## TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

## HOUSING AND HEALTH SERVICES COORDINATION COUNCIL MEETING

TDHCA
Room 116
211 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas

October 12, 2022 10:00 a.m.

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Bobby Wilkinson - Present
Doni Green - Present
Rev. Kenneth Darden - Absent
Mike Goodwin - Present
Donna Klaeger - On Phone
Joycesarah McCabe - On Phone
Dr. Jennifer Gonzalez - Absent
Diana Delaunay - Present
Barrett Reynolds - Absent
Helen Eisert - Present
Claire Irwin - Present
Suzanne Barnard - Present
Michael Wilt - On Phone
Amber Morrison for Dr. Blake Harris - Present

## I N D E X

<u>AGENI</u>	<u>DA ITEM</u>	PAGE
	TO ORDER, WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS BLISH QUORUM	3
1.	Approval of April 20, 2022 and July 13, 2022 HHSCC Meeting Minutes no quo	rum
2.	Update on Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program	5
3.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	8
4.	HOME - American Rescue Plan (ARP)	23
5.	Review and Council approval of 10 TAC §1.11 Definition of Service-Enriched Housing	29
6.	Supportive Housing Learning Communities	36
7.	Public Comment	53
10.	General Updates/Next Steps/Staff Assignment(s)	57
ADJOURN		

1 PROCEEDINGS MR. WILKINSON: All right. Good morning. 2 3 is Bobby Wilkinson, Executive Director of Texas Department 4 of Housing and Community Affairs. 5 Welcome to the Housing and Health Services 6 Coordination Council meeting, October 12, 2022, a little 7 after 10:00 a.m. now, 10:02 a.m. Let's start with 8 everyone introducing themselves and state who they 9 represent. Let's start with those that are here in 10 person. MS. IRWIN: Claire Irwin, Texas Health and 11 Human Services. 12 13 MR. DURAN: Spencer Duran, TDHCA. 14 MS. BARNARD: Suzanne Barnard, TDA. 15 MS. CANTU: Naomi Cantu, TDHCA. 16 MS. EISERT: Helen Eisert, HHSC. 17 MR. STREMLER: Jeremy Stremler, TDHCA. MS. GREEN: Doni Green, North Central Texas 18 19 Council of Governments. 20 MS. MORRISON: Amber Morrison, proxy for Blake Harris, Texas Veterans Commission. 21 22 MS. DELAUNAY: Diana Delaunay, Texas Regional 2.3 Bank. 24 MR. GOODWIN: Mike Goodwin, Governor appointee 25 for the developer side of the business.

1	MR. WILKINSON: All right. So that counts
2	seven. Right? Is it
3	MR. STREMLER: [inaudible] is eight, so we
4	don't have a quorum.
5	MR. WILKINSON: Yeah, yeah. So no votes on
6	minutes today.
7	MR. GOODWIN: Can you do an annual
8	MR. WILKINSON: Right, right. So would those
9	of you on the phone if you want to take a moment to
10	introduce yourself? I know we have some Council members
11	that are calling in today.
12	Donna?
13	MR. WILT: Michael Wilt, TSAHC.
14	MR. WILKINSON: Hi, Michael.
15	MS. KLAEGER: Donna Klaeger.
16	MS. McCABE: Joycesarah McCabe, Governor
17	appointee.
18	MR. WILKINSON: I'm sorry. I missed that last
19	one.
20	MS. McCABE: McCabe. Joycesarah McCabe.
21	MR. WILKINSON: All right.
22	MS. BOSTON: Brooke Boston, TDHCA.
23	MR. WILKINSON: Hey, Brooke.
24	MS. BOSTON: Hello.
25	MR. WILKINSON: All right. Let's move on to

our -- we're going to skip over Item 1, approval of minutes. We'll wait for a quorum.

2.3

And now we'll do a Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program update from our Director of that program, Spencer Duran.

MR. DURAN: Thank you. Spencer Duran, Director, 811, TDHCA.

I just have a quick overview of how we're doing with Section 811 PRA. Overall, things have been going great. We have committed 100 percent of our first grant, which is the fiscal year 12 grant.

And there's a deadline to do -- I hadn't even thought about the deadline, the committal of the funds, but that was September 20, 2022. But we committed our funds a long time ago. So it's kind of a non-issue, but significant milestone that the program has crossed.

It also means that 811 has been around for about 10 years. So let's kind of let that kind of sink in with everybody. Yeah.

So, you know, basically, everything has been going great. Our big focus right now has been to really even out the target populations we serve. So to that effect, since we've talked last, we've trained 42 new Department of Family and Protective Services local staff members who can make referrals to the program.

You know, we serve youth with disabilities who 1 2 are aging out of the State's foster care system, and it's 3 a really under-represented population in our program. 4 you know, we're trying to do everything we can to make 5 sure that everyone involved in transitioning youth know 6 about 811. And then -- so we trained a lot of folks 7 there. We also -- we had a national technical 8 9 assistance meeting, and I have some kind of quick numbers 10 of the overall nationwide scoreboard. So in Texas, we

assistance meeting, and I have some kind of quick numbers of the overall nationwide scoreboard. So in Texas, we have currently housed 437 people right now, and in second place is Ohio, and they're at 265. So we're, you know, 170 more in units right now than the second-highest, state of Ohio. And then there's Illinois at 256, California at 238, and Maryland at 200 for the top five.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

FEMALE VOICE: I feel like we should clap or -(Applause.)

MS. GREEN: Do we get a sash or a tiara?

MR. DURAN: I don't think a tiara.

MR. GOODWIN: California doesn't need any more, because they got all -- enough housing, they say.

MR. DURAN: That's right. Yeah. So it's been going great.

You know, we're kind of -- you know, we're trying to just even out who we're serving. You know, the

local health authorities are still just doing a great job, 1 kind of flooding us with referrals. So we're working to 3 kind of balance out those target populations. And then to kind of finish up 811, our lifetime 4 5 housed number is 648. Currently housed, it's, I think, 6 437. And then we have 2,000 waiting. 7 And then the average that -- the average tenant 8 portion of rent -- I always kind of want to throw this out 9 there, because the market is so bananas right now. 10 average tenant portion of rent for our tenants is \$154 a month. So for someone on SSI or SSDI, that's extremely 11 affordable. 12 13 So that's just my quick 811 review. If there's any other questions, I'd be happy to answer. 14 15 MR. WILKINSON: Rent portion, is that 30 16 percent of income or is --17 MR. DURAN: Yes. Thirty percent of their 18 income.

MR. WILKINSON: Okay.

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. DURAN: And so -- and it fluctuates. If someone gets a job that will, I think, increase their income more than \$200 per year, then we have to do an income recertification.

So it's kind of a changing -- you know, a fluctuating number. The cool thing about 811 is, you

know, you can get a job and we can just dial your rent 1 2 portion up and our subsidy down. If you lose a job, your 3 rent portion will go down and our subsidy will go back up. So it's great for people with disabilities. 4 5 You know, you have such limitations on work. And so it's 6 really nice to have this type of income-based program that 7 can kind of fluctuate with your income. 8 I mean, most people, you know, they get SSI, 9 and that's just kind of it. We're going to see a big cost 10 of living increase this year, I've heard, with Social Security. So we'll see what that impact is. 11 12 So if Social Security goes up, I've heard it's 13 going to be, like, 8 percent. So we'll just re-cert 14 everybody, and then the subsidy will go down and the 15 tenant portion will go up. So yeah. So that's 811. 16 Are there any other questions? 17 (No response.) 18 MR. WILKINSON: All right. Spencer is up again 19 for the next one, Emergency Housing Vouchers. 20 MR. DURAN: Great. So Emergency Housing Vouchers -- so not 811-related. This is a Section 8 21 22 It is our just regular housing choice vouchers 2.3 that have a few certain waivers and requirements attached 24 to them.

