And so, we just distributed those funds amongst the others. So it is back to 18. And it will stay that way, unless we get more money in the future.

If we write another exceptional item and decide to expand it.

So this year, for FY >15, and I just want to

1 say these are very approximate numbers. We have had some 2 quirks with Form H, which is how I gather the data. 3 so I am going to have a good talk and hopefully some nice written instructions about how to fill it out. But I am 4 5 missing a couple of the LMHAs. 6 But right now, this approximate number for 7 those who have moved on to independent housing this year is 539. Those who have moved on to permanent supportive 8 9 housing is 286. And those who have just exited the

MS. RICHARD: Can you elaborate on 539 have transferred into independent living? So that means they have no rental assistance?

program -- they either passed away or maybe exited back

MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. They are not receiving that subsidy.

MS. RICHARD: Okay.

into homelessness -- is 124.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SONENTHAL: But they are still receiving mental health services.

MS. RICHARD: Mental health services. Okay.

MS. BARNARD: When you say they are not receiving a subsidy, they are not receiving it from you, or they are not receiving subsidies, period?

MS. SONENTHAL: So when I say permanent supportive housing, they have gone on to something

1	permanent like Section 8 or something like that, where
2	there is some sort of subsidy. They might be paying a
3	portion of their income. But this one is they are just
4	fully independent living. So they have a job and they're
5	working.
6	MS. RICHARD: And that was going to be my next
7	question, because we have been having lots of discussions
8	about permanent supportive housing. The 286, how do you
9	define permanent supportive housing at DSHS?
10	MS. SONENTHAL: We define it the way that
11	SAMHSA does.
12	MS. RICHARD: SAMHSA.
13	MS. SONENTHAL: Uh-huh.
14	MS. RICHARD: Okay. And that means that it
15	is
16	MS. SONENTHAL: They are receiving the
17	wraparound services and a subsidy along with that.
18	MS. RICHARD: And a subsidy for housing.
19	MS. SONENTHAL: Uh-huh.
20	MS. RICHARD: Okay. Okay.
21	MS. SONENTHAL: And that is basically it for
22	SHR. I did want to give kind of an update that sort
23	of well, I just started thinking about it when Kelly
24	was talking about the housing partnerships.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

So just with the LMHAs, my role with them and

25

what I am going to start doing, I have had a monthly call in the past, and we talk about various things and try to really do a lot of technical assistance with them.

We teach on the permanent supportive housing toolkit. I did a three-part webinar on building a relationship with your public housing authority and how to do that well. But as we all know, if you are not seeing great outcomes or things coming from those things, maybe it is time to tweak something.

So I really started brainstorming with some of my providers about what the LMHAs really need to kind of become leaders in their community as far as housing and really be practicing these things. And so I am going to start kind of -- I guess, I think my first call is this week.

But I am going to let them all know I am going to take a more individualized approach with them. It is going to take up a little bit more time, but I am hopefully going to be doing goals with each LMHA that are their goals in various categories, like building relationships with your public housing authorities, or your apartment association, and looking for different funding opportunities, things of that nature. And becoming a part of your COC; what does that look like, being a part of your homeless coalition and just showing

1 up at the table, and building those relationships. And so I think that they might need a little 2 3 bit more. Not all of them. Some of them are just taking 4 off and doing awesome. And then others might need a 5 little bit more. So hopefully I will have some really 6 good data, I guess, just knowing where they are all at, 7 and assisting them in their own goals to be leaders in their community as far as housing for the clients that 8 9 they serve. And so I will be doing that soonish. And if 10 11 you're ever curious, you can ask me. MS. RICHARD: And that is with the 18? 12 13 MS. SONENTHAL: No. 14 MS. RICHARD: You are going to be doing that with all of them? 15 16 MS. SONENTHAL: Yeah. 17 MS. RICHARD: Wow. Great. Okay. 18 MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. They are all -- yes. 19 They are all LMHAs. Only 18 get the funding now. 20 MS. RICHARD: Okay. 21 MS. SONENTHAL: So but I am like the housing 22 person for --23 MR. MAYFIELD: Are most of these LMHAs? 24 that right? 2.5 MS. SONENTHAL: What was that?

