



**2018 At-Risk & Regional Funding Estimates**  
**2018 Applicant Funding Request & Award Limits**  
**2018 Elderly Funding Limits**

	Region (MSA Counties with urban places)	Geographic Area	Initial Sub-region amount	Amount needed to reach \$500,000	Amount over \$500,000 that can be reallocated	Proportion of amount available to be reallocated	Amount to be reallocated	Final Funding Amount	Percentage of total state allocation	Max Funding Request/Award Limits	Maximum Percentage Elderly	Maximum Elderly Funding Limit
<b>Urban</b>	1	Lubbock	\$ 1,202,955.72	\$ -	\$ 702,956	1.56%	\$ (14,667.86)	\$ 1,188,287.86	1.77%	\$ 1,500,000	n/a	n/a
	2	Abilene	\$ 462,862.97	\$ 37,137	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 37,137.03	\$ 500,000.00	0.75%	\$ 750,000	n/a	n/a
	3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 13,563,335.39	\$ -	\$ 13,063,335	29.06%	\$ (272,579.36)	\$ 13,290,756.03	19.81%	\$ 1,500,000	40.94%	\$ 5,441,236
	4	Tyler	\$ 1,023,056.11	\$ -	\$ 523,056	1.16%	\$ (10,914.08)	\$ 1,012,142.03	1.51%	\$ 1,500,000	n/a	n/a
	5	Beaumont	\$ 718,305.75	\$ -	\$ 218,306	0.49%	\$ (4,555.16)	\$ 713,750.58	1.06%	\$ 1,070,626	n/a	n/a
	6	Houston	\$ 12,026,771.13	\$ -	\$ 11,526,771	25.64%	\$ (240,517.43)	\$ 11,786,253.70	17.57%	\$ 1,500,000	41.32%	\$ 4,870,080
	7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 4,085,434.50	\$ -	\$ 3,585,434	7.98%	\$ (74,813.62)	\$ 4,010,620.88	5.98%	\$ 1,500,000	35.21%	\$ 1,412,140
	8	Waco	\$ 1,457,724.20	\$ -	\$ 957,724	2.13%	\$ (19,983.86)	\$ 1,437,740.34	2.14%	\$ 1,500,000	n/a	n/a
	9	San Antonio	\$ 4,818,488.24	\$ -	\$ 4,318,488	9.61%	\$ (90,109.51)	\$ 4,728,378.73	7.05%	\$ 1,500,000	45.13%	\$ 2,133,917
	10	Corpus Christi	\$ 1,308,019.05	\$ -	\$ 808,019	1.80%	\$ (16,860.11)	\$ 1,291,158.93	1.92%	\$ 1,500,000	n/a	n/a
	11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 5,492,658.94	\$ -	\$ 4,992,659	11.11%	\$ (104,176.75)	\$ 5,388,482.19	8.03%	\$ 1,500,000	n/a	n/a
	12	San Angelo	\$ 851,938.03	\$ -	\$ 351,938	0.78%	\$ (7,343.53)	\$ 844,594.50	1.26%	\$ 1,266,892	n/a	n/a
	13	El Paso	\$ 2,373,443.45	\$ -	\$ 1,873,443	4.17%	\$ (39,091.24)	\$ 2,334,352.21	3.48%	\$ 1,500,000	n/a	n/a
<b>Rural</b>	1	Lubbock	\$ 669,864.81	\$ -	\$ 169,865	0.38%	\$ (3,544.40)	\$ 666,320.41	0.99%	\$ 999,481		
	2	Abilene	\$ 485,549.87	\$ 14,450	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 14,450.13	\$ 500,000.00	0.75%	\$ 750,000		
	3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 573,853.89	\$ -	\$ 73,854	0.16%	\$ (1,541.03)	\$ 572,312.86	0.85%	\$ 858,469		
	4	Tyler	\$ 1,457,425.90	\$ -	\$ 957,426	2.13%	\$ (19,977.63)	\$ 1,437,448.26	2.14%	\$ 1,500,000		
	5	Beaumont	\$ 892,577.34	\$ -	\$ 392,577	0.87%	\$ (8,191.51)	\$ 884,385.83	1.32%	\$ 1,326,579		
	6	Houston	\$ 396,535.74	\$ 103,464	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 103,464.26	\$ 500,000.00	0.75%	\$ 750,000		
	7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 349,545.42	\$ 150,455	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 150,454.58	\$ 500,000.00	0.75%	\$ 750,000		
	8	Waco	\$ 548,507.10	\$ -	\$ 48,507	0.11%	\$ (1,012.15)	\$ 547,494.95	0.82%	\$ 821,242		
	9	San Antonio	\$ 444,534.71	\$ 55,465	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 55,465.29	\$ 500,000.00	0.75%	\$ 750,000		
	10	Corpus Christi	\$ 586,650.09	\$ -	\$ 86,650	0.19%	\$ (1,808.04)	\$ 584,842.05	0.87%	\$ 877,263		
	11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 800,967.01	\$ -	\$ 300,967	0.67%	\$ (6,279.97)	\$ 794,687.04	1.18%	\$ 1,192,031		
	12	San Angelo	\$ 373,486.77	\$ 126,513	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 126,513.23	\$ 500,000.00	0.75%	\$ 750,000		
	13	El Paso	\$ 49,517.25	\$ 450,483	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 450,482.75	\$ 500,000.00	0.75%	\$ 750,000		
	Rural Total	\$ 7,629,016	\$ 900,830	\$ 2,029,846		\$ 858,475	\$ 8,487,491	12.65%				
	Urban Total	\$ 49,384,993	\$ 37,137	\$ 42,922,131		\$ (858,475)	\$ 48,526,518	72.35%				
	Regional Total	\$ 57,014,009	\$ 937,967	\$ 44,951,977			\$ 57,014,009.39	85.00%				
	At-Risk Total	\$ 10,061,295.81					\$ 10,061,295.81	15.00%				
	USDA (from At-Risk)	\$ 3,353,765.27					\$ 3,353,765.27	5.00%				
	Grand Total	\$ 67,075,305.40					\$ 67,075,305.20	100.00%				