You have to serve certain target populations,

mostly focusing on people who are experiencing homelessness or formerly homeless, and then also people who are survivors of domestic violence, human trafficking and things like that. So there's no disability requirement, although a lot of people who are experiencing homelessness also have a disability.

2.3

So one of the -- just some notable program developments. Vanessa Stevenson and Barbara Humphrey are our two latest hires in this Section 8 program, and they're devoting all of their time to Emergency Housing Voucher application reviews and income certifications, and calculating the affordability and all that kind of stuff.

So, you know, this program doubled the size of our housing authority. And so we feel like we're just now fully staffed up. So we were having some delays in the amount of time that it was taking for us to review the applications, because our partner, Texas Homeless Network, was doing such a good job of giving us referrals.

Those applications were kind of sitting on our server for a while. But it's a labor-intensive task to review a Section 8 application. So with those two new hires, they're doing a great job.

I think that, you know, they're -- you know, they're verifying eligibility. They're issuing vouchers.

They're, you know, processing contracts with the

properties. They've been doing a great job.

2.3

Okay. So the basic numbers of EHV. So we have 798 vouchers allocated to us, and we have received 441 applications from THN.

And THN's obligation was 380. So THN has exceeded their referral obligation, but you know, you don't have a one-to-one ratio between referrals and housed. You know, like for 811, it takes me 10 referrals to house one person.

So you want to have more referrals than you do, you know, vouchers, or you know, whatever. So we have 61 housed. So that's a big jump from last time. And we're just continuing to hit more and more housed.

So you know, we have, you know, 798 vouchers allocated to us, and you know, 61 housed. You know, not good. We're -- I don't -- I think we're maybe in the top 10 of the state of public housing authorities that got EHV, but you know, those other housing authorities, they're not serving, like, you know, 200 counties and rural areas.

I think there's a lot of differences between our program and your typical PHA that has, you know, a smaller geographic area and an existing relationship with landlords, because of all the landlord relationships. But anyway, so we're -- you know, we're getting there, but I

think that -- yeah.

2.3

So I just wanted to mention this real fast. So you know, we have 173 households that are currently searching. So you know, 61 housed. We have 173 families that are working with Texas Homeless Network to locate those units.

And anyone who's been involved in housing search assistance, you know, it's a landlord-by-landlord-by-landlord-by-landlord negotiation process. So it's a tedious task, and we have a lot of great tools with EHV. We have \$3,500 per voucher that we can use for landlord incentives or to -- you know, we're buying refrigerators and all kinds of stuff you would never buy normally.

But we're just kind of saying, you know, what will get us to housing? What will get that family housed? So we negotiate with the landlord on what kinds of stuff -- you know, if their unit failed the inspections, we'll pay for the repairs to the property to bring it up to the inspection quality.

So it's a whole kind of new, strange world.

It's a little -- it's strange. But I think this is what you need to do to get people experiencing homelessness into housing in rural areas. You just have to bring some upfront money to the table to help these landlords get comfortable with the program and to bring their properties

up to housing quality.

2.3

And just a quick -- I mentioned our top three referral sources out there. So Texas Homeless Network is the overarching partner, but they're working with local providers. So that's Gulf Coast Center, serving Galveston and Brazoria Counties; the Tracy Andrus Foundation, serving a lot of east and central counties; and then the third-highest referral source is Texas Homeless Network directly.

So we don't have a local provider in all of those 200 counties. So we've set the system where Texas Homeless Network as the balance of state, kind of, homeless, you know, coordinating body, they do telephone, housing location, and they do the best they can to try and work, kind of, remotely to help people access housing. And they're actually done a good job through that approach. So yeah.

So with that, I hope to come back next time, and you know, have, you know, more folks housed, but I think that, you know, our biggest -- I don't have the -- [inaudible] time, but we're getting there.

So I think time is our friend, and our program is a lot more mature and sophisticated now. So hopefully, we'll come back with a lot higher occupancy next time.

MR. WILKINSON: Didn't we have a partnership

with the Heart of Texas, Waco CoC? 1 2 MR. DURAN: Yeah, so that's been, kind of, a 3 little bit dormant right now because they're working through -- so a lot of housing authorities got EHVs. 4 5 MR. WILKINSON: Correct. 6 MR. DURAN: So the local Waco Housing Authority 7 got an allocation of EHVs as well. And so we've kind of 8 said, well, they want to work with their local PHA to get 9 those local vouchers leased up before they pivot to a new 10 housing authority partner like us. 11 MR. WILKINSON: Okay. 12 MR. DURAN: And they've basically hit that. 13 we're definitely going to be pivoting over to working with 14 the Heart of Texas soon. 15 MR. WILKINSON: Nice. 16 MR. DURAN: Yeah. 17 MR. WILKINSON: Any other CoCs? I know we've reached out before and --18 19 MR. DURAN: Yeah, we reached out and then no 20 one really was interested. 21 MR. WILKINSON: Okay. 22 MR. DURAN: You know, I -- you know, so we do 2.3 still have vouchers that we need to find a CoC partner. 24 So I'm not really sure exactly -- we tried a few different

things with HUD, and HUD basically said, no.

So we're just trying to figure out -- you know, we have about 200 vouchers that are not affiliated with Heart of Texas or Texas Homeless Network. And so you know, we'll see.

2.3

One of the cool things about the program recently was HUD extended the commitment deadline. So we were operating under basically a calendar year 2022 housing deadline, and HUD came out with a notice saying that deadline is no longer in place. So now we think that with that deadline, kind of, you know, kicked back, that it might now be more attractive to a CoC, because it won't be quite as daunting --

MR. WILKINSON: Right.

MR. DURAN: -- to try and do this quickly. eah. So that's still an ongoing issue with us.

And we got so many vouchers because again,

HUD -- they just automatically allocated us all the

vouchers all the other PHAs didn't want. So they just

got -- we had an allocation formula that gave us about 300

vouchers under their normal -- I'm looking at, you know,

demographic data.

MR. WILKINSON: Yeah.

MR. DURAN: And then they just said, okay.

Well, all these other PHAs didn't even want to participate at all, and so the statewide PHAs got those surplus

vouchers. So --

2.3

MR. GOODWIN: What's your biggest hurdle to getting people into the program?

MR. DURAN: To get them into the program?

MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, get them into the program.

MR. DURAN: Sure. I think that it's -- housing location is definitely a struggle. You know, again, in rural areas, it's single, you know, owners that, you know, they own, you know, one or two rent houses, and they have an existing -- if they're open to Section 8, they probably have an existing relationship with their local county or city housing authority.

So they're, like, who are we? What is this program? So it's kind of introducing us to those local landlords, and it's just -- yeah.

You know, you've got to track down your birth certificate, and you've been experiencing homelessness, and you -- it's just -- you know, accessing housing is -- it takes a long time. So that's one part of it.