1	MR. MAYFIELD: LMHAs. Is that correct?
2	MS. SONENTHAL: Uh-huh.
3	MR. MAYFIELD: That's local mental health
4	authority.
5	MS. SONENTHAL: Mental health authority.
6	MR. MAYFIELD: I'm learning these acronyms.
7	MS. SONENTHAL: I'm sorry. We use a lot of
8	acronyms.
9	MR. MAYFIELD: They are overwhelming.
10	Where are these located, most of them?
11	MS. SONENTHAL: All over Texas. So they cover
12	every single county in Texas.
13	MR. MAYFIELD: Urban areas or rural areas?
14	MS. SONENTHAL: All. They cover every single
15	county in the state of Texas; 37 of them.
16	FEMALE VOICE: And where are those?
17	MS. SONENTHAL: Those ones. I know them by
18	name. I don't know if my geography would be super on par
19	to be able to tell you exactly where all of them are.
20	But if you are familiar with their names, I
21	mean, all of the five, you know, the top five
22	metropolitan areas and then everywhere else. The
23	Panhandle. There is East Texas. Beaumont, the Houston
24	area, Conroe
25	MR. MAYFIELD: So like the Panhandle would be

1	like in Amarillo or something, and it is just kind of
2	spread out?
3	MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. Texas Panhandle centers.
4	They get the funding there too. And so they serve the
5	counties that Texas Panhandle serves.
6	MS. RICHARD: Do you know how many counties
7	out of the 254 are covered with the 18?
8	MS. SONENTHAL: No. I mean, I work with a lot
9	of LMHAs and every county in the state of Texas.
LO	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
L1	MS. SONENTHAL: And I by no means have any of
L2	them memorized.
L3	MS. RICHARD: Yes.
L 4	MS. SONENTHAL: But we have a little database
L 5	that tells us all of that.
L 6	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
L7	MR. DURAN: You may know them as their former
L 8	names, the MHMR Centers.
L 9	MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. I mean, if you have like
20	more detailed questions like that, I mean, my brain
21	doesn't retain all of that, because we have to know a lot
22	of information and know where to find it.
23	But please feel free to email me. And then I
24	can find out for you, you know, very simply. It wouldn't

25

be hard at all.

1 MS. RICHARD: Maybe at the January meeting --I'm visual. It would be great to see all --2 3 MS. SONENTHAL: I have a map. I have a map. 4 I will send it to you. And it has the PATH providers as 5 well, so I have it like overlapping. 6 MS. RICHARD: PATH is? 7 MS. SONENTHAL: Projects for Assistance with 8 Transition to Housing or from Homelessness. That's 9 really embarrassing. But I am the PATH state contact at 10 the federal grant that Texas gets. 11 Actually, do I have anything PATH here and 12 now? And so, they do the outreach. They get kind of 13 what she was talking about, what JPS is doing, PATH 14 providers service. 15 Basically, outreach workers, they go after the 16 chronically homeless, the people that are on the streets 17 and aren't connected to any services at all. They get 18 them connected into mainstream mental health services, 19 physical health services and the housing.

It is a grant, but Texas has -- it's a formula grant. We have had it for a really, really long time.

It is a separate contract, so it is not -- not every PATH worker is an LMHA.

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's just a different pot of money, and they have a separate contract, rather than the LMHA's

performance contract. But they work really closely with our housing people, and most of them are LMHAs.

So there are 14 PATH teams that are at an LMHA. And then two of them are not. But I have a map, and I can send it to you.

MR. MAYFIELD: I would like to see that. I am trying to connect everything that goes on in here with rural housing, which is what I develop and work with.

And I am really struggling, connecting the dots.

MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. I would love to send it out. And any other questions that you have, I can -- about counties, or anything like that. If Terri wants to just email me that and I can gather it for you guys. And I plan on getting more accurate numbers at the end of this month.