**NOTES:**

This table reflects the allocation of the estimated Competitive Housing Tax Credit Ceiling that the Department expects to have available for allocation during the 2018 cycle. The ceiling is estimated as the 2017 population figure of 27,862,596 [IRS Notice 2017-19] multiplied by \$2.40 [Rev. Proc. 2017-58]. The column labeled "Final Funding Amount" is the column an Applicant can reference to determine the amount of credit allocation that is estimated to be available for the 2018 cycle. An updated population figure will be released by the IRS in early 2018. Returned credits available to be reallocated in 2018 will be reflected in an additional column of the chart as it is updated. When carried over credits are determined and the 2018 population is released, and as credit is returned during the year, the formula and this chart will be updated. Additionally, in the later part of the year the IRS may release figures for the National Pool and this chart will be updated at that time to reflect the state's allocation from the National Pool.

This information is also used to set the maximum award limits for each State sub-region in accordance with 10 TAC §11.4(b). An Applicant cannot request or be awarded more than the amounts reflected in the column labeled "Max Funding Request/Award Limits," which were established based on estimates as of December 1, 2017. These award limits are fixed and will not change even if the regional funding amounts change based on future updates.

This information is also used to set the maximum award limits for Elderly Developments in accordance with 10 TAC §11.6(C)(i). These amounts have been updated for the 2018 cycle.

Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs  
2018 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula Template, Table 1

Region (MSA Counties)	People at 200% Poverty	HH at 200% Poverty	Cost Burden, Renters	Overcrowded Renters	Vacancies, Rental
1	202,723	71,381	38,159	3,888	6,655
2	101,948	35,897	17,062	1,553	4,405
3	2,303,299	811,021	435,333	72,568	81,826
4	185,100	65,176	27,620	2,722	5,499
5	144,112	50,744	20,498	1,787	4,873
6	2,193,304	772,290	386,460	68,313	82,324
7	543,821	191,486	136,035	18,051	15,873
8	344,558	121,323	66,336	5,758	17,562
9	799,280	281,437	127,860	18,735	22,192
10	203,455	71,639	33,714	5,812	5,171
11	896,938	315,823	65,380	25,426	11,502
12	129,691	45,666	20,353	3,380	2,858
13	412,299	145,176	45,430	8,267	8,901

Region (Non-MSA Counties)	People at 200% Poverty	HH at 200% Poverty	Cost Burden, Renters	Overcrowded Renters	Vacancies, Rental
1	132,223	46,557	9,569	2,647	2,961
2	102,085	35,945	8,385	1,275	2,656
3	97,537	34,344	12,218	1,362	2,125
4	262,463	92,417	23,633	3,437	5,019
5	161,472	56,856	16,213	2,001	3,395
6	70,493	24,821	9,186	862	1,766
7	65,717	23,140	6,212	904	1,475
8	107,191	37,743	9,491	1,324	2,498
9	75,742	26,670	6,724	1,685	1,299
10	99,047	34,876	9,213	2,303	1,731
11	153,200	53,944	8,570	3,010	2,734
12	61,987	21,826	4,636	1,116	770
13	11,964	4,213	886	160	374
Total	9,861,649	3,472,412	1,545,176	258,346	298,444