The other part of it is, you know, our staffing issues. We were just taking a long time to get to those applications. And so we're now no longer sitting on, you know, a ton of unreviewed applications.

That will be fully staffed up. So I think that we'll see that the voucher issuance will go faster, and

then I think that our partners are getting, you know --1 2 always getting better at housing people. So hopefully, those two timeframes will start to shrink a little bit. 3 4 MR. WILKINSON: Thanks, Spencer. 5 MR. DURAN: Fine. 6 MS. KLAEGER: This is Donna Klaeger. Can you 7 hear me? 8 MR. DURAN: Yes. 9 MS. KLAEGER: Do you -- do we cover McLennan 10 County, Waco area? MR. DURAN: The Heart of Texas does. 11 And well -- so yes, Heart of Texas, that CoC, does. Yeah. 12 We 13 can also -- we can also serve there. 14 MS. KLAEGER: My other question is CPS. 15 a lot of CPS mothers or parents end up having to relocate 16 for different reasons. Are they -- do they qualify to 17 apply for housing? 18 MR. DURAN: So someone receiving services 19 through the Department of Family and Protective Services, 20 through CPS, they wouldn't necessarily qualify just 21 because of that. They would have to be someone 22 experiencing homelessness or formerly homeless, or you 2.3 know, fleeing domestic violence or human trafficking, or 24 any of those other target populations.

But I --

MS. KLAEGER: Okay.

2.3

MR. DURAN: -- so yes, they could be eligible potentially.

MR. WILKINSON: So when you were talking about training under Section 811, DFPS, I mean, we could do something similar on EHV for --

MR. DURAN: Yeah.

MR. WILKINSON: -- domestic violence.

MR. DURAN: Yeah. I don't want to throw the number out there, but we do have a great partnership with Texas Council on Family Violence, and -- okay. I think 40 percent of our referrals are coming from the survivor target population.

I've said that. I don't know if that -- we're still looking into the actual number. But a big chunk of our referrals are definitely people who are domestic violence survivors, and that's because we baked in from the very, very beginning a partnership with Texas Council on Family Violence to make referrals from that target population to Texas Homeless Network, and then they would refer to us.

So I think in our program design, we have -we've also -- with the Veterans Commission as well. So
those two, you know, groups feed their referrals through
Texas Homeless Network.

1	MR. WILKINSON: That's great.
2	Donna, do you have another question?
3	MS. KLAEGER: No. Thank you very much.
4	MR. WILKINSON: All right, Tanya.
5	MS. LAVELLE: I'm Tanya Lavelle. I'm with
6	Disability Rights Texas. I just have a quick question.
7	Spencer, you mentioned that you staffed up.
8	Are these permanent or just short-term staff?
9	MR. DURAN: I think they're technically I'm
10	not sure. I think they're technically time-limited, but
11	they're not, like, short-term
12	MS. LAVELLE: Not just like for whenever the
13	vouchers are done; you're just, like they're done?
14	MR. DURAN: Yeah. We pay for them
15	MS. SYLVESTER: I believe that they are
16	Article 9.
17	MR. DURAN: They're Article 9. So what does
18	that mean?
19	MS. SYLVESTER: New positions.
20	MR. DURAN: Yeah.
21	MS. SYLVESTER: So that means they have a
22	they're paid through the voucher program. However, there
23	is a possibility sometimes that we can shift them to other
24	types of funding. But we anticipate they're not,
25	like sometimes we have temporary staff that we bring on

to just, like, do a short-term pass. They're not, like, 1 They are full-time State employees. 3 MS. LAVELLE: Okay. And they just focus on 4 this, or they have other responsibilities? 5 MR. DURAN: They just focus on this. So we now 6 have -- so Andre Adams, the Section 8 manager, he's 7 involved in EHV. And then we have Tanisha McFarland. She 8 is, like, a program coordinator. And then we have these 9 two regional coordinators. 10 So we have, you know, three specific new hires working on EHV, and then Andre spends a lot of his time on 11 12 I spend a lot of my time on it. Yeah. So I felt we're -- yeah. 13 We have a lot of 14 resources going into this program. 15 MS. LAVELLE: Is it just application review, or 16 is it also housing search assistance? 17 MR. DURAN: Not housing search assistance. The CoC partner provides that, but it's a -- we have a -- you 18 19 should see our process map. It's crazy. 20 But yeah. So we have a -- they do more than 21 just application review. They do the affordability check, 22 because we have such a large area. And we do a -- our 2.3 payment center is based on zip codes. 24 So we've having to look at affordability for 25 every zip code that someone might want to live at.

pay 120 percent of the fair market rent based on the zip 1 code. So it's a really cool payment standard. So 120 3 percent of the zip code rent, but we have to do an 4 analysis of all the zip code rents, and then if that 5 family wants to live there, look at the affordability for 6 that family. 7 And they're, like -- we want to live in maybe 20 different zip codes. Because, you know, no one thinks 8 9 in a zip code, geographic way, you know. 10 MS. LAVELLE: That seems very narrow. 11 MR. DURAN: Yeah. No one really thinks that, 12 you know, I want to live in Lubbock. They don't think, I 13 want to live in one of the, you know, 10 zip codes in 14 Lubbock. So yeah. So it's a lot of work. It's a very -- it's a 15 16 lot of work. Yeah. 17 FEMALE VOICE: I have a question. On the EHVs, what is the timeframe? Once you issue a voucher, how long 18 19 can the family stay there? Is there a time limit? 20 MR. DURAN: Yeah, there is a time limit. I 21 think we can do up to 120 days. 22 FEMALE VOICE: No. How long the family can 2.3 stay in the unit. 24 MR. DURAN: Oh, I'm sorry.

FEMALE VOICE: Yeah.

1	MR. DURAN: Oh, I'm so sorry.
2	FEMALE VOICE: No, no, no. 120 days, it's
3	like
4	MR. DURAN: Sorry. Yeah. I thought you meant
5	how long could they look for housing.
6	FEMALE VOICE: No, no, no. Yeah. Once they
7	find a place
8	MR. DURAN: It's not time-limited.
9	FEMALE VOICE: Oh, okay.
10	MR. DURAN: Yeah.
11	MR. GOODWIN: Is this restricted to rural
12	areas, or is it anywhere?
13	MR. DURAN: So our PHA footprint is statewide.
14	We're definitely, you know, trying to target rural areas
15	that are not otherwise served by another Emergency Housing
16	Voucher PHA.
17	So it's mostly rural areas, but we've also
18	housed people in, you know, Denton, for example. So we're
19	not, like, restricting ourselves to technically rural
20	areas, but that is definitely our intention, and that's,
21	kind of, you know our whole program design is focused
22	on rural areas that are not otherwise served.
23	MR. WILKINSON: Any more questions for Spencer?
24	MS. McCABE: I have a quick question, Spencer.
25	Hi. This is Joycesarah McCabe.