MS. LARKIN: Terri mentioned earlier that there is an advisory group that was appointed as a result of the Senate Bill 7 from a third legislature. And there is a housing Subcommittee focused specifically on housing options for folks with IDD.

And one of the things that their recommendations includes is essentially a replication of this program that Anna just described through intellectual and developmental disabilities delivery services to provide that kind of housing subsidy from the

1 state GR but while people are moving into more permanent 2 supportive housing. 3 So we will see where that goes. It will 4 require an appropriations request. But at least it has 5 been put out there. 6 MR. MAYFIELD: That is usually where they 7 fall; that is when it requires appropriation. MS. RICHARD: Yes. 8 9 MS. SONENTHAL: We have seen good outcomes. 10 And it has definitely filled a need for the LMHAs, just 11 with the population that they are serving, they can find housing for them. 12 13 And just like Rebekah was saying, you know, 14 people are finding that you need housing to actually work 15 on sobriety and to be in recovery and do things like 16 that, and so --17 MS. LARKIN: You all have done some data on 18 return on investment. 19 MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. 20 MS. LARKIN: And that kind of thing, that I think will be helpful, if the folks decide to pursue it. 21 22 MS. SONENTHAL: Yes. 23 MS. RICHARD: Yes. Is that anywhere? Because 24 that was the question I was going to ask. I know HHSC,

Health and Human Services Commission, has a bunch of data

25

1	gurus over there. I know DSHS does too. Are you going
2	to do like a white paper or something?
3	MS. SONENTHAL: For ROI?
4	MS. RICHARD: Of what?
5	MS. SONENTHAL: I'm sorry. Return on
6	investment.
7	MS. RICHARD: Yes. And is that going to be
8	something that you could share, that's published?
9	MS. SONENTHAL: Sure. I mean, I have to talk
10	with we don't have it on I mean, we have all the
11	data we would need to do something like that, but we
12	don't have it readily available to give out or anything.
13	And so I have to talk with some of my people and see
14	when.
15	MS. RICHARD: I like the way she says that.
16	MS. SONENTHAL: When they are planning on
17	doing something like that, because there was talk about
18	it after the first year. Anyways, ye, we did a potential
19	return on investment, when we wrote the exceptional item.
20	And so we would use that as, you know, the
21	template for gathering all of the data. So we have it.
22	We just don't know where we're at.
23	MS. RICHARD: Okay. I will just put that in
24	my email, too.
25	MS. SONENTHAL: Sure. Yes. And if anything,

1	I can get you a date or, you know, an expectation for
2	some of that information.
3	MS. RICHARD: Okay. That would be great.
4	MS. SONENTHAL: You got it.
5	MS. GREEN: All right. Any other updates?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. GREEN: I guess that's it. Terri had
8	mentioned January as a meeting date.
9	MS. RICHARD: January 13th is the one I have
10	tentatively put on the calendars. That seemed to work
11	for everyone. I think we have kind of got the whole
12	MS. SYLVESTER: A bunch of people were
13	[inaudible].
14	MS. RICHARD: Okay. Second thought, I will
15	have to get back with you on that. Thanks, Megan.
16	Trying to keep up with all of the different
17	things that are going on. So I'm sorry; I will get with
18	you on a different date then, like we did this time.
19	MS. GREEN: Okay. If there is no other
20	business, I will entertain a motion to adjourn.
21	MS. LARKIN: Motion.
22	MS. EVANS: Seconded.
23	MS. GREEN: All in favor, aye.
24	(A chorus of ayes.)
25	MS. GREEN: All right. Thank you.

MS. RICHARD: Thanks everyone. Thank you.

(Whereupon, at 11:30 a.m., the meeting was

concluded.)

1 2 Housing & Health Services Coordination 3 MEETING OF: Council 4 5 LOCATION: Austin, Texas 6 DATE: October 21, 2015 7 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 8 numbers 1 through 73, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal 9 recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King 10 before the Texas Department of Housing and Community 11 Affairs. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10/27/2015 20 (Transcriber) (Date) 21 22 On the Record Reporting 23 3636 Executive Cntr Dr., G22 24 Austin, Texas 78731 25

26