Avg HH size: 2.84

Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs  
2017 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula Compounded need, Table 2

Estimated RAF	\$ 57,014,010			27862596	2.4	66870230.4	
MSA regions	Total of 200% poverty, rent	Percentage of total	150.00%	Regional	Percentage of Total	-50.00%	Sub-region amount
MSA regions	Total of 200% poverty, rent burden, and overcrowding	Percentage of total need variables	150% Weight	Regional Vacancies	Percentage of Total Vacancies	-50.00%	Initial Sub-region amount
1	113,428	2.1%	\$ 1,838,633.16	6,655	2.2%	\$ (635,677)	\$ 1,202,955.72
2	54,512	1.0%	\$ 883,623.17	4,405	1.5%	\$ (420,760)	\$ 462,862.97
3	1,318,922	25.0%	\$ 21,379,254.59	81,826	27.4%	\$ (7,815,919)	\$ 13,563,335.39
4	95,518	1.8%	\$ 1,548,313.85	5,499	1.8%	\$ (525,258)	\$ 1,023,056.11
5	73,029	1.4%	\$ 1,183,768.73	4,873	1.6%	\$ (465,463)	\$ 718,305.75
6	1,227,063	23.3%	\$ 19,890,258.69	82,324	27.6%	\$ (7,863,488)	\$ 12,026,771.13
7	345,572	6.5%	\$ 5,601,604.00	15,873	5.3%	\$ (1,516,170)	\$ 4,085,434.50
8	193,417	3.7%	\$ 3,135,224.91	17,562	5.9%	\$ (1,677,501)	\$ 1,457,724.20
9	428,032	8.1%	\$ 6,938,240.88	22,192	7.4%	\$ (2,119,753)	\$ 4,818,488.24
10	111,165	2.1%	\$ 1,801,946.63	5,171	1.7%	\$ (493,928)	\$ 1,308,019.05
11	406,629	7.7%	\$ 6,591,315.88	11,502	3.9%	\$ (1,098,657)	\$ 5,492,658.94
12	69,399	1.3%	\$ 1,124,930.69	2,858	1.0%	\$ (272,993)	\$ 851,938.03
13	198,873	3.8%	\$ 3,223,656.06	8,901	3.0%	\$ (850,213)	\$ 2,373,443.45
Non-MSA regions	Total of 200% poverty, rent burden, and overcrowding	Percentage of total need variables	150% Weight	Regional Vacancies	Percentage of Total Vacancies	-50.00%	Sub-region amount
1	58,773	1.1%	\$ 952,695.90	2,961	1.0%	\$ (282,831)	\$ 669,864.81
2	45,605	0.9%	\$ 739,247.74	2,656	0.9%	\$ (253,698)	\$ 485,549.87
3	47,924	0.9%	\$ 776,831.29	2,125	0.7%	\$ (202,977)	\$ 573,853.89
4	119,487	2.3%	\$ 1,936,834.62	5,019	1.7%	\$ (479,409)	\$ 1,457,425.90
5	75,070	1.4%	\$ 1,216,863.58	3,395	1.1%	\$ (324,286)	\$ 892,577.34
6	34,869	0.7%	\$ 565,221.90	1,766	0.6%	\$ (168,686)	\$ 396,535.74
7	30,256	0.6%	\$ 490,435.61	1,475	0.5%	\$ (140,890)	\$ 349,545.42
8	48,558	0.9%	\$ 787,113.00	2,498	0.8%	\$ (238,606)	\$ 548,507.10
9	35,079	0.7%	\$ 568,613.59	1,299	0.4%	\$ (124,079)	\$ 444,534.71
10	46,392	0.9%	\$ 751,993.09	1,731	0.6%	\$ (165,343)	\$ 586,650.09
11	65,524	1.2%	\$ 1,062,115.34	2,734	0.9%	\$ (261,148)	\$ 800,967.01
12	27,578	0.5%	\$ 447,036.23	770	0.3%	\$ (73,549)	\$ 373,486.77
13	5,259	0.1%	\$ 85,241.28	374	0.1%	\$ (35,724)	\$ 49,517.25
Total	5,275,934	100%	\$ 85,521,014.39	298,444	100%	\$ (28,507,005.00)	\$ 57,014,009.39

Total available  
\$67,075,305.40

Regions  
\$57,014,009.59

At-Risk  
\$10,061,295.81

USDA  
\$3,353,765.27

Nonprofit  
\$6,707,530.54

\$67,075,305.40