And I'm just curious, as we were talking about 1 2 things like women escaping their families, you know, women and their children, whatever that may be, escaping from 3 4 domestic violence. About how long does it take, once they 5 fill out that application and say, we need a safe place to 6 go home to -- how long does it take for them to actually 7 get into that safe place? MR. DURAN: Well, we definitely don't want to 8 9 portray ourselves as an emergency shelter. You know, we 10 are not --11 MS. McCABE: Right. 12 MR. DURAN: -- we are not anything close to 13 that type of housing. But maybe --14 MS. McCABE: Right. 15 MR. DURAN: -- once someone, you know, is in a 16 safe shelter, than we can start -- we're the person that 17 they would then pivot to for that longer term housing solution. And you know, it can take -- we have some 18 19 applicants that, you know, their application came in, and 20 we were able to house them in 30 days. 21 We have some people that it's taken, you know, 22 seven months. So it's --2.3 MS. McCABE: Okay. 24 MR. DURAN: -- really hard to say. And I do 25 know that --

MS. McCABE: Thank you so much. 1 2 MR. DURAN: -- the survivors, they do take a 3 lot longer than our other applicants, I think, because, 4 you know, they've -- you know, we've heard stories. You 5 know, like, an abuser might destroy their cell phone. 6 And so now we just lost our ability to 7 communicate with that applicant, you know. Or they're 8 actively trying to not be reached. And so it's -- you 9 know, there's a lot of -- I think that the domestic 10 violence survivor population, it does take a lot longer for a lot of reasons. 11 12 MS. McCABE: Okay. Thank you so much. 13 MR. DURAN: Sure. 14 MR. WILKINSON: All right. Next up, we have 15 Naomi Cantu, our Director of HOME - American Rescue Plan funds. 16 MS. CANTU: Great. I couldn't have asked for a 17 18 better seque, as we are actually working on emergency 19 shelter over here, and we're -- I am an Article 9 20 employee. Article 9 -- my division is temporary, but 21 we're long-term temporary on a specific task, which is 22 HOME-ARP, American Rescue Plan. 2.3 And we're phased out potentially in December

HOME-ARP is based on a HOME annual. So annual is every

So that's what our temporary means this moment.

24

25

2025.

year, right? So not temporary, not Section -- Article 9.

2.3

HOME annual framework, but for specific populations, which is the one of the reasons I'm here, a lot of the populations are similar to the EHV populations, so persons experiencing homelessness, persons at risk of homelessness, formerly homeless and currently housed but needing additional assistance, severely rent-burdened and very extremely low income, and domestic violence populations.

So we do have an overlap. We don't have a specific requirement that that person has to have a disability. We don't have that federal requirement, although we do have a preference that includes chronic homelessness, which does include persons with disabilities.

So we have programmed -- I know that you got an overview in the last session. I'm going to go over this just briefly and [inaudible] go along. We've programmed in four main categories; I was trying to think of whether to divide one up or not.

One is rental housing. So we are focused on developing housing for that specific population, and we have about 56 million allocated for that. Another for non-congregate shelter, so that's the shelter component, and it's specific, again, to the population.

But non-congregate means that it is appropriate for a living area during an airborne virus. So it has separate rooms. So it's not congregate, as in everyone in one room.

They have separate rooms and sanitary facilities in those rooms. Again, it's the American Rescue Plan, so it's COVID-centered as well.

1.3

2.3

And then the third -- I'm going to combine

two -- is going to be nonprofit capacity building

operating cost assistance. That's our smallest category

at \$6 million, and that's to again build the capacity to

serve a population that can be hard to house. And then

the final one is administration, which is for TDHCA.

So our plan was approved in May of this year. We are currently routing a few NOFAs, and we're getting those -- again, we're developing new programs. So we're working on what that looks like and getting everything in order.

Our rental NOFA we anticipate combining in terms of timing with our existing programs. So that most likely will be in the late fall. We had anticipated earlier, but it works out better if released when our other funding sources are released to better integrate that housing, and if possible, layering in those areas.

And then our non-congregate shelter, we're

going to -- at this moment, we're going to hold off for a little while, while we build the capacity. So the other NOFA that we are -- Notice of Funding Availability, NOFA -- that we are routing is for the nonprofit capacity building operating cost assistance.

2.3

It's a mouthful, so I always say NCO, because it's just easier that way. So the NCO is to build what we're anticipating to be a larger shelter, and build capacity for that. Anyone who is awarded the NCO will be expected to also receive construction for non-congregate shelter within 24 months of the capacity building award. So we're looking at that connection there, and how that's going to work.

Any questions before --

MR. GOODWIN: I've got one. This is kind of odd, and it will go back to Spencer, too, on, like, the Emergency Housing Vouchers. Who does all the paperwork that goes with the certification, recertification and tracking of income for the people that are being housed?

MS. CANTU: So that's a great question. So one of the things that makes HOME-ARP special is that our qualified populations do not always have an income level.

MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.

MS. CANTU: So when they are in rental housing, they do need income qualifications in terms of figuring

out how much rent they pay, but not for specifically qualifying for the housing. So it's a nuance, but it's an important nuance.

2.3

So usually, the property managers at the rental development would figure that out, just like they would for other developments, like low income housing tax credits or HOME annual or SP.

MR. GOODWIN: Okay. Because from the developer side, I work with Spencer.

We hopefully are going to settle in two weeks. But we had two credit properties, both looked like they were in good position, could have taken probably 20 if we went 10 percent on the thing. But I could not get the owner to buy in, because he did not want to have to go to the expense of buying the HUD program to track --

MS. CANTU: Oh, yes.

MR. GOODWIN: -- the 811 vouchers and run a Section 8 program for 20 out of 200 units.

I also have a friend who is an ex-combat medic that's a PA in the San Antonio area, and he runs free medical clinics for homeless vets every Sunday down at Travis Park Methodist Church. And they each got seven teams of all trainees from the schools around there, and they go hold medical clinics every Sunday.

I sat down with him for an hour talking,

like -- well, you know, why are they here? Well, they're homeless vets. Well, why aren't they in housing? Well, they're homeless vets.

2.3

And I said, do you know that there's a program called VASH vouchers that are strictly for veterans that can go? He said, these guys don't want vouchers. They don't want to have to have the responsibility of cleaning an apartment and turning in all of this paperwork, and so they're going to stay homeless.

And I'm just wondering how you'll fight that program?

MS. CANTU: So that -- those are definitely uphill battles. But the first one, about tracking income for the voucher program --

MR. GOODWIN: Right.

MS. CANTU: -- we are not a voucher program.

So it's going to run just like it would with another affordable housing development. So that's helpful, right?

It's not a separate thing to track.

Now, in terms of outreach to people that might qualify for the program, we do have some possible coordinations with the Continuums of Care, the CoC. Of course, it's a HUD program, so they -- so it is complicated on how that will work, because we have several options on referrals, outreach and qualifications. Like

who does that initial qualification, right. 1 2 So it's possible that it could be the CoC or another homeless service provider, and then they provide 3 4 that paperwork directly to the rental housing agency or 5 property manager, so that they don't have to do it again. 6 But we also need to make something available for walk-ins 7 for someone that's able to do that. 8 And hopefully, if it is a connection or a 9 referral through the Continuum of Care, there's other 10 providers for services, right. MR. GOODWIN: Uh-huh. 11 12 MS. CANTU: Because someone who is needing 13 heavy services hopefully should have access to those 14 services. It's not a requirement for our program as such, 15 and it has to be optional services. That's a HOME 16 requirement. But hopefully, an option for those services. 17 MR. WILKINSON: Any more questions for Naomi about HOME-ARP? 18 19 (No response.) 20 Thank you, Naomi. MR. WILKINSON: 21 All right. Next up is Jeremy on our definition 22 of service-enriched housing. 2.3 MR. STREMLER: Yes. So back again for cleaning 24 this up for the rule renewal. 25 So it's, of course, Rule 1.11, Definition of

Service-Enriched Housing. And from last time, the only paragraph that members had any requested changes to was Paragraph (b), the definition. So I'll read through it, and note where those are, and then we can, you know, see if we like where its at and move forward with adopting the rule and going through the TDHCA rulemaking process to readopt this rule.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So Section (b). "For the purpose of directing the work of the Housing and Health Services Coordination Council and its work products, including the biennial plan, Service-Enriched Housing is defined as communityintegrated, affordable, accessible rental housing that provides residents with the opportunity to receive assistance in coordination of on-site and/or off-site health-related and other services and supports that foster independence in living and decision-making for individuals" -- and this is one of our changes from the last time -- "including those with disabilities, people who are elderly, persons who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness" -- which has changed from "homeless and chronically homeless persons" -- "veterans, youth exiting foster care, and Violence Against Women Act covered populations."

So we added in the youth exiting foster care, changed the wording of persons experiencing or who have

experienced homelessness. And so I'll leave that open to 1 Council members, if that seems like where we want to be or --3 4 MR. GOODWIN: Do you want to --5 MR. STREMLER: -- are there any questions? 6 MR. GOODWIN: Just a reminder that when the 7 Lord developed the Earth, he developed Adam and Eve first, 8 and then he started the animals. And when he got to the 9 horses, Adam and Eve wanted to be a committee and so an 10 elephant is a racehorse that's designed by a committee. 11 And that's what -- this thing has been beat to 12 death for how many years now? And every time we do this, 13 somebody else comes in and -- well, I want these people 14 included. Well, I want these people included. So you're 15 going to take a five-line paragraph and make an 16 encyclopedic -- that's my only concern is that we need to 17 keep it as simple as we can without excluding. I don't know how you get that overall that 18 19 covers all those into two or three words, as opposed to three sentences. 20 I think the approach is, you know, 21 MS. GREEN: 22 It's -- you know, it doesn't say, permissive in nature. 2.3 including but not limited to. So I think there's some 24 inherent flexibility to add other populations.

In terms of the youth exiting foster care,

wouldn't it be youth who are aging out of foster care? 1 2 there's a child who drops out of the foster care program 3 and is 13, she wouldn't be eligible for the program. 4 MS. CANTU: Correct. 5 MR. WILKINSON: Is that just like a term -- the 6 way DFPS terms anyone who ages out? They just say youth 7 exiting foster care? Anybody know? 8 MS. CANTU: I don't know. 9 MR. WILKINSON: Or --10 FEMALE VOICE: I think it's usually aging out. Thank you. 11 MR. GOODWIN: MS. GREEN: I think that would be more clear. 12 13 MR. STREMLER: Okay. And so then I think the 14 question goes to our legal staff on what we have defined 15 in our rules already, and youth exiting foster care, I 16 believe, is already a defined definition in TDHCA's 17 rulemaking. 18 Correct me if I'm wrong. 19 MS. SYLVESTER: We have a program or a preference in certain programs that we have for youth 20 21 exiting foster care. That's what it's titled. 22 MS. GREEN: Okay. 2.3 MS. SYLVESTER: That was given to us by our 24 State agency partners and that's how it's worded in 811. 25

That's how it's worded in our action plan. So I would

prefer to keep with that wording, because that's how we've 1 2 termed it in these other places. 3 MR. GOODWIN: What does that mean, though? 4 Does that mean aging out of foster care or just leaving 5 foster care? 6 MS. SYLVESTER: So for those programs, it 7 varies per program. So for Spencer's program, it would 8 have to be aging out of foster care. For some of the 9 other preferences that someone might adopt, it could be 10 someone that is 16 but has a baby, and has chosen to go to a different form of assistance. And so they are exiting 11 foster care, even though they could stay until they were 12 1.3 18. 14 So that's --15 MS. GREEN: That's complicated. 16 MR. GOODWIN: Yeah. It's like saying for 17 people who are coming out of the prison system and need 18 housing, well, does that mean if they escape, they're 19 [inaudible] --20 MS. GREEN: Yeah. 21 MR. GOODWIN: That's a toughie. 22 MS. GREEN: Yeah, yeah. I agree. I think we 2.3 can -- you know, we can revisit this on an annual basis 24 and kind of pick it apart, but again, I think there's some

inherent flexibility. And I think, you know, these

amendments would just bring an additional level of detail 1 2 that's, you know, helpful in terms of clarity. So I would 3 move approval. 4 MS. McCABE: This is Joycesarah McCabe. 5 absolutely would look at moving towards approval. I think 6 that if we get to just dickering over tiny words, we 7 actually end up narrowing the definition. 8 Just like aging out, well, that leaves out the 9 16-year-old that -- you know, to that point, that wouldn't 10 necessarily be aging out, but would still be exiting the foster care system. And I read the old definition, read 11 12 this definition, and yeah, I thought it was pretty all-13 encompassing. 14 MR. STREMLER: Okay. Well then. You know, I 15 think we discussed at the last meeting, since we don't 16 have a quorum, we don't necessarily need a vote. 17 long as everyone is kind of in agreement, then we're going to move forward. 18 19 We're going to move forward with re-adopting 20 this rule with these changes. So you know --21 MR. WILKINSON: And this goes out for formal 22 comment in the Register? 2.3 It will go out for formal MR. STREMLER: Yes.

comment in the Texas Register, a 20-day public comment

period, because it's a rule change. You'll see it, you

24

know, at the next Board meeting if you're -- you know, if 1 you're following our Board meetings. At the November Board meeting, you'll see this 3 4 item on the agenda. And then, you know, it takes a few 5 months for it to go through the comment period and 6 adoption and all of that kind of stuff, and then posting 7 it in the Register and it being active. 8 So it will probably be, you know, January, 9 February before it's officially official in -- you know, 10 in the Register, and you can go on the Texas Secretary of State website and see the physical changes there. 11 We'll go ahead --12 yeah. 13 MR. WILKINSON: Potentially our Board could 14 amend this further and --15 (General laughter.) 16 MR. WILKINSON: We'll try to sneak it by on 17 consent, and I'm not going to tell the Chairman about it. I think we're good. 18 19 MR. STREMLER: Yeah. All right. 20 MR. GOODWIN: It's easier to ask forgiveness 21 than permission. 22 MR. STREMLER: There you go. Well, thank you 2.3 for that, and we'll revisit again in four years, just like 24 we did last time. So look forward to that.

Okay.

MR. WILKINSON:

MR. STREMLER: Oh, Naomi, you might want to 1 2 move. I don't want to blind you. 3 MS. CANTU: Oh, yeah. You're right. 4 MR. STREMLER: We're going to use the 5 projector. Sorry. 6 MR. WILKINSON: We're going to have a 7 presentation from Helen Eisert about Housing Learning 8 Communities -- Supportive Housing Learning Communities. 9 MS. EISERT: Yeah. Well, and actually, I'm 10 going to do a little bit of level-setting and we'll talk more about the housing listening sessions that we 11 12 conducted at HHSC. I realize I was, like, oh, Supportive 1.3 Housing Learning Communities is actually on the agenda. 14 Anyway -- but I'll talk about that at the end 15 of the presentation, about it kind of set up what we're 16 forming and developing. 17 MR. WILKINSON: That way, we comply the Administrative Procedures Act or whatever. Right? 18 The 19 Open Meetings Act. Excuse me. 20 MS. EISERT: Yeah, yeah. So a lot of this is going to focus on HHSC's work in capacity building with 21 22 the local mental health authorities across the state. 2.3 so I just wanted to give a little bit of background. 24 I think -- I came to HHSC in 2018, was over 25 their several housing programs that they have. And when I started that work, within the first month, I realized kind of the exciting potential that the local mental health authorities have to catalyze growth and opportunities for housing people with mental health conditions.

1.3

2.3

And so it's really been the last four years that I've focused on kind of capacity building opportunities for local mental health authorities. So I'm excited to talk about this stuff, with some of the data in here.

But we'll talk about housing as a non-medical drivers of health, just to do some level-setting and kind of context about why we went to the local mental health authorities to have these conversations, the listening session results, and then the Supportive Housing Learning Communities.

So non-medical drivers of health sometimes called -- usually called social determinants of health. That phrase is shifting a little bit. But really, it's the conditions where people are born, live, learn, work, play, worship and age that affect a wide range of health outcomes and risks.

So if you have heard of the adverse childhood experiences study that was done, you know, if you have five or more adverse childhood experiences, you're more likely to have certain health outcomes. Housing,

education, social support, all the ones listed here drive health. And I really love this graphic, because it really shows social and economic factors account for almost half of what drives people's health outcomes.

2.3

So for HHSC, this is really compelling because our focus obviously is the health of Texans. So it's different than the housing providers, but obviously, we have to be involved in housing, because if somebody is not housed, they're going to have poor health outcomes.

So I use this graphic just to kind of set up the data that I'm going to show around housing instability at the LMHAs, local mental health authorities. So obviously on the far left of the housing stability continuum with people that are chronically homeless, that have a disability -- they've lived on the street for longer -- it's a smaller population than the people that are acutely homeless.

People that are acutely homeless make up the majority of people that are unhoused and generally don't need as much interventions to get off the streets. And then people that are housing insecure make up a large group of the people served at LMHAs that are paying between 30 to 80 percent of their income towards rent.

And then, of course, housing-stable would be people that are paying no more than 30 percent of their

income towards rent. And again, if you -- some people know all this. Some people don't. That's why I'm doing a little bit of level-setting.

2.3

So [inaudible] and Burton -- there's been quite a few studies that have shown, kind of, the effects of toxic stress, things that can happen when you're income -- you're housing-unstable, or you're paying more than, you know, 50 percent of your income towards rent or something like that.

You have to make really horrible decisions, like do I pay for this medicine or do I buy a week of groceries for my -- you know, pay medicine for the child, or feed them a meal. You know, really kind of horrible decisions. And they tend -- people tend to live in neighborhoods with more risks to safety and health, more exposure to violence, things that come with being in poverty.

So for the local mental health authorities,

HHSC is the state mental health authority. We delegate

our authority to the 39 community mental health centers,

local mental health authorities. And we're the primary

point of entry for publicly funded programs, and we're the

provider of last resort.

So that means that there is a large Medicaid population that LMHAs serve. And then there's also a

large population that's not insured that is covered through general revenue funds.

2.3

So basically, with Texas behavioral health systems of care -- this will tie in, I promise, to what we're going to talk about. I want to talk -- some of the data comes from the Uniform Assessment, and so all of the folks that go through LMHAs get a Uniform Assessment. They get it redone every 180 days.

So it's really this wonderful, kind of, opportunity to look at data. And it covers about 14 to 17 different life domains: behavioral health; you know, social, occupational functioning; if somebody has been hospitalized or in the jail. It covers a whole lot of different things.

But you do have a residential stability domain that we're able to look at. And then based on their assessment, they are put into a level of service. And then there's a person-centered recovery plan that's created. And then, of course, discharge is the last part of the system of care.

So of the adult levels of service, zero is crisis services. The numbers are not so important. It's more about the percentage.

Obviously, the majority of people are going to go into the lower level of care, Level 1. That would be

somebody -- a case manager that might have a caseload of 350 people. That person comes to the clinic about once a month, sometimes once every three months, and they just check on their medications, and they have about a 20- to 30-minute conversation with the case manager.

2.3

They update the Uniform Assessment. They update the goals. That's about it. So you know, pretty hands-off.

If you have 350 to 500 people in your caseload, you're not going to do a whole lot. So as people increase in acuity, there's more intensive services.

So the Level 3 would be somebody that -- a field-based case manager that goes out to somebody's home or on the street or at a campsite and they meet with them once a week. And they do case management, life skills, housing work, employment work, all kinds of stuff with them.

And then our most acute is the people that are in our Level of Service 4, which are the Assertive Community Treatment clients. And that is a pretty intensive wraparound team of about 14 to 18 people that sometimes meet with somebody every day.

They might go out there three to four times a week. There's an advanced nurse practitioner on that team that can go out to the person, prescribe meds in the

moment. They go out to their home, go to the streets. So it's much more intensive, definitely meeting people where they're at.

2.3

So it's a small group of people that makes up -- but it's an intense, very intensive group. And so these are your folks that have cycled in and out of the psychiatric hospital. These are your folks that go to the ER a lot for psych admissions.

And so I don't know what the term is we're using now, frequent utilizers. There's lot of different phrases for them, but they tend to cost the community more. And so we want to look at data that looks at them.

So in terms of the residential stability domain, I like to show people kind of what the scores mean, zero through three. Zero, one, two and three is the score. And basically, what happens is the case manager or clinician has a conversation with a person for about an hour, and then they go back later and they score the SAMHSA.

So it's not interview questions. It's more you're just scoring based on what they're talking about. So the score of one, which is a person has a relatively stable housing, but has either moved in the past three months or there are indications that housing problems could arise at some point within the next three months,

that to me is important, because it's only scored one, but it's actually someone that's already housing-unstable.

1.3

2.3

And then, of course, when you go to a two, it's already someone that's had, you know, temporary lack of permanent housing. That can mean a lot of different things. That could be somebody couch-surfing. That could be someone that's just moving a lot to kind of get out of being evicted.

But then Level 3 is someone that's experienced periods of homelessness in the past six months. So what you can notice with the data is that, once you jump to Level 3 and 4, the rates of the scores go higher. So that makes sense.

But it's just important information to know that as people have more intensive mental health conditions, there tends to be a higher housing instability. So you can see this. It makes up almost 40 percent of the folks that are served in LLC 3 -- LoS 3. So I'll go through that.

So the listening sessions, we conducted them between December 2021 and April 2022. And really, we were wanting to sort of look at the investment the LMHAs had in housing. Like, how much are they actually doing and to what degree?

And we wanted to inform the creation of

Supportive Housing Learning Communities. So in December 2019, we had done a survey of all the LMHAs, had like an 88 percent or 80 percent response rate. So it was pretty awesome.

And we had asked them, you know, would you be interested in something like this? And about 88 percent had said, yes, we would be very interested. So we were, like, okay.

We knew we wanted to something, but we need some money for it, and we need to develop it a little bit more. So we had the conversations with the majority of the housing staff. It was a mix.

Some LMHAs would literally have one person on the call. Some people had their executive directors and their seed-level directors in the call. So it was a big mix.

And we were able to have sessions with 27 of the 39 LMHAs. So that was exciting.

So this is the graphic I was really excited about, just to kind of get a sense of where folks are at. So our LMHAs are definitely on a continuum from -- everything from just linking to external resources to actually providing rental assistance, to the far right of the continuum where they're owning and developing their own housing.

So obviously, if an LMHA is owning and developing their own housing, they're kind of in a different tier. And we already have about 30 percent of our LMHAs that are doing that. So that's exciting to me.

I'd like to see that number increase.

And so when I think about the Supportive

2.3

And so when I think about the Supportive

Housing Learning Communities and some benchmarks we're

going to look at, this will be some of the -- we have some

baseline data we can use to come back a couple of years

later and say, okay, is that increasing or not.

So the other thing that was interesting is, there was kind of a breakdown of LMHAs that had informal partnerships versus formalized partnerships. So that might be -- formalized partnerships with a landlord might be like a memorandum of understanding with, you know, a group of landlords or a corporation that has a bunch of properties. And then the informal partnerships was literally just, you know, developing relationships with landlords without any kind of contract in place.

So any questions on that or not, before I keep going?

MR. WILKINSON: LBHA is behavioral health?

MS. EISERT: Yes, behavioral health. Yes. We have two in Texas. So we always have to say both.

MR. WILKINSON: No real difference, just the

name?

MS. EISERT: No. They are. They do this -- a lot of the LMHAs do substance use services, but they're the substance use authority for -- as well, if they're behavioral health.

MR. WILKINSON: Okay.

MS. EISERT: It adds the substance use. Yeah.

1.3

2.3

So in terms of non-HHSC housing programs, we did want to give a snapshot. This kind of mirrors some of the December 2019 survey data that we had. But about 36 percent, I believe, of our LMHAs have received funding from the homeless continuum of care.

I always pull that out, because again, that -when you put that together with this graphic -- about
30 percent are owning and developing their own housing -that's the tier of folks that are -- they're going to have
more housing staff. They're going to have some staff
that's just housing administration, not just clinical work
around housing support services. Because it's a HUD
program, it's more administratively heavy, so they're
doing more.

And then, of course, there's some that are -about 36 percent are referring to mainstream vouchers,
811 -- yay, it was high. And that's not even -- you know,

it's not in every area. It's only -- what -- eight metropolitan areas. So -- and then the Emergency Housing Vouchers were the other ones that were listed.

1.3

2.3

The strongest housing partnership -- I think the question was, what housing partnerships are you most proud of? And without a doubt, the majority said public housing authority. That's something they really worked on.

A lot of them didn't know that you could work with your public housing authority to set a preference for different populations. It was not a TA call. It was a listening session. So -- but I definitely took notes.

I was, like, oh, we have some training that we need to do with our LMHAs. Because they're such a huge partner in their community that they really could leverage that relationship with the PHA to set preferences for people with mental health conditions or other disabilities, just working with the disability community to do that.

Landlords and homeless continuum of care were the next. The city was kind of low. The county was even lower. I didn't even make a chart for the graphic on this presentation.

So that's definitely another benchmark that I would look at after the Supportive Housing Learning

Communities has been going on for a couple of years, to come back and say, okay, how many of you feel like you have stronger relationships with the city as a result of some of this capacity building we've been doing?

2.3

So the housing challenges. This shouldn't be too big of a surprise, but prior justice involvement and affordability were the two highest that were mentioned. It was higher than availability, so that was interesting.

The availability is not just occupancy rate, but it's also landlords that are willing to work with housing subsidies. So that's just -- I think it's interesting that justice involvement was higher than that for our mental health centers.

And then habitability, that, you know, tended to come more from the rural communities, that there were a lot of -- they would find a landlord that was willing to rent to somebody, but it wasn't meeting the HQS inspection with HUD, so.

And then one of the last questions we asked them -- there was about 13 questions. And the last one was what do you hope can be accomplished at your local mental health authority in the next two years, two to five years, and the next 10 years? And I was really excited by the fact that almost 60 percent of folks were talking about wanting to partner with an agency to own housing or

to own their own housing. And that was a mix of housing.

1.3

2.3

It was not necessarily an apartment complex. Sometimes it was folks that were like -- you know, the agency is saying we really need small group homes for people with mental health conditions, and we might just have to do it ourselves, because we can't -- you know, we can't wait for the State to figure it out.

So -- and then they also talked about more transitional housing. So what? It's been 15 years now at least. The big push has been permanent supportive housing, and transitional housing really got slashed funding for it by HUD.

But we really have our local mental health authorities -- a lot of them are saying, we will need transitional housing. We have -- and for them, they tend to be thinking more step-down kind of situations for people that have really intensive mental health needs and need help with activities of daily living, showering, grocery shopping, budgeting, medication assistance, stuff like that, and then develop more independence in the home, and then be able to maybe move into an apartment.

So that tended to be what they were talking about when they said transitional housing versus someone that maybe is unhoused and needs to be housing-ready. So

it wasn't so much about housing readiness, which is what the big push was for PSH when they moved away from transitional housing 20 years ago or whatever.

1.3

2.3

And then, of course, they wanted to see reductions in homelessness, and they wanted to have more community partnerships, and then they wanted more housing staff. Just not a shocker. And we did ask several questions about what kinds of trainings would be helpful for folks that targeted the clinic clinicians in their agency and then also agency leadership.

So we really wanted to target agency leadership with our Supportive Housing Learning Community, because those are the folks that are at the table with the city, the county, doing the advocacy, that have the ability to leverage those relationships to create more housing for people with mental health conditions.

So we've contracted with UT Health San Antonio.

And originally it was funded through some re-balancing

funds with Money Follows the Person, and then now, in FY

'23 and hopefully going forward, will be funded through

the Mental Health Block Grant.

And it's a mix of virtual and in-person training. So we have supportive housing boot camps that we have developed. We did two in the summer of FY '22, where it's an intensive, two-day, virtual or in person,

with an expert, Ann Denton, if you know who she is, super fun trainer.

1.3

2.3

And people love it. I think we're going to try to do two or three in FY '23. But it's really geared for someone that's new to the housing world in helping somebody that has significant disabilities and barriers find housing and stay in housing.

And then we have a set of leadership. I was going to pull up -- let's see. Because I think the next thing is questions. So you can see -- can you all see that? Need me to make it bigger?

So here is what we're kind of hoping to cover in FY '23 and '24. We're not going to get through all of this in FY '23. But you can see the leadership series, it's going to talk a lot about, kind of, developing partnering on funding opportunities.

We're working with a technical -- UT Health San Antonio is subcontracting with a technical assistance collaborative, which has national experts that train around the country. And so they're developing content for us for FY '23. So I'm excited about that.

So it will be specific to Texas. It will hit on rural issues that -- what happened in the summer -- we did two different series for leaders and then for clinicians. And they were able to get feedback from the

1	LMHAs on what would be helpful.
2	So they heard some from rural communities that
3	were, like hey, we need this kind of training. So they
4	were using that to help develop content for FY '23.
5	And I think that's it, guys.
6	MR. WILKINSON: Some videos online or
7	MS. EISERT: These will be
8	MR. WILKINSON: in person?
9	MS. EISERT: no. These will be, like,
10	interactive workshops, virtual workshops
11	MR. WILKINSON: Virtual?
12	MS. EISERT: with the trainers. So they go
13	into breakout rooms and they get to talk with each other,
14	you know. So
15	MR. WILKINSON: That's great.
16	MS. EISERT: it's more than just a webinar.
17	MR. WILKINSON: Right.
18	MS. EISERT: That's so it doesn't work very
19	well to be recorded.
20	MR. WILKINSON: Sure. Right.
21	MS. CANTU: So I have to say, I've been working
22	with CoCs for seven years, and I could probably still
23	benefit from a training
24	(General laughter.)
25	MS. CANTU: It's very complicated.

## ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. EISERT: It is. Yeah. So we're excited. 1 2 We're excited about the impact of it. And yeah. 3 That's it. Thank you. 4 MR. WILKINSON: Any questions for Helen? 5 Comments? 6 FEMALE VOICE: Nice work. 7 MR. WILKINSON: Yeah. 8 MS. EISERT: Yeah. It's exciting. 9 This is the time where we would MR. WILKINSON: 10 be asking for public comment, either in person or over the phone. 11 12 I'd like to give one that -- as MR. GOODWIN: 13 our Co-Chairs are well aware, I have the ability to come 14 up with useless facts. But as a housing developer, I 15 think in terms of dollars, what it takes to get into 16 housing and sustain housing. And will that sustain my 17 property in a profitable way? And we've talked about 30 percent of income. 18 19 We -- that probably ought to be modified, that says, 30 20 percent of adjusted family income, because on almost every 21 program, there are adjustments for family size, disability 22 and other things like that. But if you have somebody in 2.3 Austin, Texas, who has a salary of \$48,000 a year and 24 makes \$4,000 a month, if you don't take any deductions out

of that for anything else, that means they have -- their

25

rent should be limited to \$1,200 a month.

2.3

What can you get in Austin, Texas, for \$1,200 a month if you're a family? And if you take that further down the pike, \$1,200 doesn't sound like much, but on the wage scales that are being paid, that's \$23.59 an hour. How many of the, I'll say -- I hate to use the word -- lower end families are breadwinners make more than \$25 an hour?

In San Antonio, they're just elated because our teachers were starting at \$52,000 a year, which is only \$4,000 more than that. So that breaks down to -- divided by 12, whatever that is. So that means, you know, our teachers generally have to be the second household earner for them to have a decent place near where they work.

MS. GREEN: And utilities are considered part of housing costs.

MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.

MS. GREEN: Correct? So --

MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.

MS. GREEN: -- \$1,200, with all bills paid.

MR. GOODWIN: With all bills paid. Yeah. That 1,200 is supposed to cover utilities.

So what we're saying is, it ain't easy to live anywhere. I live in town nominally of 11,000 people, a little town called Boerne, Texas. And our teachers -- a

lot of our teachers come from San Antonio because of the school.

2.3

We have one of the highest-graded school districts in the state, and they come out there to get that on their resume. But they can't live out there, because the housing prices are so high.

All the developers are buying up -- I was telling Doni -- the 1,200-foot clapboard sideboard house is now being bought up by developers, gutting the inside, putting in appliances, putting on false masonry on the outside, and reselling them for \$400,000.

MR. WILKINSON: So any efforts on multifamily in Boerne? Or is it resisted locally? Because in Wimberley, it's resisted for sure.

MR. GOODWIN: It was resist -- we built a property in Boerne, and we wanted to go in and do a bond deal, and we got booted out. So we developed a commercial property. It just went like crazy. They lease up in a matter of a few months, as opposed to several months.

I met with the mayor a year ago, and we talked about it, how -- now, our political leadership in Boerne are all developers. The prior mayor was a commercial developer. The present mayor is the President/CEO of Santikos Development Company.

But he was asking, you know, how do we get

affordable housing in Boerne? And I said, well, first of all, you can't, because you can't buy land for less than about, you know, a half million dollars an acre there, if you're talking about a big plot.

2.3

But what he did -- we talked about it, and he finally did it. They formed, I'll say, a public housing partnership, and they're going to get the county to donate the land. The city is going to donate all the impact fees. And then it will be developed by a nonprofit.

Because it's a city project, it will get 100-percent automatic tax exemption. So now you have cheap land, cheap infrastructure costs, and no taxes, and you can afford to rent a little -- they're supposed to start work on that project in about -- I applauded the mayor, because he carried it through, and nobody else was interested. Nobody wants to even touch it out there, because you can build a 280-unit property and charge a gazillion dollars and people rent them.

I'll give you an example. Luckenbach, Texas, there's a hill before you get there. A company went in and bought that whole acreage, and you may have gotten a solicitation in the mail. They were selling pad sites for RVs.

And if you wanted to pay another \$100,000, you could get about a 100-square-foot extension to build

yourself a tiny house. It sold out in two days. 1 2 And I think the number was, like, 350. 3 It sold out in three days. And that thing sits 4 30 miles from nowhere. So you know --5 MR. WILKINSON: It's close to Luckenbach. 6 MR. GOODWIN: Luckenbach has changed. I am a 7 quasi-employee of Luckenbach, I spend so much time out 8 The most famous person out there is Virgil 9 Holdman, the guy in the cowboy hat with the big voice and 10 laugh, has cancer. Has not worked for about six months, 11 and he's starting chemo next month. 12 His running mate who is an old roughneck from 13 the oil, who saw the light and is now a leader of the 14 Cowboy Church up there, named Walt Perryman, who's a 15 cowboy poet, is going into the hospital today to have half 16 of his lung taken out with cancer. So we lose those two, 17 and you've lost the battle to Luckenbach. So there are those of us who are crying 18 19 about -- but that's just an example of what's going on 20 with land and the fact that the average citizen is being cut out of the ability to have a home. 21 22 MR. WILKINSON: Any further public comment? 2.3 (No response.) 24 MR. WILKINSON: All right. General updates.

We already talked about the definition going to the Board

25

1	and then to the Register for formal public comment.
2	Next meeting will be in January. We don't have
3	a specific date yet. And
4	MR. STREMLER: Yeah.
5	MR. WILKINSON: it says January 2022.
6	MR. STREMLER: Oh, of course it does.
7	MR. WILKINSON: And so time travel, not even
8	possible. It will be 2023.
9	And the session will be just starting. So that
10	will be fun. And
11	MR. GOODWIN: We have to go buy a DeLorean so
12	we can go back to
13	MR. WILKINSON: Right, right. We might have
14	some interesting follow-up bills to discuss in January.
15	So we'll see. All right.
16	Thank you, everyone, for coming and for being
17	on the phone. And at this time, we will adjourn the
18	meeting.
19	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: Housing and Health Services Coordination Council 4 5 LOCATION: Austin, Texas 6 DATE: October 12, 2022 7 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 59, inclusive, are the true, accurate, 8 9 and complete transcript prepared from the digital recording provided by the Texas Department of Housing and 10 11 Community Affairs. 12 DATE: October 18, 2022 13 14 15 16 17 18 /s/ Adrienne Evans-Stark 19 (Transcriber) 20 21 On the Record Reporting & 22 Transcription, Inc. 23 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515 24 Austin, Texas 78752 25

26 27 28